### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

### TOWN OF FALMOUTH

### ANNUAL FALL TOWN MEETING

Lawrence School
Lakeview Avenue
Falmouth, Massachusetts

MODERATOR: David T. Vieira

TOWN CLERK: Michael Palmer

Thursday, November 12, 2015

7:00 p.m.

# <u>A N N U A L T O W N M E E T I N G</u> <u>I N D E X</u>

ARTI	CLE DESCRIPTION	VOL-PAGE
1	Hear Report of Town Committees, Officers	1-26
2	Appropriate Fund for Unpaid Bills	1-70
3	Amend Chapter 240 Code of Falmouth - Flood	1-71
4	Update Local Comprehensive Plan	1-24
5	Fund Energy Management Services Contract	1-24
6	Establish Debt Stabilization Fund	1-88
7	Fund Debt Stabilization Fund	1-89
8	Supplement FY 2016 Budget	1-90,
		3-14
9	Fund Capital Improvements	1-93,
		2-105
10	Fund Non-Capital Projects	2-158
11	Petition Amend Bylaw - Ground Mounted Solar	2-162
12	Authorize Solar Arrays - 458 Thomas B. Landers	2-190
13	Authorize Easements - 458 Thomas B. Landers Rd.	2-193
14	Amend Zoning Map - 458 Thomas B. Landers Road	2-163
15	Amend Ch. 140 Code Falmouth - Licenses, Permits	3-24
16	Amend Ch. 199 Code Falmouth - Streets, Sidewalks	51-24
17	Amend Ch. 203 Code of Falmouth - Swimming Pools	3-43
18	Estab. New Falmouth Historic District Commission	n3-48
19	Petition - Require 3 Estimates over \$5000	3-87
20	Petition - Establish Fees at Compost Facility	3-111
21	Petition - Divestment of Mass. Public Pension	
	Funds from Fossil Fuels	3-118
22	Petition - Town Meeting Recounts	3-135
23	Petition - Voting Rights Legal Non-Citizens	3-138
24	Petition - Ownership/Maintain Grinder Pumps	3-167
25	C.P.C Authorize Conservation Restriction	3-216
26	C.P.C Goodwill Park Rehabilitation	1-24

3-

3

### ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

## I N D E X

ARTICLE			DESCRIPTION	VOL-PAGE
27	C.P.C.	-	N. Fal. Elementary Playground Rehab	1-24
28	C.P.C.	_	Falmouth Athletic Field Assessment	1-24
29	C.P.C.	_	Sandwich Road Field Basketball Court	1-24
30	C.P.C.	-	Replace Gates at Bristol Beach	3-217
31	C.P.C.	_	Shining Sea Bikeway Rehab Project	1-25
32	C.P.C.	-	Recreational Bicycle Racks	1-25
33	C.P.C.	_	Shivericks Pond Public Access Improve	1-25
34	C.P.C.	-	Fal. Housing Stabilization Program	1-25
35	C.P.C.	-	Historic Rehab Carriage House Windows	1-25
36	C.P.C.	-	Falmouth Country Club Irrigation System	m 3-218
37	C.P.C.	-	Capital Improvements Lawrence Academy	1-25
38	C.P.C.	-	Establish Land Bank Debt Reserve Accous	nt 1-25
39	C.P.C.	_	Land Bank Debt Reserve Account	3-219
40	C.P.C.	_	Lawrence Academy Historic Preservation	
			Restriction	2-219

## SPECIAL TOWN MEETING INDEX

1	Fund Energy Receipts Reserved for Appropriation	2-9
2	Petition - Maximize Operations of Municipal	
	Turbines	2-48
3	Amend Ch. 240 Code Falmouth Wind Energy Systems	2-101

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE MODERATOR: Okay, we're going to
3	wrap up this Annual Town Meeting tonight.
4	All Town Meeting members please come
5	forward. Don't forget to check in. Attendance
6	will be published in the Falmouth Enterprise.
7	I want to remind all speakers tonight
8	that we are being broadcast live again on FCTV
9	Channel 15. So each time you speak, please
10	identify yourself by name and precinct.
11	Our tellers this evening in the first
12	division will be Mr. Netto; in the second division
13	will be Mr. Dufresne; and in the third division
14	will be Mrs. Long.
15	All Town Meeting Members present please
16	rise for the establishment of the quorum and the
17	tellers will return a count.
18	[Pause.]
19	THE MODERATOR: Third division.
20	MS. LONG: 42.
21	THE MODERATOR: 42.
22	In the first division, Mr. Netto.
23	MR. NETTO: 45.
24	THE MODERATOR: 45.

1	In the second division.
2	MR. DUFRESNE: 83.
3	THE MODERATOR: 83.
4	By a counted vote of 170 we have a quorum
5	and I call the Annual Town Meeting back into
6	session.
7	This evening we will begin Town Meeting
8	with the graduation of our first Municipal Citizens
9	Academy because I had a schedule conflict tonight.
10	They usually meet they've been meeting for eight
11	weeks up in the library in the school, here, and so
12	tonight they've come down so we can do the
13	graduation here with the board rather than up there
14	in the library.
15	So, at this time, we will begin with our
16	commencement exercise.
17	[Pause.]
18	THE MODERATOR: I want to briefly just
19	let Town Meeting members know that weren't able to
20	be part of this program what it entails, and for
21	those at home to know what the program's about,
22	because we're going to offer this annually.
23	And I also want to give the opportunity
24	to do a shout-out to the over 35 volunteers that

gave their time above and beyond either their paid positions or their volunteer board and committee positions to help make this a success.

And, as we were looking at where to go, the first email I got was from our superintendent, Nancy Taylor, and the School Committee chair said, "You know what? Can we host it at one of our schools?" We said absolutely. That would be a great way to start partnering together. And so they offered us to meet in the new - I guess it's not so new anymore, but the newer library upstairs. And so I want to thank the School Department for their efforts to be able to bring the Academy right here to Lawrence School.

The first night, Mike Palmer and I did an orientation of Town Meeting and talked a little bit about the expectations of the class. And Mike also helped us on the back end with a lot of the paperwork and things that were going on with the program.

Our second session was the School

Committee. We had our superintendent Nancy Taylor
and members of her administrative leadership team,
and also School Committee Chair Alan Jacobs led his

1	portion of the presentation.
2	The third night was the Board of
3	Selectmen and the Finance Committee. We had Town
4	Manager Julian Suso and Chairman Doug Jones,
5	Selectman Rebecca Moffitt and Sam Patterson. In
6	the second half, our Finance Director Jennifer
7	Petit and our Finance Committee Chair Wendy Vogel
8	and Vice Chair Judy Magnani presented.
9	Then we had Town Clerk and elections, and
10	Mike Palmer did both of those segments. The role
11	of the Town Clerk and the elections and how you can
12	take out nomination papers and run for office in
13	the town.
14	We had a night on planning and zoning.
15	Our Planning Board Chairman Jim Fox, our Assistant
16	Town Planner Corey Pacheco, Zoning Board of Appeals
17	Chair Kim Bielan and our Zoning Administrator Sari
18	Budrow.
19	Then we had a night on public safety and
20	environmental services. Our Police Chief Ed Dunn,
21	our Fire Chief Ed Sullivan and our MES Directors
22	Gregg Frazier and Assistant Director Chuck
23	Martinsen.

24

Our next to last session was conservation

1	and Community Preservation Committee. We had Jen
2	McKay, our Conservation Administrator and Russell
3	Robbins, the Commission Chair. And for the
4	Community Preservation Committee we had our Chair
5	Virginia Carmichael, the Community Preservation
6	Committee Administrative Clerk Carole Sutherland,
7	and current or former members of the Community
8	Preservation Committee Ken Buckland, Sandra Cuny,
9	Holly Wilson, Patti Haney and Heidi Walz.
10	And the last session, last week, was on
11	Human, Senior, and Veteran Services. We had our
12	Human Services Director Karen Cardeira, our Senior
13	Services Director Jill Bishop, and our Veterans
14	Services Director Don Lincoln.
15	We did have a field trip. We had a field
16	trip, we met at the DPW and our Water
17	Superintendent Steve Rafferty gave us a briefing
18	and then we went out and actually took a two hour
19	tour of the facility under construction and learned
20	about the project's schedule and where the project
21	was.
22	So I'd like to have a round of applause
23	for over 35 volunteers that made this possible.
24	[Applause.]

1	THE MODERATOR: And so where do we go
2	from here?
3	Well, the participants tonight will
4	receive a list of Committee vacancies in the Town
5	of Falmouth and an application. And so we hope
6	that they will avail themselves of one of the
7	positions in the community that has a need.
8	We will establish a Municipal Citizens
9	Academy Alumni Association and they will select
10	their own leadership. They'll develop a list of
11	further educational topics and meet quarterly going
12	forward.
13	Because we only met for eight weeks, we
14	weren't able to bring all of the pieces of Town
15	government together. We didn't get a chance to get
16	to the library trustees, the Housing Authority and
17	some of the other advisory committees. So we'll
18	be doing that on a quarterly basis going forward.
19	And the group will decide if they'd like
20	to embark on an Association-led community service
21	project to benefit the Town.
22	And we will schedule a Fall class in
23	2016. The idea is we will have an annual class in
24	the Fall of each year.

1	At this point, we'll begin with the
2	presentation of our graduates. Tonight I'll
3	present the participants of the new Falmouth
4	Municipal Citizens Academy for graduation. Would
5	Chairman of the Board of Selectmen Doug Jones and
6	the other members of the Board please come forward
7	for the presentation and confirmation of the
8	graduates of the Falmouth Municipal Citizens
9	Academy, Class 2015-01.
10	Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, it is
11	with great pride that I have the honor to present
12	to you the participants for graduation. Maria
13	Albano.
14	[Applause.]
15	THE MODERATOR: Loraine Babiak.
16	[Applause.]
17	THE MODERATOR: Robert Baker.
18	[Applause.]
19	THE MODERATOR: Mary Barry.
20	[Applause.]
21	THE MODERATOR: Wendy Baumann.
22	[Applause.]
23	THE MODERATOR: Robert Brown.
24	

1	[Applause.]		
2		THE MODERATOR:	Ann Hurley.
3	[Applause.]		
4		THE MODERATOR:	Edward Hurly.
5	[Applause.]		
6		THE MODERATOR:	Heather Boyle.
7	[Applause.]		
8		THE MODERATOR:	Chris Dunne.
9	[Applause.]		
10		THE MODERATOR:	William Dynan.
11	[Applause.]		
12		THE MODERATOR:	Mark Finneran.
13	[Applause.]		
14		THE MODERATOR:	Karen Hargraves.
15	[Applause.]		
16		THE MODERATOR:	Peter Hargraves.
17	[Applause.]		
18		THE MODERATOR:	Steve Huban.
19	[Applause.]	THE MODERATOR	
20	f.m1	THE MODERATOR:	Gerard Luby.
21	[Applause.]	THE MODERATION	The second March of Co.
22	[7,000]	THE MODERATOR:	Ahmed Mustafa.
23	[Applause.]	MILE MODED A TOD	Mania Classica Second
24		THE MODERATOR:	Marin Clouterman [sp?].

1 [Applause.] 2 THE MODERATOR: Judith Rebello. 3 [Applause.] 4 THE MODERATOR: And Deborah Winograd. 5 [Applause.] 6 Would all present please THE MODERATOR: 7 rise and join the Class of 2015-01 in the Pledge of 8 Allegiance. 9 [Pledge of Allegiance taken.] 10 THE MODERATOR: Would participant Judith 11 Rebello please come forward for our invocation. 12 Lord, when it comes to MS. REBELLO: 13 meeting and communicating with each other, help us 14 to be good listeners. Help us to be open minded, 15 putting aside our own agendas. Help us to be 16 honest, without being insensitive. Help us to be respectful, without being too formal or artificial. 17 18 Help us to question and to challenge, without being 19 harsh. Help us to be aware that this is just one 20 And lastly, help us to moment, just one meeting. 21 remember that you, too, are always meeting and 22 communicating with us. Amen. 23 THE MODERATOR: And please remain

standing for a moment of silence.

1	[Moment of silence held.]
2	THE MODERATOR: You may be seated.
3	[Pause.]
4	THE MODERATOR: I'm pleased to report
5	that all participants present have satisfactorily
6	accomplished the requirements as set forth by the
7	Organizing Committee, and at the recommendation of
8	the program coordinator we wish that they might be
9	confirmed as graduates of the 2015 Academy year.
10	Chairman Jones will now confirm the
11	graduates of class of 2015-01.
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRMAN JONES: By virtue of the
14	authority vested in me in Article 3, Section 7C of
15	the Home Rule Charter of the Town of Falmouth, as
16	Chairman of the Board of Selectmen and having
17	fulfilled all the requirements prescribed in your
18	respective program, I now confirm you graduates of
19	the Falmouth Municipal Citizens Academy effective
20	today, November 12 <sup>th</sup> , 2015. Congratulations.
21	[Applause.]
22	THE MODERATOR: And now the Board is
23	going to actually issue the certificates that you
24	saw on the screen through the line. And the

1	applications for committees.
2	[Laughter.]
3	[Whereupon, certificates of completion given out.]
4	THE MODERATOR: One final round of
5	applause for our 35-plus volunteers and our 20
6	graduates.
7	[Applause.]
8	THE MODERATOR: Okay, when we were in
9	the Annual Town Meeting, we had a request for a
10	report which we had available on Tuesday night.
11	It's also - some additional copies are available
12	from the Town Counsel up on the stage, here. And
13	that was Article 8; we had placed it on the table.
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Moderator, I move
16	that we take Article 8 off the table.
17	THE MODERATOR: Article 8, take it from
18	the table. All those in favor, signify by saying
19	aye.
20	[Aye.]
21	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
22	[None opposed.]
23	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it
24	unanimous and Article 8 is off the table.

1	Article 8, this is that the town vote to
2	raise and appropriate \$300,000 and transfer the
3	amount of \$615,000 from Certified Free Cash to fund
4	supplemental appropriations for the Fiscal 2016
5	Budget.
6	Any further discussion on Article 8?
7	Hearing none, then - oh, yeah, in the
8	back right. Ms. Alwardt.
9	MS. ALWARDT: Mary Ellen Alwardt,
10	precinct 4.
11	Through you, Mr. Chairman, could we have
12	an explanation why the whole article is not coming
13	from Free Cash and we're raising and appropriating
14	part of these funds?
15	THE MODERATOR: Yes, Ms. Petit.
16	MS. PETIT: Yes, the raise and
17	appropriate is from the tax rate and the rest is
18	from Free Cash.
19	MS. ALWARDT: I understand that. I
20	guess my question should have been: why are the
21	taxpayers paying for some of this and not all of it
22	coming from Free Cash?
23	THE MODERATOR: Ms. Petit.
24	MS. PETIT: Oh, well, the taxpayers pay

1	for all of it, it's just - it's another - sorry.
2	It's another funding source in the levy that
3	there's room, so we raised and appropriate
4	\$300,000.
5	MS. ALWARDT: Thank you.
6	THE MODERATOR: Any further discussion
7	on Article 8?
8	Mr. Moriarty.
9	I'll put you on the list, yes.
10	MR. MORIARTY: Hi, everyone, Dave
11	Moriarty, precinct 6.
12	Could you explain what exactly is the law
13	firm, what are they going to - what are they
14	defending on the wind turbine and what is the
15	expert witness appraisal?
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Duffy.
17	MR. DUFFY: Good evening. Frank Duffy,
18	Town Counsel.
19	I think we started out tonight's Town
20	Meeting with a beautiful exercise.
21	Congratulations to the Moderator for putting that
22	together.
23	The report which I've been asked to
24	produce is up here if anybody would like it. It's

1 also on the website.

One of the things I'd just like to report: I'm aware of the Town Meeting vote that we took two years ago requiring me to file this report at the Annual Town Meeting. In my mind, anyways, the Annual Town Meeting is the April one and this Fall Town Meeting is a supplemental Town Meeting, although it does have powers of the Annual Town Meeting. There was no intent to withhold any of this information from the public; that's certainly nothing I would want to do. And it is your money, so you're entitled to know what's going on.

I'd just like to briefly talk about the Special Counsel budget, because I get a number of questions about it from time to time and as elected and other officials do. I'd like you to know that, last Friday, I submitted the Legal Department Budget for Fiscal Year 2017. That starts seven months from now and ends 19 months from now, and in that I have to have a figure for special counsel. Well, I have no idea what it's going to be. I can't see forward seven months and project into a year when I don't know what cases will be resolved and I don't know what new ones are going to come

1 in.

So what we have traditionally done over the years is the Selectmen and the Finance

Committee and so forth have put an arbitrary figure in there, which this year and the last couple of years has been \$150,000, and then we have come back at a Town Meeting for a supplemental appropriation when we have a better idea or a better estimate of what we need to take care of Special Counsel functions.

Other years, we have gone to the Finance Committee for a Reserve Fund transfer if that was appropriate. This year we've elected to do this.

So it's not like I have a situation where it's like say the Highway Department, where they've decided that they will fix, you know, 50 miles of roads and they know how much it costs per mile so they can submit an accurate budget. We simply can't; that's why we're here before you with a better estimate based upon what we know now.

With respect to the wind turbines, which

I know is a subject of consideration and concern by
many of you, we have seven pending cases relating
to the wind turbines. We have three cases that

relate to zoning concerns, particularly nuisance, which are being handled by special counsel. We have another case that relates to an interpretation of the zoning bylaw and a recent decision of the Board of Appeals as to which edition of the zoning bylaw applies to the special permit process that we're undertaking.

We also have three common law nuisance claims that are pending, and they are being defended by our insurance counsel.

Furthermore, special counsel is representing the Town in proceedings before the Zoning Board of Appeals which are ongoing. They started about last month and they're going to be going on for another month or two to determine whether or not a special permit will issue for Wind I.

I have prepared a couple of slides, and the one that is up there now is for Fiscal Year 2015, which is over. But I prepared this and I submit it to you just for a point of reference. A number of people have - believe that the wind turbines are the main driver of the special counsel budget, but historically it's been the labor

1 It's only in the last two years that counsel. 2 it's been the wind turbines. 3 If you see last year, there were two 4 labor firms that worked for us. Collins, Loughran 5 & Peloguin is the main labor counsel that we have. Mirick O'Connell is another firm that we do use. 6 7 The total amount of money that was spent on labor 8 counsel for all labor matters is \$233,000. That 9 part of the budget is primarily under - really used 10 by the Department of Human Services, Personnel, and 11 by the Town Manager's Office. I really don't get 12 involved in that. 13 The wind turbine, last year we had 14 special counsel Pierce Atwood, which is Michelle 15 O'Brien, who's an experienced litigator in wind 16 turbine issues. It was \$103,000. The three 17 principal cases that she worked on are the zoning 18 claims by Funfar, Okagawa and Andersen. 19 Then we had some money that we spent for 20 the Conservation Commission. There's a couple of 21 regulatory taking cases that are pending involving

witness appraisals and other miscellaneous

the Conservation Commission that require defense.

And the rest of it, the miscellaneous, is expert

22

23

1	expenses. So, last year we spend \$413,235.
2	May I see the next slide.
3	This is the current year. Again, it's
4	the same vendors except for a couple of
5	differences. Labor is the same. So far this
6	year we have expended \$33,802. There's also some
7	bills that are pending to be paid soon, but more
8	coming in.
9	The wind turbines, we have again Pierce
10	Atwood is at \$15,898. Blatman, Bobrowski and Mead,
11	that is actually Mark Bobrowski who we have engaged
12	as special counsel to the Board of Appeals. He
13	represents the Board of Appeals and he advises the
14	Board of Appeals on wind turbine matters. There's
15	a number of things before the Board of Appeals
16	where they need independent legal advice.
17	Obviously I'm not in a position to give it to them
18	because of my involvement with the Town on other
19	issues, so we've hired independent legal counsel
20	for the Board of Appeals.
21	And Hemenway & Barnes is Diane Tillotson,
22	and she is doing all of the permitting issues.
23	She's a very experienced attorney in zoning
24	litigation and also in zoning permitting matters

1 and she's been doing tremendous work to put together the application for the special permit. 2 3 The Conservation Commission, again, is 4 still onboard for some money. And so right now 5 we've spent 88,000. Now, I told you there's 150, leaving about 60,000. Which will not get us 6 7 through the rest of the year. 8 So, what I did, realizing that this was 9 going to be the situation, is I canvassed all of 10 our special counsel and asked them to give an 11 estimate of what they thought, based upon 12 information we know now, what it will cost to get 13 us through this budgetary period. 14 So, the request before you is on slide 15 three, please. 16 It's for \$350,000 and I've given you a This is an estimate. 17 rough breakdown. It's not 18 necessarily completely accurate; it's impossible to 19 But, based upon my calculation, the do that. 20 money would be distributed, together with the 21 60,000 that's in there: wind turbines, 175; labor, 22 122,500; conservation, 17; and expert witnesses, appraisers and other special services at 35,000. 23

And that's the explanation of this item.

1	Thank you.
2	THE MODERATOR: Further discussion on
3	Article 8? Mr. Murphy and then Mr. Latimer. Ms.
4	Murphy then Mr. Latimer.
5	MS. MURPHY: All set.
6	THE MODERATOR: Oh, you're all set?
7	Okay, Mr. Latimer.
8	MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct
9	one.
10	I think, well, it's - this is something
11	that the explanation should have been out of there.
12	I think the focus of Mr. Moriarty's question was on
13	wind turbines.
14	Now, what if I'm a free market capitalist
15	and I'm anti-labor? Shouldn't I ask for a
16	detailed explanation of the cost of the labor cost?
17	I mean, let's get serious here. We're being sued
18	by people whose lawyers I'm sure are -
19	THE MODERATOR: Richard, do you have a
20	question about Article 8?
21	MR. LATIMER: It is about Article 8. It
22	is about question -
23	THE MODERATOR: Then ask the question.
24	MR. LATIMER: I move the question.

1	THE MODERATOR: The question will come
2	on Article 8. All those in favor, signify by
3	saying aye.
4	[Aye.]
5	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
6	[None opposed.]
7	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it
8	unanimous.
9	I'm not going to get into that tonight.
10	Article 15. Article 15, to see if the
11	Town will vote to amend Chapter 140 of the Code of
12	Falmouth Licenses and Permits for home improvement
13	and/or weatherization projects.
14	Mr. Chairman of the Board of Selectmen
15	for the main motion.
16	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Moderator, I move
17	Article 15 as printed.
18	THE MODERATOR: As printed. Who held
19	this one? Yes, Mr. McNamara.
20	MR. MCNAMARA: Thank you. Matt
21	McNamara, precinct 7.
22	I held this not because of the laudable
23	intent, but because the proposed bylaw is in my
24	view flawed and perhaps unnecessary. Chapter 140

1	is - allows for a suspension of revocation of
2	certain permits from boards, officers, et cetera.
3	The flaw is the fact that this is to allow for
4	qualified homeowners. Nowhere is the term
5	"qualified" defined. So it doesn't lend itself
6	into low income. And if it were a building permit
7	that we're talking about, I'm not sure that the
8	Building Department would be the one to qualify the
9	homeowners.
10	So, I think, again, if this is the
11	intent, it just needs to be worked a little bit
12	better.
13	Whether or not it's necessary, currently
14	there is a Section 145 that allows for a payment
15	agreement, so that any party would be able to get
16	any permit that they might want that's authorized
17	by this section by simply coming to an agreement
18	with the Town regarding the payment of their taxes.
19	So on one hand, I think it's flawed. On
20	the other hand, I think it may not be necessary.
21	Thank you.
22	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Duffy.
23	MR. DUFFY: In response to Mr. McNamara,
24	this article was prepared at the request of some

1 agency in Barnstable County coming through our tax 2 collector. They're trying to have a similar bylaw 3 in all towns on Cape Cod to help low and moderate 4 income people modernize their homes. 5 Certainly if you would like to make an amendment to define "qualified", I think the 6 7 purpose is for low and moderate income people. 8 But I would just want to make sure that you 9 understand that there's an effort about -- about, 10 to have a similar bylaw in all Cape towns. 11 THE MODERATOR: Okay. 12 Mr. McNamara, is there a particular 13 language you'd like to try to clarify in that? 14 MR. MCNAMARA: Actually, no. And the 15 reason being is that, again, I'm a little bit 16 concerned that even if we were to put language in there, for example, like, we have language for 17 18 affordable housing where there's a certain 19 percentage of median income, there are actually 20 agencies that are utilized to make that 21 determination. 22 I'm not sure the Town's in the position 23 at this point to designate the Board of Selectmen, 24 Town Manager's Office, to take income information

1	which we're not sure of at this point and make a
2	determination, taxpayer by taxpayer, whether or not
3	they qualify.
4	So I don't think if there's - if there's
5	language from this Barnstable authority that might
6	be more useful, we could look at it, but I think
7	it's premature and it opens up something that again
8	I don't think is necessary because, if somebody has
9	not paid their taxes in the Town of Falmouth and
10	they're low income, all they have to do is sign an
11	agreement that says, "I promise to pay my taxes",
12	and they can get their permit.
13	So, I don't have - I didn't know where it
14	came from, so I don't have a recommendation.
15	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Jones.
16	CHAIRMAN JONES: And maybe I'm reading
17	this incorrectly. When I read "qualified
18	homeowners", I read that they were qualified to
19	receive the grant, and that's where they were
20	qualified. Not necessarily qualified by being
21	either low income or moderate income housing, and
22	so that may have been my misunderstanding of that.
23	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Mr. Brown.
24	Mr. Putnam, you're on my list.

1	MR. BROWN: Hi, Douglas Brown, precinct
2	9.
3	So there's two programs. There's Cape
4	Save and Mass Save. So I suspect this may have
5	been proposed by the people from Cape Save.
6	So, there's two elements to their
7	program, where one is for homeowners. If you're a
8	homeowner, you can have them come out, do an energy
9	assessment on your home. They'll do up to \$2,000
10	worth of work and you pay usually 25 percent.
11	That's what's based on income.
12	There's another part of the program where
13	it serves rental people. And if you're a renter
14	and you're in a house where the landlord's behind
15	on the taxes, you're disqualified from the program,
16	and it's a shame because they'll fund the whole
17	\$2,000 at no expense to either the homeowner or the
18	taxpayer - I mean, or the renter, excuse me.
19	So, I think we'd be missing out on an
20	opportunity for people that are renting houses that
21	want to keep the draft out.
22	So, if you want, I'll make an amendment
23	to strike the "qualified", but it's my
24	understanding that means they're qualified to

1	receive the weatherization, so I don't think it's
2	any danger. It's not qualified as far as income.
3	But if you'd be happy to have that struck, I don't
4	think it'll affect their needs.
5	THE MODERATOR: Do you want to respond
6	directly to that?
7	And then Mr. Putnam.
8	MR. MCNAMARA: I understand that, Doug,
9	and I appreciate that. As I said, I'm not arguing
10	about the intent of it.
11	Actually I qualify for some upgrades to
12	my house for winterization. I don't think I
13	should, in my situation, not pay my taxes and get
14	that.
15	So I think - I believe you, I trust you.
16	I think there are programs out there. I just
17	don't think we have the information if - if the
18	Chairman of the Board of Selectmen can look at
19	qualified - and I look at it differently - there's
20	going to be a number of questions and we've
21	authorized a bylaw change without really having all
22	of the information.
23	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Putnam.

MR. PUTNAM:

Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

1	Brent Putnam, precinct 9.
2	I'm going to support what Mr. McNamara is
3	saying here. I understand that the intent of this
4	is noble, but if you can't define "qualified",
5	we're making a law, here, Ladies and Gentlemen, and
6	it has to be very specific and it has to be
7	understood by everyone who reads it. And we can
8	say that that's what it means, but when it comes
9	right down to it nobody has yet defined
10	"qualified". And if "qualified" is not defined
11	anywhere, this is what the law is all about, Folks.
12	We should just come back with this -
13	whether you want to table it and provide some
14	language now, or come back with it in the spring,
15	but let's not do this in a - well, it could be
16	done better. Thank you.
17	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Mr. Latimer.
18	MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct
19	1.
20	Mr. Brown hit the nail right on the head
21	with his amendment. The whole issue comes from
22	the word "qualified" in there.
23	Mr. Jones said that "qualified" simply
24	means they were qualified by the grant agencies.

1	Well, that's a redundancy. So let's remove the
2	redundancy because it means nothing other than
3	they're getting a grant.
4	Let's read then what it says, is they can
5	get the permit if the project is funded in whole or
6	in part by grants from utility companies or state
7	or federal agencies. Period. That gets them the
8	permit. And that is good policy. That is good
9	energy policy. That saves wasted energy at a time
10	when energy is a very critical issue for the town
11	and the nation.
12	So I just say, as Mr. Brown suggested,
13	let's amend it, strike the word "qualified" and
14	then pass it. Thank you.
14 15	then pass it. Thank you.  THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment
15	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment
15 16	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment to strike the word "qualified"?
15 16 17	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment to strike the word "qualified"?  FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Brown made the
15 16 17 18	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment to strike the word "qualified"?  FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Brown made the motion.
15 16 17 18 19	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment to strike the word "qualified"?  FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Brown made the motion.  THE MODERATOR: I didn't realize you
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment to strike the word "qualified"?  FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Brown made the motion.  THE MODERATOR: I didn't realize you actually made the motion. I thought you were
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE MODERATOR: So we have an amendment to strike the word "qualified"?  FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Brown made the motion.  THE MODERATOR: I didn't realize you actually made the motion. I thought you were saying maybe we should do that. Okay.

1	Ms. Long.
2	MS. LONG: I don't know if striking the
3	word qualified is proper, here, because that
4	changes the whole context of somebody in the
5	project.
6	But the word "pre-qualified" might be
7	more fitting. Pre-qualified, assuming that
8	they're qualified before they come to the town in
9	order to use this permit. That's how I see it.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Yeah, in the
11	center, just on the amendment and then we'll go
12	back and discuss the main part.
13	MS. HARRIS: Mary Harris, precinct 5.
14	I'm wondering if it would be clearer in
15	the amendment if it read "Home improvement,
	_
16	weatherization projects for homeowners who are
16 17	weatherization projects for homeowners who are beneficiaries of a project that is funded in whole
17	beneficiaries of a project that is funded in whole
17 18	beneficiaries of a project that is funded in whole or in part". That way you know they've already
17 18 19	beneficiaries of a project that is funded in whole or in part". That way you know they've already qualified for that program and they have a funded
17 18 19 20	beneficiaries of a project that is funded in whole or in part". That way you know they've already qualified for that program and they have a funded event for them.
17 18 19 20 21	beneficiaries of a project that is funded in whole or in part". That way you know they've already qualified for that program and they have a funded event for them.  THE MODERATOR: Is that a motion or a

1	I suggest whoever from the County wanted
2	us to do that should have sent somebody here so
3	they could answer these questions. But that's my
4	suggestion.
5	All right, any further discussion on the
6	amendment?
7	Hearing none, the question will come on
8	striking the word "qualified". All those in
9	favor, signify by saying aye.
10	[Aye.]
11	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
12	[No.]
13	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor
14	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
15	count. This is to strike the word "qualified"
16	from the language of Article 15.
17	[Pause.]
18	THE MODERATOR: In the third division.
19	MS. LONG: 24.
20	THE MODERATOR: 24.
21	First division.
22	MR. NETTO: 22.
23	THE MODERATOR: 22.
24	The second division.

1	MR. DUFRESNE: 46.
2	THE MODERATOR: 46.
3	All those opposed signify by standing and
4	the tellers will return a count.
5	[Pause.]
6	THE MODERATOR: In the third division.
7	MS. LONG: 18.
8	THE MODERATOR: 18.
9	In the first division.
10	MR. NETTO: 27.
11	THE MODERATOR: 27.
12	In the second division.
13	MR. DUFRESNE: 38.
14	THE MODERATOR: 38.
15	By a counted vote of 92 in favor and 83
16	opposed, we're going to strike the word
17	"qualified".
18	Any further discussion on the main motion
19	as amended?
20	Mr. Latimer.
21	MR. LATIMER: Thank you. Richard
22	Latimer, precinct 1.
23	I have no particular dog in this fight,
24	except as a citizen. I mean, I've not been

1	involved in the program at all, but I can see on
2	its face what this program is intended to do is to
3	allow people, primarily if they qualify, to be - to
4	get assistance in upgrading the energy efficiency
5	of their homes. People who apparently cannot pay
6	their property taxes in large measure because
7	they're paying too much for energy costs.
8	I think this is a benefit to everybody.
9	It keeps energy costs down for these homeowners and
10	it helps the town, hopefully, that people will be
11	able to pay their tax bills with the money they're
12	saving.
13	I think this is one that we should just
14	simply - there should be no dispute about it.
15	Thank you.
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Shearer.
17	MR. SHEARER: Dan Shearer, precinct 6.
18	The only thing we're leaving out here is
19	renters. Can they do anything under this?
20	Because -
21	THE MODERATOR: A renter can't pull a
22	building permit.
23	Mr. Brown. And then Ms. Allegro.
24	MR. BROWN: It's most primarily the

1	renters that will benefit, because if you're a
2	renter and you're in a drafty house and you're
3	paying a lot for oil, and your landlord is behind
4	on his taxes, you're in a jam. So this will help
5	them.
6	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Allegro.
7	MS. ALLEGRO: Mary Ann Allegro,
8	precinct 7.
9	I have to agree with Mr. Putnam. We're
10	changing a law, here. I think we ought to just go
11	back to the drawing board, write it correctly so
12	that it makes sense because now it's more vague
13	than ever by removing the word "qualified". And
14	just come back next Town Meeting with it written
15	correctly. That's my suggestion.
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Mr. Smolowitz.
17	MR. SMOLOWITZ: Ron Smolowitz, precinct
18	8.
19	I don't see in this article where it
20	talks about income. Low income, middle income or
21	high income. And I don't see where it restricts
22	it to energy improvements. So it could be
23	somebody very wealthy that gets a historic
24	restoration grant that still has not paid their

1	taxes.
2	So, I assume we must have a law on the
3	books that says you don't get a permit if you're
4	not up on your taxes. What this does is this is a
5	blanket exemption anybody that gets government
6	funds for whatever reason, whatever their income
7	level, to be exempt from whatever restriction we
8	have. Am I reading that wrong?
9	[Pause.]
10	MR. SMOLOWITZ: I didn't see -
11	MR. DUFFY: Well, again, Mr. Smolowitz,
12	I didn't draft this. I'm sort of passing it
13	through from County officials, is my understanding.
14	But you have to get the grant. It has to be
15	funded in whole or in part by a grant from the
16	utility company or a state or federal agency. And
17	unless you qualify for that, it doesn't apply.
18	MR. SMOLOWITZ: And I'm just saying that
19	a mansion in Penzance Point could qualify for a
20	government program for historic improvements.
21	There's money out there to do a lot of things from
22	the government that are independent of energy.
23	So I'm just - there's no income test in
24	this particular amendment. Unless I'm reading it

1 And there's no energy test in this, if I'm wrona. 2 reading it wrong. 3 So it basically provides an exemption for 4 If you don't pay your taxes, you get -taxes. 5 whatever restriction we had is now removed. If there's any government funding of that, I don't 6 7 know. 8 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Duffy. 9 MR. DUFFY: Well, one of the things that 10 can happen if the taxes aren't paid, we can wind up taking it and then we get an improved house. 11 12 [Laughter and applause.] 13 THE MODERATOR: Okay. Mr. Netto, Mr. 14 Netto. 15 MR. NETTO: Joe Netto, precinct 9. Point of information, as a past Watchman 16 of the Warrant, was you heard about the legality. 17 18 All our bylaws -- correct, Mr. Palmer - get sent to the Attorney General's Office and they come back 19 20 with a stamp of approval, or they come back 21 rejected. 22 So, if we're worried the legality, it goes to a higher authority. 23 24 So, I mean, there's no need to worry. We

1	got one back a couple of years ago, if I'm not
2	mistaken, that we passed at Town Meeting. So,
3	thank you.
4	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Murphy.
5	MS. MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
6	Carol Murphy, precinct 9.
7	I agree with you, Mr. Vieira. I think
8	we should have someone from the County here to
9	answer some questions about this article.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Stecher.
11	MR. STECHER: Bernie Stecher, precinct
12	3.
13	I agree with Mr. Smolowitz. I think
13	i agree with hit. billotowith. I think
14	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says
14	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says
14 15	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants
14 15 16	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants from utility companies, state or federal agencies".
14 15 16 17	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants from utility companies, state or federal agencies".  So that anyone who wants to do home improvement and
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants from utility companies, state or federal agencies". So that anyone who wants to do home improvement and gets a grant from a federal agency can go through
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants from utility companies, state or federal agencies". So that anyone who wants to do home improvement and gets a grant from a federal agency can go through it without the permits. And that doesn't make
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants from utility companies, state or federal agencies". So that anyone who wants to do home improvement and gets a grant from a federal agency can go through it without the permits. And that doesn't make sense.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you've got a Pandora's Box here, because it says home improvements and it says "paid for by grants from utility companies, state or federal agencies". So that anyone who wants to do home improvement and gets a grant from a federal agency can go through it without the permits. And that doesn't make sense.  I think the whole thing is poorly

1	front of you.
2	MR. HARGRAVES: Thank you. Peter
3	Hargraves, precinct 9.
4	I guess I came ready to just give this
5	one an easy pass, but after the discussion I'm
6	aligned with the last few speakers and with Mr.
7	McNamara. And especially after hearing the intent
8	that renters could have the benefit in spite of a
9	landlord, and yet this says "homeowners". So, as
10	long as we're being jailhouse lawyers, here, I
11	wonder if it's exclusionary to renters by that
12	language.
13	So I think we should just get - put this
14	on postponement -
15	THE MODERATOR: Yeah, renters can't pull
16	a building permit, so -
17	MR. HARGRAVES: Well, okay, well, I was
18	just - I was going by what Mr. Brown said.
19	THE MODERATOR: Yeah, this is to allow
20	them to pull a building permit. A renter can't
21	pull the building permit, according to Town
22	Counsel, so therefore we don't need to put renters

MR. HARGRAVES: I see. Okay.

in here.

1	THE MODERATOR: Because they can't pull
2	the permit on the house.
3	MR. HARGRAVES: Thank you.
4	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Moriarty.
5	We've got two more and then we're going
6	to vote.
7	MR. MORIARTY: Dave Moriarty, precinct
8	6.
9	If - I'm a little confused. If there's
10	a dispute between the renter and landlord and maybe
11	the landlord doesn't want to do the improvements,
12	who decides?
13	THE MODERATOR: The landlord. He owns
14	the house. Or she.
15	MR. MORIARTY: So, okay. So the renter
16	doesn't even really come into the picture, here.
17	Okay.
18	THE MODERATOR: Not until they own the
19	house.
20	Mr. Latimer.
21	MR. LATIMER: Thank you. Richard
22	Latimer, precinct one again.
23	I would challenge anyone who opposes this
24	tonight, if this doesn't pass tonight, to come back

1	to next Town Meeting and give me a list of how many
2	millionaire homeowners are there in this town who
3	are behind in their taxes and are going to qualify
4	for a grant and then, going to try to do this to
5	cheat out of paying their taxes. I want to see
6	the list of those people, that's who I want to see.
7	Now, I can guarantee you that Mr. Brown
8	and anybody from the County could come in and give
9	us at least dozens, maybe even into the hundreds,
10	of tenants and other people who would benefit from
11	this in the interest of providing weatherization,
12	safe housing and energy efficiency.
13	This should be an automatic pass and the
14	people who are opposing this are imagining
15	scenarios. Millionaires, billionaires who are
16	going to try to get out of paying their taxes just
17	- just so that they can cheat - get a building
18	permit.
19	This is, frankly -
20	THE MODERATOR: Okay, the question comes
21	on the main motion as amended. All those in
22	favor, signify by saying aye.
23	[Aye.]
24	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.

1	[No.]
2	THE MODERATOR: It is the opinion of the
3	chair that the ayes have it by a majority and
4	Article 15 passes.
5	Article 16. This is the draining of
6	swimming pools bylaw. Mr. Chairman of the Board
7	of Selectmen for the main motion.
8	FROM THE FLOOR: 17.
9	THE MODERATOR: 17? I don't know,
10	what did I say?
11	FROM THE FLOOR: You said 16.
12	THE MODERATOR: Oh, 16, yeah. No, 17.
13	It's the swimming pool one. I've got Planning
14	Board - I mean, I've got Board of Selectmen here,
15	so.
16	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Moderator, I move
17	Article 17 as printed.
18	THE MODERATOR: As printed. Okay.
19	Mr. Herbst.
20	MR. HERBST: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
21	Moderator, Ralph Herbst, precinct 8.
22	This will be short and quick. I spoke
23	with Mr. McConarty, the Assistant DPW Director,
24	before Town Meeting and he agreed with my proposal

1 to have an amendment. It's so short, I'm not 2 going to give you the wording in writing, Mr. 3 Moderator, I'm just going to read it. 4 I thought it was rather ironic that, when 5 I read this article, the same day I was driving down the street and the Water Department was 6 7 draining the fire hydrants. And so I'm reading 8 this and it says you can't put the water in the 9 street or you can't put it on your neighbor's 10 property and so forth and so I thought, "Well, 11 obviously the Town has an exemption". 12 But anyway, on the very first sentence 13 I'm asking that we add the words at the very end, 14 after the word "located": "or pumped out by truck". 15 Now, the reason I'm doing this is to help the 16 people who have swimming pools. Because, the way 17 it's written now, you have no alternatives except 18 to wait for it to evaporate. And do you know how 19 long that would take? 20 So, I'm just asking to add some language 21 to this to help the homeowner who has a pool. 22 Even though it might be obvious to them, I thought it would be a good idea to put it in printing in 23

So I'm just asking for an amendment to

the bylaw.

45

1	add, "or pumped out by truck" after the word
2	"located". Thank you.
3	THE MODERATOR: So the amendment is to
4	add: "or pumped out by truck" at the end of the
5	first sentence.
6	Any further discussion on Article 17?
7	Mr. Duffany.
8	MR. DUFFANY: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
9	Michael Duffany, precinct six.
10	I realize the intent of the article and
11	it was for a situation that we had downtown and we
12	had to put up barriers and close the road because a
13	pool was pumped into the road. And so what we're
14	doing now is we're going to punish the community at
15	large for what one individual did - and it's my
16	understanding that it was one person that did this.
17	And I think that there's got to be some
18	other way to handle that particular person, whether
19	it's through the Board of Health, through a public
20	nuisance or a health hazard. Or another way to do
21	it rather than to put yet another bylaw on the
22	books that's going to cause a lot of rift in the
23	town, and it's going to give people wedges to put

24

in their toolbox to drive between themselves and

1	their neighbors when they have issues. And, as
2	you all know, that's what's going to happen, you
3	know: "You scared my dog away and you pumped your
4	pool across the road, I'm going to get on the phone
5	and call you." That is the kind of attitude that
6	a lot of people have.
7	So, again, I feel that it's the wrong
8	approach for the problem. I think that there are
9	other ways to handle it. And to say that we need
10	to do this tonight, I honestly don't believe we do,
11	because most everybody's pool has been pumped for
12	this year, and so you've got almost another ten
13	months before it's an issue again.
14	And so, anyway, I would vote indefinite
15	postponement. Thank you.
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay, any further
17	discussion on Article 17?
18	FROM THE FLOOR: The amendment.
19	THE MODERATOR: Yeah, the amendment to
20	add the "or pumped out by truck".
21	Hearing none, the question will come on
22	the amendment. All those in favor, signify by
23	saying aye.
24	[Aye.]

1	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
2	[No.]
3	THE MODERATOR: It's the opinion of the
4	chair that the ayes have it by a majority.
5	Any further discussion on the main motion
6	as amended? Hearing none, the question will come
7	on the main motion as amended. All those in
8	favor, signify by saying aye.
9	[Aye.]
10	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
11	[No.]
12	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,
13	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
14	count.
15	[Pause.]
16	THE MODERATOR: Third division.
17	MS. LONG: 19.
18	THE MODERATOR: 19.
19	First division.
20	MR. NETTO: 27.
21	THE MODERATOR: 27.
22	In the second division.
23	MR. DUFRESNE: 46.
24	THE MODERATOR: 46.

1	All those opposed signify by standing and
2	the tellers will return a count.
3	[Pause.]
4	THE MODERATOR: In the third division.
5	MS. LONG: 23.
6	THE MODERATOR: 23.
7	In the first division.
8	MR. NETTO: 22.
9	THE MODERATOR: 22.
10	In the second division.
11	MR. DUFRESNE: 39.
12	THE MODERATOR: 39.
13	By a counted vote of 92 in favor and 84
14	opposed, Article 17 as amended passes.
15	Article 18. Planning Board for the main
16	motion. No, no -
17	CHAIRMAN JONES: This is actually the
18	Selectmen.
19	THE MODERATOR: Okay, okay, Selectmen
20	for the main motion.
21	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Moderator, I move
22	Article 18 as printed.
23	THE MODERATOR: Article 18 as printed.

This is the Historic District Commission and

- 1 Historical Commission merger article.
- Yes, Mr. Haddad. Whoops, yeah, Ms.
- 3 McCluskey.
- 4 MS. MCCLUSKEY: [No mic: Inaudible.]
- THE MODERATOR: You have an amendment?
- 6 MS. MCCLUSKEY: [No mic:] Yes.
- 7 THE MODERATOR: Okay. I recognized him
- 8 and I'll recognize you next.
- 9 MR. HADDAD: Can I defer to Jean to make
- 10 the amendment? Because I know -
- 11 THE MODERATOR: Sure, oh yeah, you can
- 12 defer. Okay.
- 13 MR. HADDAD: if I defer and let Jean
- make her amendment, that makes the process a lot
- easier and more efficient.
- THE MODERATOR: Okay. Ms. McCluskey,
- 17 with a microphone.
- 18 MS. MCCLUSKEY: I'm Jean McCluskey
- 19 [inaudible].
- 20 THE MODERATOR: Talk into the
- 21 microphone, please.
- MS. MCCLUSKEY: I'm Jean McCluskey.
- 23 Can you hear me now?
- 24 FROM THE FLOOR: No.

1	THE MODERATOR: Yeah, hold it - it's
2	on. You just need to talk into it.
3	MS. MCCLUSKEY: I guess I have to hold
4	it up.
5	All right, I'm Jean McCluskey and I'm
6	Town Meeting member precinct one.
7	My amendment is to change the name of the
8	new public body in Article 18 to the Falmouth
9	Historical Commission instead of the Falmouth
10	Historic District Commission wherever it appears in
11	Article 18 in the warrant for the November Town
12	Meeting, 2015. And I think the Clerk, or the Town
13	Clerk has a copy of the amendment.
14	THE MODERATOR: Okay, the amendment is
15	to call the new merged entity the Falmouth
16	Historical Commission.
17	MS. MCCLUSKEY: That's correct.
18	THE MODERATOR: Okay, discussion on the
19	amendment.
20	MR. HADDAD: Can I make discussion?
21	THE MODERATOR: Yes.
22	MR. HADDAD: I'm sorry.
23	THE MODERATOR: Jane, are you done -
24	MS. MCCLUSKEY: Yes.

1	THE MODERATOR: - or do you want to
2	speak?
3	MS. MCCLUSKEY: I'd be glad to speak to
4	it.
5	Ann Sears joins me in offering this
6	amendment, and she's also in precinct one and a
7	former Town Meeting -
8	FROM THE FLOOR: Can't hear you.
9	THE MODERATOR: Jane, why don't you come
10	down here, because obviously that's not working for
11	you.
12	MS. MCCLUSKEY: Oh, I'm sorry.
13	THE MODERATOR: Come on down here and
14	adjust it so that's - they're directional mics; you
15	need to speak into the middle of the mic. Yeah,
16	why don't you come right down here.
17	MS. MCCLUSKEY: Thank you. Can you
18	hear me now?
19	THE MODERATOR: Lean in, lean in.
20	MS. MCCLUSKEY: So I'll shout.
21	The reason that we're recommending the
22	name, the change in the name to the Falmouth
23	Historical Commission, is that we believe that the
24	name should be more inclusive and to reflect a

1 sense of place, town-wide, rather than focus on the 2 Historic Districts, as the new bylaw is called. 3 Our concern - and, by "our", I mean Ann 4 Sears and myself are both former members of the Historical Commissions and we were Town Meeting 5 members together at one point. 6 Our concern is that the limited name for the proposed new agency 7 8 was prompted by Mary Lou Smith's book, The Book of 9 Falmouth, which celebrates the tricentennial, 1686 10 to 1986. And she was the chairman of the 11 Historical Commission at the time and gathered 12 together Falmouth editors from all parts of the 13 town to write the chapters, and her message still 14 resonates with us about the stories of whaling and 15 growing strawberries, schools, whatnot. 16 And, in the Foreword of the book she 17 wrote that she hoped that the book would keep the 18 diversity of our town alive and continue to respect 19 one's differences and to preserve the beauty of 20 Falmouth before it's lost to uncontrolled growth.

The copyright for the book is the
Historical Commission. She wanted the Town to have
the revenue. It continues to provide revenue for

Those were her words.

21

22

23

1 the town, but Andy, it doesn't buy a fire truck.

In the spirit of that message, Ann and I wondered if perhaps a more inclusive name for Article 18 would have any traction. So we did a modest survey among people that we've worked with over the years in historic preservation and asked for their feedback on a name exchange and we offered several different options. The consensus was for Historical Commission.

Ed Haddad, who is chairman of the Falmouth Historic District Commission, was concerned that with a limited name, as in the article, thought that the perception that the scope of the work really should be greater than only the District, which is what the name was suggesting.

So, he was leaning towards the words "Historical Commission" as a better choice for the name.

We also talked with the Massachusetts
Historical Commission, and to our surprise there
were 32 towns in the Commonwealth that have a
combined municipal historical commission. I only
know of a couple of them. The majority of them
are called historical commissions. A few of them

3-

The

use the word "preservation" in the name so that 1 2 they are not confused with an Historical Society. 3 Now, the reason that we're concerned with 4 the name, we feel that the name will drive the 5 function of this single body that you're about to And although the names of the two 6 discuss. historical commissions that we have in town are 7 8 very similar, their functions are different, and 9 their regulations are different. 10 So, if one board - when you come together 11 as one board and one of them dominates the mission, such as the District Commission with their 12 13 regulatory and design aspects, then the other 14 commission with its mission will probably receive 15 low priority and be ignored at all. 16 So what we were looking for was a broader name to include the mandates of both commissions 17 18 because both commissions really have an impact on 19 preserving and taking care of historic resources. 20 There is a difference between the 21 District Commission and the Historical Commission, 22 as -- and I know it's been confusing for years. 23 The District Commission is mostly regulatory and

works on design within the districts.

1	Historical Commission has a much broader mandate.
2	Some of the examples that we've done is:
3	Highfield Hall; reusing Emerald House as a thrift
4	shop to benefit the Service Center; the pink
5	granite curbs we rescued from the Main Street
6	project. They do National Register nominations.
7	I mean, it's laundry list of what we do - what the
8	Commission does, the Historical Commission does.
9	We found, from our recommendations from
10	the state, that combined commissions can work very
11	well together, but they have to have a sense of
12	what they want to accomplish together, and that's
13	why I think that carrying the name that is more
14	inclusive and shows the diversity in Falmouth would
15	be a more acceptable way to deal with this new
16	agency.
17	So I ask you to vote on the amendment for
18	the inclusive name of the Falmouth Historical
19	Commission.
20	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Haddad.
21	MS. McCluskey: Thank you.
22	MR. HADDAD: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
23	As far as the name, I'd like to comment
24	on the name. When this was first brought up to

1	us, you know, I surveyed people who are involved
2	with the Historical District Commission and we all
3	fully agreed with Jean that the name Historical
4	Commission has a better connotation of a broader
5	scope, which is what the intent of the new board
6	would involve. I don't think there was any intent
7	when the bylaw was written that it was either way;
8	I think it was just an oversight. It really hadn't
9	been thought through and obviously people like Jean
10	and Ann who are deeply entrenched in this process
11	for a long time came up with a great idea and I
12	think it's a great idea.
12	
13	Thanks, Jean and Ann for the
14	Thanks, Jean and Ann for the recommendation.
14	recommendation.
14 15	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the
14 15 16	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the amendment.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the amendment.  MR. HERBST: Yeah, Ralph Herbst,
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the amendment.  MR. HERBST: Yeah, Ralph Herbst,  precinct 8.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the amendment.  MR. HERBST: Yeah, Ralph Herbst,  precinct 8.  I thought that was a great presentation
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the amendment.  MR. HERBST: Yeah, Ralph Herbst,  precinct 8.  I thought that was a great presentation and justification for changing the name.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommendation.  THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Herbst, on the amendment.  MR. HERBST: Yeah, Ralph Herbst,  precinct 8.  I thought that was a great presentation and justification for changing the name.  There are seven Historic Districts in

1	Preservation Committee that has a requirement to -
2	for historic preservation, we had in the past ten
3	years had received applications from both the -
4	both applicants from the - that were located in the
5	Historic Districts and also people who were not.
6	And they were simply applications from - about
7	historic preservation.
8	So I totally agree with this amendment
9	and I urge you to pass it.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Latimer. And
11	then Mr. Shearer.
12	MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct
13	one.
13 14	one. $\label{eq:cone_speaking} \text{I'm speaking from a position of total}$
14	I'm speaking from a position of total
14 15	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer
14 15 16	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer gives me cause to raise a question. It's an
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer gives me cause to raise a question. It's an important question and maybe it doesn't make any
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer gives me cause to raise a question. It's an important question and maybe it doesn't make any difference, but I would want to know whether the
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer gives me cause to raise a question. It's an important question and maybe it doesn't make any difference, but I would want to know whether the enabling legislation for the District Commission
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer gives me cause to raise a question. It's an important question and maybe it doesn't make any difference, but I would want to know whether the enabling legislation for the District Commission which has enforcement powers prescribes that name.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm speaking from a position of total ignorance on this, but that ignorance as a lawyer gives me cause to raise a question. It's an important question and maybe it doesn't make any difference, but I would want to know whether the enabling legislation for the District Commission which has enforcement powers prescribes that name. Because, if it does prescribe that name, I think

1	Historic District Commission" in quotes - then,
2	that's a problem. If there is no such legal
3	requirement as to the name, then I totally agree
4	that the better name would be Historic Commission.
5	So, I just need to have that question
6	answered, that's all. Thank you.
7	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Haddad.
8	MR. HADDAD: I don't - the enabling
9	legislation, which is really what is in front of
10	you, which we'll get to, the conversion over, would
11	be changed from Historic Districts Commission to
12	Historical Commission. Being a - so, it will be
13	in the legislation that we ask for you to approve
14	tonight.
15	So, if this amendment goes through, it
16	will be replaced and included in body, so this body
17	will be called the Historical Commission.
18	THE MODERATOR: Ms. McCluskey, and then
19	Mr. Shearer.
20	MS. MCCLUSKEY: Ann and I asked the
21	Mass. Historic Commission about that same point and
22	the answer was that they "may" use the name. If
23	you combine the commissions, you may use Historical
24	Commission. It doesn't say that you have to or

1	that you don't have to. You may use it if you'd
2	like to.
3	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Shearer.
4	MR. SHEARER: [No mic:] I call the
5	question.
6	THE MODERATOR: Oh, okay. So the
7	question will come on the amendment. All those in
8	favor, signify by saying aye.
9	[Aye.]
10	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
11	[None opposed.]
12	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it
13	unanimous.
14	Any further discussion on the main motion
15	as amended. Okay, Ms. Allegro.
16	MS. ALLEGRO: Maryann Allegro, precinct
17	7.
18	And through the Moderator to the
19	appropriate person, it's really a two part
20	question. One of the parts is do we have a choice
21	of whether or not to accept this merger.
22	And the second part, which is really the
23	first part, once we - if we did this and we do
24	accomplish this merger, I've learned through past

1	experience at work: when you merge, somebody loses
2	their identity. Who is going to have authority
3	over these properties that belong to Falmouth?
4	Once you merge these two commissions, are we then
5	faced with - do you understand what I mean?
6	THE MODERATOR: No.
7	MR. HADDAD: Not really, but I can
8	answer the question if -
9	THE MODERATOR: It'd be the one group,
10	yeah.
11	MS. ALLEGRO: I mean, one of the groups
12	is state and one of the groups is our Town.
13	THE MODERATOR: No, no, these are both
14	municipal entities.
15	MR. HADDAD: Mr. Moderator, if I can get
16	into the -
17	MS. ALLEGRO: Okay.
18	MR. HADDAD: - explanation, it might be
19	clearer for the -
20	MS. ALLEGRO: I'm sorry, okay.
21	MR. HADDAD: - before they make comments
22	against it.
23	THE MODERATOR: Okay, sure.
24	MS. ALLEGRO: All right.

1	MR. HADDAD: You know? And rather than
2	take questions, if I can just explain to you -
3	MS. ALLEGRO: Thank you.
4	MR. HADDAD: - a little bit about it,
5	what we're seeking to do.
6	FROM THE FLOOR: You have to speak into
7	the mic.
8	MR. HADDAD: Okay, sorry, I will.
9	I've got to get it up from Jean's level, here.
10	Okay.
11	What this article is asking, it's asking
12	to convert the Historical Commission or the
13	existing Historic District Commission from a
14	Special Acts Historic District, which under which
15	it was created in 1975 to a - I want to use the
16	right terminology - sorry. Massachusetts - to be
17	a Historic District created under Massachusetts
18	General Law Chapter 40C. That's what the heart of
19	this request is, is requesting from you and
20	requesting your approval.
21	Now, what does that mean? What that
22	means is that currently where we were created under
23	a special law. So the bylaw for the special
24	legislation and the bylaw for the Mass. General Law

Chapter 40C is a little bit different. The wordings are a little bit different. We believe that we're better off as a - whether it's a -forget the name, as a commission, to be governed under the Massachusetts General Law 40C. ease of reference going forward, I'll talk about us as a 40C. So, just to make it easier to talk about.

So, what does that mean? Why is it -is it beneficial? Well, I think the main reason
that what we're looking at is that under special
legislation, if we want to create anything in our
bylaws -- and two years ago we made a very, very
minor change where we wanted to notify abutters of
any change - of any application of going on next
door, which our current bylaw does not allow - we
had to go through a process that we have to get
approval from the Board of Selectmen, we have to
come to Town Meeting; it has to be approved by twothirds of Town Meeting and then it has to go to the
Massachusetts legislature.

We have to ask Mr. Vieira, here. He has to shuttle that bylaw through the legislative process, hearings, special sub-commissions, and

that simple change, as simple as that, that we thought was a betterment of the town, took more than a year to simply get done.

So, under the 40C, all that's required for us to make a change in our bylaws is, again, we come to the Selectmen, we get their approval and we come to Town Meeting - which could happen twice a year - and all we need is a two-thirds vote. You make the decision and that's the end of it. And that is the major change that we're looking for, the major benefit that we think that would come of this I'll call administrative change.

Secondly, the other benefit - it's not as great, but it's as great, too - is currently in Massachusetts there's 170 historic districts that have been created under 40C, and only 44 -- seven of which are in Falmouth -- that are created under the Special Acts. So the likelihood that any future legislation in the legislature, if they're going to improve, strengthen, make changes to a legislation that has to do with historic preservation or historic districts or any of the like, the likelihood it's going to be done in the 40C act. And we're not going to get the benefit of

1 that.

So we feel that we're in better company
with the other 170 districts around the state to be
more consistent that we align ourselves with that
group under that legislation.

Furthermore, the Board of Selectmen have tasked the Planning Board to reduce the number of commissions that they support through their enabling staff. That's what, under 40C, would allow for the merger of the Historic District Commission and the Historical Commission to happen, which it currently would not allow right now.

And before we go into the last thing, I
do want to - which I meant to do first - I just
wanted to introduce some people that are actually
here to support this. Nicole Goldman is the vicechair of the Historic District Commission, and
Corey Pacheco is here; he is our Planning

Department support staff. He guides us through
everything. He is an expert in Massachusetts law
with regard to preservation. He is on the
Historical Commission for New Bedford, which is a
combined board. So he brings a lot of expertise to
our board.

1	We've thought this through. We've
2	talked about it for two years. We've talked about
3	the benefits, the - if there's any negatives, we've
4	talked them through. So we believe it's the right
5	decision to do and to make the change for the
6	future strength of a much better board going
7	forward for Falmouth's historic preservation.
8	Thank you.
9	FROM THE FLOOR: Question. No.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Zweig.
11	MR. HADDAD: Is that something about
12	what I did?
13	MR. ZWEIG: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
14	Ron Zweig, precinct one.
15	I would like to offer or suggest an
16	additional amendment, if I could. It's a small
17	one and it's on page 13 under Exclusions. And
18	it's line -
19	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Zweig, could you
20	actually tell us what section of the bylaw?
21	Because I have a single paged warrant so those
22	numbers -
23	MR. ZWEIG: Oh, Exclusions.
24	THE MODERATOR: Exclusions, okay.

1	MR. ZWEIG: Section nine. And it's
2	the section 9.1.3 line, or the clause.
3	THE MODERATOR: Which is the storm
4	windows line?
5	MR. ZWEIG: Right. That's right. And
6	what I'd like to do - like to suggest is that after
7	"windows and doors", that that "and" be deleted.
8	And, at the end, that "and roof mounted photo
9	voltaic panels" be added.
10	If you look at clause 9.1.5, apparently
11	you can paint your roof flamingo pink or neon
12	chartreuse, so I think that if you can do those
13	kinds of things with your roof, that putting
14	photovoltaic panels on in an historic district is
15	definitely - should be included. Thank you.
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay, that's 9.1.3,
17	adding "and roof mounted photovoltaic panels".
18	Discussion on that amendment.
19	Mr. Brown.
20	MR. BROWN: Could we consider just
21	saying "solar panels" so we don't exclude solar hot
22	water? Rather than just photovoltaic for only
23	electricity?
24	THE MODERATOR: Are you all right with

- just using the words "solar panels", instead?

  Will that be inclusive enough?

  MR. ZWEIG: Thank you, Doug.
- I think it's yeah, that would be fine
- 5 to include, as well.
- 6 THE MODERATOR: Okay.
- 7 MR. ZWEIG: If this body agrees with
- 8 that. So, thank you.
- 9 Solar panels -
- MR. BROWN: Solar panels -
- 11 THE MODERATOR: Roof mounted solar
- panels.
- MR. ZWEIG: Roof mounted okay.
- Everyone knows what that means. Okay, good.
- Okay, thank you.
- 16 THE MODERATOR: Okay. In the back.
- 17 Yes, on the amendment.
- 18 FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]
- 19 THE MODERATOR: No, we're not there,
- yet. We're on an amendment.
- 21 Anyone want to talk about this specific
- 22 amendment? Okay, right here in the center.
- MS. BUESSELER: Hi, Wendy Buesseler,
- 24 precinct 2.

1	Isn't this already addressed in Criteria
2	For Determinations, 8.3? It mentions that they
3	should consider the policy to encourage the use of
4	solar energy systems to protect solar access.
5	THE MODERATOR: Where was it that you?
6	MS. BUESSELER: In section 8.3.
7	THE MODERATOR: 8.3 okay.
8	MS. BUESSELER: In Criteria For
9	Determinations.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay, but it's not in
11	the Exclusions section, though.
12	MS. BUESSELER: So, I didn't know
13	whether it was already covered or do we need to add
14	the additional language just to cover our bases, I
15	wasn't sure.
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Mr. Haddad.
17	MS. BUESSELER: Thank you.
18	MR. HADDAD: Just to clarify why I don't
19	believe it should be excluded. First of all,
20	there's nothing in the guidelines that prohibits
21	solar panels from - in the Historic Districts
22	today. So nothing discourages it or prohibits it.
23	As a matter of fact, we do encourage it and we
24	approve it on a regular basis.

1	What the purpose of this board is, and
2	why it gets reviewed, is that we're also protecting
3	historic properties. So if there's a way that you
4	can find appropriate places and appropriate
5	applications for solar panels without destroying a
6	historic property, that's all we intend to do is
7	work with homeowners to find the best possible
8	solution for both. And we always come up with a
9	compromise. We have never refused anyone the
10	installation of solar panels that's come before our
11	board.
12	THE MODERATOR: Okay, any further
13	discussion on the amendment? Mr no, no, just
14	the amendment here. Okay.
15	The question will come on adding to 9.1.3
16	"and roof mounted solar panels". All those in
17	favor of the amendment, signify by saying aye.
18	[Aye.]
19	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
20	[No.]
21	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,
22	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
23	count.
24	I can't wait until we get that electronic

1	voting system. Joe can; he won't get enough
2	exercise after we have the electronic system.
3	Third division.
4	MS. LONG: 21.
5	THE MODERATOR: 21.
6	First division.
7	MR. NETTO: 28.
8	THE MODERATOR: 28.
9	The second division.
10	MR. DUFRESNE: 35.
11	THE MODERATOR: 35.
12	All those opposed, signify by standing
13	and the tellers will return a count.
14	Boy these counts are the same, here.
15	Just might be inverted, this time.
16	[Pause.]
17	THE MODERATOR: Third division.
18	MS. LONG: 24.
19	THE MODERATOR: 24.
20	First division.
21	MR. NETTO: 20.
22	THE MODERATOR: 20.
23	The second division.

42.

MR. DUFRESNE:

1	THE MODERATOR: 42.
2	By a counted vote of 84 in favor and 86
3	opposed, the amendment does not pass.
4	We're back on the article as originally
5	amended. Okay. In the back.
6	Ms. Hayward, I've got you on my list.
7	MS. HAYWARD: [No mic: inaudible.]
8	THE MODERATOR: Unless it's a
9	procedural question, I'll add you to my list.
10	MS. HAYWARD: [No mic: inaudible.]
11	THE MODERATOR: Is this a point of
12	order, Nancy?
13	MS. HAYWARD: Mr. Donald is not a Town
14	Meeting member.
15	THE MODERATOR: No, but he lives in
16	town. So he has the right to speak.
17	MS. HAYWARD: So he doesn't have to have
18	any approval?
19	THE MODERATOR: That's correct, if he's
20	a resident -
21	MS. HAYWARD: Thank you -
22	THE MODERATOR: - a citizen or a
23	taxpayer.
24	MS. HAYWARD: - very much. I certainly

1	want to approve his speaking, thank you.
2	THE MODERATOR: Okay, yeah. No,
3	residents, citizens and taxpayers. It's only if
4	you live out of town and don't pay taxes here.
5	MR. DONALD: Thank you. Good evening.
6	Malcolm Donald. I'm chairman of the Historical
7	Commission.
8	And, as we've heard so far tonight, this
9	article is going to do two things. It's going to
10	- number one, it's going to reformulate the
11	Historic Districts Commission, which is currently
12	been founded on a piece of specific legislation to
13	be reformulated under the Mass. General Laws.
14	And the second thing it's going to do,
17	inia the become thing it b going to at,
15	it's going to merge the Historical Commission and
15	it's going to merge the Historical Commission and
15 16	it's going to merge the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.
15 16 17	<pre>it's going to merge the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.     Now, regarding reformatting -</pre>
15 16 17 18	<pre>it's going to merge the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>it's going to merge the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>it's going to merge the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.  Now, regarding reformatting - reformulating the Historic Districts Commission. Current you know, it just makes sense. The current HDC was created by Special Act of the</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>it's going to merge the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.</pre>

1 to you about that.
2 The article seeks to reformulate the
3 District Commission from Mass. General Laws su

District Commission from Mass. General Laws such that changes only require Town Meeting approval. He spoke about that. My understanding and from what Ed has said tonight, this has been vetted and in the works for over more than a year. This makes sense and I urge you to support this action.

The second action, merging the Historical Commission and the Historic Districts Commission.

The second action in this article, as you are aware, which would merge the two commissions. As chairman of the Historical Commission, I first learned about the merger language from an Enterprise reporter asking me to comment. How was that? How stupid did I feel?

The Historical Commission wasn't consulted and to my understanding neither was the Historical Districts Commission regarding the merger. When asked at the meeting a week ago Tuesday, Ed Haddad, chairman of the Historic Districts Commission, told the public he had no idea about how this merger talk had come about.

Why didn't the Board of Selectmen work

1 with both the Historical Commission and the 2 Historic Districts Commission before inserting this 3 proposed merger? Wouldn't it be common sense to 4 consult with the two commissions before asking you 5 to merge them? We hear a great deal of talk about 6 7 improving customer service at Town Hall. 8 customer service doesn't require the expenditure of 9 three or four million dollars. Improving customer service can start with the administration talking 10 11 with boards, commissions, the public. Those who 12 are impacted, concerned, or have insight with 13 matters under consideration. Customer service is 14 called opening and maintaining dialogue. 15 Over the past few years, the only time 16 the town counsel's - excuse me. All right. Over 17 the past five years, the only time when wind 18 turbine neighbors had a dialogue with Selectmen was 19 at a joint WTOP and Selectmen's meeting when the 20 final WTOP report was presented. And that only 21 lasted for all of about five minutes. How's that

Sure, Selectmen hear from citizens, but most often there's no dialogue or Selectmen provide

for customer service?

22

23

1	no substantive response. Is this the kind of
2	customer service we want?
3	I remember a while back at the town -
4	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Donald, let's make
5	sure we're talking about the issues -
6	MR. DONALD: Yes -
7	THE MODERATOR: - related to this
8	proposal.
9	MR. DONALD: - we are talking about it,
10	yes, yeah.
11	THE MODERATOR: Okay, we're getting a
12	little off -
13	MR. DONALD: Yes, yeah, yeah.
14	THE MODERATOR: - track, here.
15	MR. DONALD: Doesn't it seem that a
16	great many items seem to be cut and dried by the
17	time Selectmen act on them? Does it seem that the
18	public very often has no opportunity for input?
19	I say to Selectmen right here and now:
20	improve your customer service.
21	Now, getting back to the specifics of
22	Article 18. Neither commissions directly affected
23	by this legislation were involved in the creation
24	of the merger action. Had they been, Selectmen

3might have even asked each commission: "What do you 1 2 think about the idea? How would you see a single 3 Commission working? What would be the advantages? 4 What would be the disadvantages?" I question 5 whether Selectmen know how a combined Commission would work. 6 The bottom line: this merger of the 7 8 Historical Commission and the Historic Districts 9 Commission just hasn't been properly vetted. 10 Similar to the wind turbines, this is another 11 instance of Town Meeting being asked to vote on 12 something that hasn't been properly thought out. 13 There are more questions. How would the 14 proposed merger commission - merged commission 15 perform the advocacy role of the Historical 16

Commission and the regulatory role of the Historic Districts Commission? Is it practical? Would commissioners be overwhelmed with work? of either commission is arduous and time-consuming as it is, and Selectmen are expecting one commission to do the work of two?

You've heard the argument: thirty-two towns have done it. Well, the Hull turbine was held up as justification for the Falmouth turbines

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	_
2	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Donald, you're going
3	to lose everyone, including my patience. Let's
4	talk about this, okay?
5	MR. DONALD: Okay.
6	THE MODERATOR: Otherwise, you're going
7	to sit down.
8	MR. DONALD: These are examples. Okay.
9	THE MODERATOR: The example is sit down,
10	Mr. Donald.
11	Next on my list is Mr. Heath.
12	MR. DONALD: Excuse me.
13	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Heath.
14	MR. DONALD: Excuse me?
15	THE MODERATOR: There is no excuse.
16	Sit down.
17	Mr. Heath.
18	MR. DONALD: Excuse me?
19	THE MODERATOR: Sit down, Mr. Donald.
20	Mr. Heath is next to be recognized.
21	Mr. Heath. Microphone, please.
22	Mr. Donald, I asked you to sit down.
23	This is the second time and, under Chapter 41, if I

24

have to do it a third time, the constable will

1	remove you.
2	Mr. Heath.
3	MR. HEATH: My question is the process,
4	a little bit. How long is this going to take and
5	what is the sequence of events of going to the
6	legislature and changing the Home Rule Charter?
7	I'm just a little curious, is it going to be a five
8	year deal or six month deal?
9	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Duffy.
10	MR. DUFFY: Because this includes an
11	amendment to the Falmouth Home Rule Charter, it
12	will go on the ballot in May before it becomes
13	effective.
14	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Long.
15	MS. LONG: I don't know, I think
16	Assistant Manager Harper can tell me if I'm wrong,
17	but I think we've been discussing this since 2005,
18	when we opened up a dialogue on this, of this
19	merger?
20	MS. HARPER: You may have a better
21	memory than I, but I certainly recall this
22	appearing before multiple Boards of Selectmen over
23	many years.
24	MS. LONG: Yeah, this has been an open

1 dialogue for many years with both administration 2 and the Planning Department with Town Planner 3 When I was on the Commission from 2004 to 4 2009 as chairman, we had a lot of this discussion 5 about merging these two entities together, and that more and more towns in Massachusetts were doing it 6 and that it was more effective. 7 So it's not that 8 there hasn't been a dialogue and that there hasn't 9 been research done. 10 Maybe it hasn't been a priority until now, but I know from my experience and from the 11 12 background that I did in merging these two groups 13 together that I think that it is imperative that we And I think there'll be more 14 do this. 15 concentration and I actually think that there'll be 16 more accomplished. 17 And if you look at what the Conservation 18 Commission can do with their members and their 19 alternate members, I believe that the Historical 20 Commission and the Districts becoming the 21 Historical Commission would be an asset to the 22 Town. 23 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Hargraves.

MR. HARGRAVES:

Thank you. Peter

1 Hargraves, precinct 9.

As a citizen observer and a former businessperson, the idea of efficiency is appealing and I like the idea of combining the two groups into one. But my concerns about this article were raised by a letter from Bob Ament which was published in the Enterprise last Friday. And I took the trouble to contact Bob and talked with him about his points, and there are four substantial issues that recommend — that would cause me to recommend you to put this article aside and not approve it until it's replaced by — with appropriate language.

I think there's some really parochial elements that serve the interests of some diverse and disparate groups in town, but not necessarily the whole town as a whole. And, please don't get me wrong: I'm for historical preservation and preventing incongruous development that assaults the character of our town.

But, just to summarize for you in case you didn't read or don't recall Bob's letter, and my understanding of this only comes from the clarifications he's provided because, as an expert

practitioner in that area, he certainly ought to be an authority to speak on this subject.

So, first of all, he says the article will expand the caseload for the Historic District review. Presently only the Quissett District has views of the water and has - or what - I'm sorry, excuse me. Presently only the Quissett Historic District has water concerns. The view of structures from Quissett Harbor are regulated.

Article 18 adds views from water bodies with respect to all Historic Districts and this will dramatically increase the Commission's regulation of structural features not visible from a public way, mostly in Woods Hole.

So that's one point.

A second point is the proposed bylaw will create procedural difficulties. The Town will be required to send notice of each hearing to any nonprofit organization in which one of its purposes is of preservation of historic places, structures, buildings or districts. And there is no further definition of which nonprofit organizations qualify. The Town will have no way of determining which organizations to notice and whether the

deliberation's been properly noticed. And this
procedural disaster is entirely unnecessary since
the bylaw allows any person who annually files a
written request to receive all hearing notices.

The third point - and I think this is a

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The third point - and I think this is a substantial one - the current bylaw states that the Commission will consider whether the general exterior design is reasonably consistent for the general character of the district. The current bylaw stipulates that the Commission shall not consider details of design nor make any requirements except to prevent obviously incongruous development. This limiting language tracks state law but is omitted from the proposed Instead, the Commission's over 40 pages of bvlaw. extremely detailed guidelines, which the Superior Court has held should be considered recommendations, not requirements, are elevated to regulations, which the Commission shall adhere to, in quotes.

One unfortunate result has been to refuse the use of modern materials. Recently the Commission denied an applicant's request to use wood substitute trim on hard to reach upper

1	portions of a building located 900 feet from a
2	public viewing point.
3	So, finally, point number four. Under
4	the new law, the Commission will have little
5	authority to vary its guidelines. Hardships are
6	to be employed, as it states, only in rare and
7	unusual cases. Turning the guidelines into
8	binding regulations within a bylaw that prevents
9	the use of fair discretion is excessive regulation
10	that is unnecessary to preserve the Historic
11	District or to achieve the intent of this article.
12	And, again, I'm for combining and
13	efficiency and preserving our character, but I
14	think there are a lot of parochial elements here
15	that don't serve the entire town of Falmouth.
16	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Donahue.
17	MR. DONAHUE: Bob Donahue, precinct 3.
18	Point of order, I just don't understand
19	this. This says it's the recommendation of the
20	Planning Board. I assume the Planning Board went
21	to the Selectmen and got their blessing on this and
22	then brought it to Town Meeting. Or am I under
23	some -
24	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN JONES: The warrant article
2	the warrant book, that is incorrect. It should
3	have been a recommendation from the Board of
4	Selectmen.
5	MR. DONAHUE: Thank you.
6	THE MODERATOR: And so our main motion
7	actually was placed by the Board of Selectmen, even
8	though it says that in there.
9	Okay, let's see, I had Mr. Dufresne. Or
10	was that the amendment? You're still on this one,
11	right?
12	MR. DUFRESNE: Thanking you for your
13	patience, I'd like to move the question.
14	THE MODERATOR: We've got a motion to
15	move the previous question.
16	All those in favor, signify by saying
17	Aye.
18	[Aye.]
19	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
20	[No.]
21	THE MODERATOR: It is the opinion of the
22	chair that the ayes have it by a two-thirds and the
23	question's been moved.
24	The question will come on the main motion as

1	amended. So we're going to change it to the
2	Falmouth Historical Commission.
3	All those in favor of the main motion -
4	oh, this requires a two-thirds vote. All those in
5	favor, signify by saying aye.
6	[Aye.]
7	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
8	[No.]
9	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,
10	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
11	count. This requires a two-thirds.
12	[Pause.]
13	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: [No mic:] Point of
14	order.
15	THE MODERATOR: Point of order, yeah?
16	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: [No mic:] Are we
17	voting for changing the name?
18	THE MODERATOR: No, no, you're voting -
19	Okay, come on back to the front, tellers.
20	FROM THE FLOOR: Oh, come on.
21	THE MODERATOR: Come on back.
22	The question will come on the main motion
23	as amended. So it's the main motion to merge
24	these groups together under the state statutory

So the main motion as amended. All those in favor signify by standing and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.] THE MODERATOR: In the first division.  MR. NETTO: 36. THE MODERATOR: 36. In the third division.  In the third division.  MS. LONG: 35. THE MODERATOR: 35. In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66. THE MODERATOR: 66. THE MODERATOR: 67. THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12. THE MODERATOR: 12. Second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 17.	1	law, and the amendment was to call it the Falmouth
those in favor signify by standing and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: In the first division.  MR. NETTO: 36.  THE MODERATOR: 36.  In the Moderator: 35.  THE MODERATOR: 35.  THE MODERATOR: 35.  In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	2	Historical Commission.
5 will return a count. 6 [Pause.] 7 THE MODERATOR: In the first division. 8 MR. NETTO: 36. 9 THE MODERATOR: 36. 10 In the third division. 11 MS. LONG: 35. 12 THE MODERATOR: 35. 13 In the second division. 14 MR. DUFRESNE: 66. 15 THE MODERATOR: 66. 16 All those opposed, signify by standing 17 and the tellers will return a count. 18 [Pause.] 19 THE MODERATOR: First division. 20 MR. NETTO: 12. 21 THE MODERATOR: 12. 22 Second division.	3	So the main motion as amended. All
THE MODERATOR: In the first division.  MR. NETTO: 36.  THE MODERATOR: 36.  In the Moderator: 36.  In the third division.  MS. LONG: 35.  THE MODERATOR: 35.  In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  Pause.  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	4	those in favor signify by standing and the tellers
THE MODERATOR: In the first division.  MR. NETTO: 36.  THE MODERATOR: 36.  In the third division.  MS. LONG: 35.  THE MODERATOR: 35.  In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	5	will return a count.
MR. NETTO: 36.  MR. NETTO: 36.  THE MODERATOR: 36.  In the third division.  MS. LONG: 35.  THE MODERATOR: 35.  In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	6	[Pause.]
9 THE MODERATOR: 36.  10 In the third division.  11 MS. LONG: 35.  12 THE MODERATOR: 35.  13 In the second division.  14 MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  15 THE MODERATOR: 66.  16 All those opposed, signify by standing  17 and the tellers will return a count.  18 [Pause.]  19 THE MODERATOR: First division.  20 MR. NETTO: 12.  21 THE MODERATOR: 12.  22 Second division.	7	THE MODERATOR: In the first division.
In the third division.  MS. LONG: 35.  THE MODERATOR: 35.  In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	8	MR. NETTO: 36.
11 MS. LONG: 35.  12 THE MODERATOR: 35.  13 In the second division.  14 MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  15 THE MODERATOR: 66.  16 All those opposed, signify by standing  17 and the tellers will return a count.  18 [Pause.]  19 THE MODERATOR: First division.  20 MR. NETTO: 12.  21 THE MODERATOR: 12.  22 Second division.	9	THE MODERATOR: 36.
THE MODERATOR: 35.  In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	10	In the third division.
In the second division.  MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	11	MS. LONG: 35.
MR. DUFRESNE: 66.  THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	12	THE MODERATOR: 35.
THE MODERATOR: 66.  All those opposed, signify by standing  and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	13	In the second division.
All those opposed, signify by standing and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	14	MR. DUFRESNE: 66.
and the tellers will return a count.  [Pause.]  THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	15	THE MODERATOR: 66.
18 [Pause.]  19 THE MODERATOR: First division.  20 MR. NETTO: 12.  21 THE MODERATOR: 12.  22 Second division.	16	All those opposed, signify by standing
THE MODERATOR: First division.  MR. NETTO: 12.  THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	17	and the tellers will return a count.
20 MR. NETTO: 12. 21 THE MODERATOR: 12. 22 Second division.	18	[Pause.]
THE MODERATOR: 12.  Second division.	19	THE MODERATOR: First division.
22 Second division.	20	MR. NETTO: 12.
	21	THE MODERATOR: 12.
MR. DUFRESNE: 17.	22	Second division.
	23	MR. DUFRESNE: 17.

THE MODERATOR:

24

17.

1	Third division.
2	MS. LONG: 12.
3	THE MODERATOR: 12.
4	By 137 in favor and 41 opposed, the
5	necessary two-thirds is attained and the article
6	passes as amended.
7	Article 19. This is to vote to require
8	three estimates on all Town purchases over \$5,000.
9	The recommendation of the Board of Selectmen is
10	indefinite postponement. The petitioner would
11	like to place a positive motion on the floor. As
12	printed?
13	MR. FINNERAN: Yes.
14	THE MODERATOR: As printed, the main
15	motion.
16	Mr. Finneran.
17	MR. FINNERAN: Thank you very much, Mr.
18	Moderator, Selectmen, Town officers, Town Meeting
19	members and taxpayers.
20	This article is shall Town Meeting vote
21	to require three estimates on all Town purchases
22	over \$5,000. This article is basically nothing
23	more than any responsible homeowner would do in
24	their own home, and I'm just proposing that we

1	spend our money in the same rashion.
2	Next slide, please.
3	The purpose of this article is to ensure
4	that Town Meeting has enough information to make
5	prudent and informed financial decisions, as well
6	as exploring the range of options available to the
7	taxpayer.
8	This article is not about the bid
9	process. Last year when I brought a similar
10	article up, Mr. Netto spoke about the need for
11	proposed specs, and he was right. But this isn't
12	about that. This is about taking steps beforehand,
13	just to gather the proper information and knowledge
14	on what purchase we may perhaps be buying.
15	We also need to sometimes gather
16	expertise from experts, people who sell these
17	things. People who deal with them. We may be
18	heading down the wrong road. We may think we want
19	one thing and we need another. A perfect example
20	of that was the hurricane impact glass on the
21	Lawrence School windows. Which we actually did not
22	need.
23	We've been spending a lot of money
24	lately. We have more money to spend. We have some

not totally unexpected large purchases that we're going to have to make in the very near future: fire engines and fire equipment; a new engine at least, maybe a used one. It's going to cost a million dollars. I mean, that's just the reality of it when all is said and done. I doubt we're going to get it done cheaper. And I'm actually going to show you, later on in this article, where we might be able to raise that million dollars. Or save it in one place; spend it in another.

Next slide, please.

You've seen these before, but these are some examples of how we could've done things better when we came to Town Meeting. The first example is the Police station roof. A couple of years ago, or last year when they came and asked us for money on the Police station roof, Mr. Donahue asked if they got an estimate, and if it was from a local contractor. The answer to that was no.

Next slide, please.

We actually were told that we used an old estimate from the Gus Canty roof. And they added some to it because the Police station is slightly larger. That second fact I dispute. I didn't

1 climb up on the Gus Canty roof and measure it like 2 I did the Police station, and I didn't want to pay 3 the money to have it done by a service which uses 4 satellite. 5 Next slide, please. Example two was the Fire headquarters. 6 don't know if some of you remember when these 7 8 purchases came up we okayed \$60,000 to paint the 9 fire hydrant. We questioned about it. Tom 10 Peterson did; he's a painter. I've painted many things myself. The reality was, when all was said 11 12 and done, it was done for \$30,000. 13 Next example. 14 The next example is the Town Hall paint. 15 On the same evening that we voted \$65,000 to paint 16 the Town Hall, again Tom Peterson and myself and a few others asked about it and we were told that 17 18 that was a legitimate estimate. In the end, it 19 came out at \$35,000. 20 I went back and watched the films. 21 Afterwards, when I - or last meeting, when I had my 22 previous article, the explanation was that we can

run into things. Rot, unexpected things.

is naturally true. But that would only make the

23

1 price higher, not lower. \$65,000 I knew was just 2 too high. And I could show you why. 3 Next slide, please. 4 This is a house, some of you might 5 recognize it. I painted this house for 6 considerably less than \$65,000. That's the front 7 of it. 8 Next slide. 9 That's the back side. I mean, compare 10 that to our Town Hall. It just didn't make sense to me and I didn't think it was researched 11 12 properly. 13 This isn't about personalities or anything. 14 It's just we need to refine our 15 process. We don't have an infinite amount of 16 money; I think we're all coming to realize that. 17 And, can you give me the next slide, 18 please. 19 And, just to show you that I didn't do a 20 halfway job, I mean, you can see that that house 21 was stripped down to the bare wood. It got three 22 coats of Peel Bond primer; three coats of Sherwin 23 Williams' Duration. And, as I said, that was less 24 than \$65,000. I just don't think it was properly

1 researched.

We need to take a little bit more time and come in here with little bit stronger numbers.

We don't have enough money to finance everything that we have and I think we should do it in a little more responsible manner and just take a little bit more time. Some of these things don't take that long; you just call up a few people; find out.

Next slide, please.

Another example was the Lawrence School windows. We were told that the Lawrence School needed impact resistant windows. We were told that it was going to be \$3.9 million. I went to the Lawrence School window subcommittee meeting. I wasn't able to make the last one; I had to pick my mother up at the airport, she was sick. I don't actually know what went on there.

But, I tried to ask some questions, there; I really couldn't get any answers. We were given a presentation that said the windows themselves would cost \$2.5 million. It - it just didn't seem proper, and as it turns out, maybe it wasn't.

But they

1 Next example. 2 The impact, or hurricane glass on the 3 Lawrence School. The first person you heard that 4 we did not need the hurricane glass was from me. I 5 actually suspected it, but how did I find out? I called the salesman. It's really not that 6 difficult. It doesn't take much more time. 7 8 They're not going to lie to you. And if they did, 9 you have two other estimates, too. 10 Another thing on the hurricane impact 11 windows: they simply could have called the Building 12 Inspector and spoken with him. I mean, he knows 13 this stuff in his sleep. For us to go down the 14 road of appropriating the money for something more 15 expensive that we don't need is an unnecessary step 16 in the process. 17 Next slide, please. 18 There are the windows, there, that we're 19 talking about. There's 330 of those. I went out 20 and got an estimate on the windows from a vendor I 21 do business with all the time. 22 First off, I want to say: when I went to the window subcommittee, as I said, I couldn't get 23

a lot of answers to some of my questions.

1	did show us their proposed window configuration,
2	and it had awning windows on the bottom - which
3	you'll see in the bottom, there, in my estimate -
4	and fixed panes on the top. At the end of the
5	process, when I was allowed to ask questions, I
6	asked the architect, "Why don't you have awning
7	windows on the top? The school has no air
8	conditioning. You could use natural convection and
9	cool the rooms down ten degrees. A couple of
10	fans, maybe more. It would be a more conducive
11	environment for learning in June and September days
12	when it might be quite hot. And the back side of
13	that building faces against the sun." The
14	architect answered me she hadn't thought of it.
15	Again, I - it's - if you'd spoken to maybe a few
16	other salesmen, they might have recommended it to
17	you.
18	If you look at that estimate - and it's
19	hard to see, I know. But for me to buy all those
20	windows -
21	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Finneran, I hate to
22	interrupt, but that project had to be bid under the
23	Uniform Procurement Act -
24	MR. FINNERAN: I understand.

1	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
2	MR. FINNERAN: I understand this
3	completely. But, I mean, this isn't about the bid
4	process, this is about getting the proper
5	information before you go out to the bid process.
6	THE MODERATOR: But that's not what your
7	motion is.
8	MR. FINNERAN: I mean, before you come -
9	THE MODERATOR: Your motion is to
10	require three estimates on all purchases over
11	\$5,000. That's your motion.
12	MR. FINNERAN: Yeah. So if you come
13	in here and ask us for money -
14	THE MODERATOR: That's not what your
15	motion says, though. This just says for all
16	purchases over \$5,000.
17	MR. FINNERAN: Should it be -
18	THE MODERATOR: So you want estimates
19	for -
20	MR. FINNERAN: - "prospective
21	purchases"?
22	THE MODERATOR: So you want estimates
23	before an article comes to Town Meeting?
24	MR. FINNERAN: Yes.

1	THE MODERATOR: Okay. But that's -
2	MR. FINNERAN: I guess I didn't word it
3	properly; should we amend it? But, that's what I
4	mean: before you come to us, I'm requesting just a
5	little more information.
6	THE MODERATOR: Yeah, so that's before
7	submission to Town Meeting?
8	MR. FINNERAN: Yeah, that would work.
9	If it's all right with Town Counsel and anybody
10	else.
11	THE MODERATOR: Before submission to
12	Town Meeting.
13	All right, so we've got an amendment that
14	the petitioner is asking: before submission to Town
15	Meeting, to add the language, there. Can we just
16	take a quick vote on that amendment to add it.
17	All those in favor, signify by saying
18	Aye.
19	[Aye.]
20	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
21	[No.]
22	THE MODERATOR: It's the opinion of the
23	chair that the ayes have it and the main motion
24	includes "before submission to Town Meeting".

1 Mr. Finneran.

MR. FINNERAN: Okay. You can see in that - well, first off, the process that they intend to go through to install these windows is to remove everything that you see there and put in 70 foot long window units. Those were all replaced in 1974, or 1984. And between all the windows are aluminum beams. You can simply remove the window on the bottom, the two windows in the middle and the window on top and use replacement windows.

To buy all the replacement windows for those 330 windows is \$391,000. They're Harvey windows; they come from Harvey Industries; they're used all over the country. The actual state process requires metal windows. I would say there's an argument that's to get state money. You could argue with them that they have metal there already.

But, anybody who replaces replacement window, puts these replacement windows in, will put them in for twice the cost of the window. I understand it needs to be at scale or the pay scale or whatever, union rate - prevailing wage, rather, excuse me. So, instead of saying it's twice the

1	cost, say it's three times the cost. You're
2	talking about being able to replace all those
3	windows for \$1.2 million. Have we heard that
4	figure before? That was the figure that was
5	withdrawn right before Town Meeting and we came up
6	with the higher price.
7	The state will reimburse us one-third of
8	that money and we could still do these windows in
9	this fashion for \$1.2 million instead of paying
10	2.8, or whatever, our own money? I asked the
11	subcommittee, now that they realize they don't need
12	hurricane windows -
4.0	
13	DR. CLARK: [no mic:] Point of order.
13 14	DR. CLARK: [no mic:] Point of order.  THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.
14	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.
14 15	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having  trouble connecting these examples to the issue of
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having  trouble connecting these examples to the issue of things over \$5,000. So I'm confused about how
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having  trouble connecting these examples to the issue of  things over \$5,000. So I'm confused about how  this is germane to the article we're [inaudible] -
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having  trouble connecting these examples to the issue of  things over \$5,000. So I'm confused about how  this is germane to the article we're [inaudible] -  MR. FINNERAN: Well, yeah, I think it's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having  trouble connecting these examples to the issue of  things over \$5,000. So I'm confused about how  this is germane to the article we're [inaudible] -  MR. FINNERAN: Well, yeah, I think it's  quite simple. Maybe I'm wrong. The estimate
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE MODERATOR: Dr. Clark.  DR. CLARK: [No mic:] I'm having  trouble connecting these examples to the issue of  things over \$5,000. So I'm confused about how  this is germane to the article we're [inaudible] -  MR. FINNERAN: Well, yeah, I think it's  quite simple. Maybe I'm wrong. The estimate  they got was for \$2.5 million just to purchase the

1	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
2	MR. FINNERAN: - getting this done for
3	half the expenditure.
4	THE MODERATOR: Let's open up the
5	debate, here.
6	MR. FINNERAN: Okay.
7	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Duffany.
8	MR. DUFFANY: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
9	I honestly didn't come prepared to get into this
10	piece of the debate, but it's important for people
11	to understand that - ${\tt I'm}$ on this committee, just
12	for disclosure, okay? And I got involved after
13	we voted for it here at Town Meeting.
14	The first thing I did as a committee
15	member was to call Mike Wrigley, who is the code
16	enforcement officer at the state BBRS, the Building
17	Board of Regulations and Standards, and asked him
18	if indeed we do need hurricane windows in this
19	building. If we want this to be a shelter, we
20	have to have hurricane windows. This is not a
21	shelter, we don't need to have the windows. The
22	committee did its due diligence.
23	Mr. Finneran's correct, he came to the
24	meeting. We introduced ourselves at our first

1 meeting. Before we could even get into our 2 agenda, Mr. Finneran wanted to be heard. As most 3 of you know who go to these kinds of meetings, public comment is at the end, but he inserted 4 5 himself into the discussion to let us all know that he knew more about this than the rest of us. And 6 frankly, a lot of the stuff - Mark, with all due 7 8 respect, your heart is in the right place, but 9 these - you cannot put these windows in this 10 building. They're not something that the state is going to stand behind. They have to be a metal 11 12 window or an aluminum window. They can't be a 13 clad - excuse me, a vinyl window. If you put two by fours in between them, they're going to blow in. 14 15 Anyways, enough of that. The point 16 being that we do do our due diligence, okay? your point is well taken, but I think you're off 17 18 base on this one, here, by a big shot. 19 There's a valance, by the way, up in the 20 top that allows those windows at the top to not be 21 When those curtains go up, they actually 22 don't go all the way to the top because they're up 23 in a valance that's up inside, on the inside of the 24 window.

1	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Cook.
2	MR. COOK: I think we're a little bit
3	off track here. I want to get back to his motion.
4	I support this. Personally, I'm a
5	fiscally conservative person and I believe that
6	when you're at my personal home, for my work, for
7	what I do, that you should be getting at least
8	three bids for projects, whatever it may be.
9	Because, as Town Meeting members, we're charged
10	with spending the people's money. Paying the
11	taxpayer by recommending things. I think this is a
12	valid point and I think Mr. Finneran is making a
13	valid point; I agree with it.
14	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Latimer. And
15	then Mr. Zavala.
16	MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct
17	one.
18	Like you, Mr. Moderator, I had a little
19	trouble following exactly what point Mr. Finneran
20	was trying to make. And it seemed like he was kind
21	of all over the place.
22	Now, the simple question of whether we
23	should go below what the state law requires, do we
24	get three bids on, you know, jobs costing between

1	five and ten thousand dollars, has little to do
2	with the examples he was giving.
3	THE MODERATOR: That's why I asked about
4	_
5	MR. Latimer: Well, nothing to do,
6	actually.
7	THE MODERATOR: - and then we got that
8	amendment because it wasn't relative to -
9	MR. LATIMER: The examples he was giving
10	were jobs which, if - unless he's accusing the
11	agency - the boards of not complying with the law,
12	they all did get three bids.
13	THE MODERATOR: No, but I think -
14	MR. FINNERAN: No.
15	THE MODERATOR: Let's bifurcate this. I
16	don't know how many of you know Chapter 30B.
17	Probably some of you, but not all of you. So
18	Chapter 30B is a law that regulates what you have
19	to do when you're actually going to do the
20	purchase. So Mr. Finneran is, with that
	purchase. So Mr. Filmeran is, with that
21	amendment, is talking about what do you do before
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	
	amendment, is talking about what do you do before

1 Uniform Procurement Act.

MR. LATIMER: On its face, you know, going below the 10,000, I don't know what administrative - additional administrative costs that's going to require for what kind of projected savings. Which is something I think we would want to know before we burden our boards with that kind of extra effort.

But I did hear what he was basically saying was that we were appropriating more money than the jobs actually cost. Which, actually, you know, I've had a little bit of experience, having gone through overseeing the construction of two homes. And I learned the hard way on one of them that if the job is \$95,000 - we're going back some years, now - and I take out a construction loan for \$95,000, so I'm going to get stuck in a hole.

So, what you do is if it's \$95,000 you might want to take out a loan for oh, 105 or 115.

That way, you're paying a little more in interest, but if foreseeable snags come up, you've got it covered. And I think that's the reason why these boards come in and ask us for more than the jobs are actually going to cost. And I think that's the

1	wiser practice, thank you.
2	THE MODERATOR: Okay -
3	MR. FINNERAN: Can I respond to that?
4	THE MODERATOR: Let me get Mr. Zavala
5	and then I'll come back.
6	Mr. Zavala.
7	MR. ZAVALA: Thank you. Jay Zavala,
8	precinct 8.
9	In my research, I learned that our town
10	procurement system was designed by the state's
11	Inspector General, the Mass. Association of Public
12	Purchasing Officials, the Mass. Association of
13	School Business Officials, the Mass. Municipal
14	Lawyers Association, and our local officials.
15	They adapted all of our rules to the American Bar
16	Association model procurement code. The system
17	promotes competition and fairness. It enables
18	Falmouth to devote more time to making best value
19	procurements. Adding more rigorous local
20	regulations does not help small business. I'm
21	going to vote against this.
22	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Mr. Finneran.
23	MR. FINNERAN: If you read in the
24	article itself I ask for estimates, and I said it's

not about the bid process. It's just about
gathering proper information.

When you appropriate, price as much money for a job as it actually costs or should cost.

This is a public process. You're setting a floor.

I mean, people are going to go out there, you know, people like me, "Hey, they're appropriating 65,000 for a \$30,000 job. Well, I'm going to bid 48." or something like that. I mean, ten percent is an industry standard; not 50 percent or a hundred percent. I'm only asking that you call a few people, you get a few estimates. You do it at home.

And in the case for the roofs, for instance, you can call a supplier. They have a Service called Legal View. For twenty to fifty dollars they'll use the satellite system. They'll measure your roof right down to the last shingle and the last half a stick of drip edge. And you can make an intelligent decision.

I mean, the going rate for commercial roofing is 750 for shingles and about 1100 for a rubber roof. I mean, you could take that 20 or 50 dollars' worth of information and you could come

1 here with something a little more concrete. That's all I'm asking is we put a little more 2 3 effort into this. 4 And if it is done, I am completely sure 5 that we're going to realize some savings down the 6 road. 7 THE MODERATOR: Okay, in the center 8 section. 9 MR. FINNERAN: Can I address one more 10 thing? Mr. Duffany's point. You can put aluminum between the windows and -11 12 THE MODERATOR: Okay, let's not talk 13 about that. This is not what it's about. Well, this is about 14 MR. FINNERAN: 15 whether I'm correct. 16 THE MODERATOR: It's about whether we're 17 going to get estimates on things before Town 18 Meeting. 19 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Mary Harris, 20 precinct 5 and treasurer of several different 21 organizations and also a member of the Finance 22 Committee here. 23 I think Mr. Finneran is confusing what a 24 budget process is versus the actually effectuation

1	of a project. That when you are asked in April at
2	Town Meeting to pass a budget, that is a budget.
3	We're budgeting for what we think is going to
4	happen in the coming year and we hope that we're
5	going to be very close and it is done with a
6	process. That everything that goes into that
7	budget is very carefully thought of by the
8	professionals in each of the Departments who have
9	requested items. And these are people familiar
10	with the pricing within their areas, and if they're
11	not terribly familiar, then they're making that one
12	phone call to get a range themselves.
13	That there is a great deal of thought
14	that goes into the budget. And, as Mr. Latimer
15	says, occasionally a \$95,000 turns into \$130,000
16	project. I think you'd be much happier at the end
17	of each year having all that Free Cash we get from
18	the savings on these than you would be listening
19	over and over again at Town Meeting to why we're
20	asking you for more money for each single project
21	that didn't work out exactly the way we wanted it.

THE MODERATOR: Ms. Schneider.

MR. FINNERAN: Again -

THE MODERATOR: Ms. Schneider.

1 MS. SCHNEIDER: Barbara Schneider, 2 precinct 4.

So we've done a lot of talking about what we do in our own homes and how we're fiscally responsible at our own homes. Let me just give you a today story. What started as trying to fix frozen gutter situations from last winter in time for this winter, what turned into my guessing what we'd have to spend to replace some rotten wood under the gutters, and today when the person that I'd talked to - and I did talk to three people - the amount of money that we thought we were going to spend to replace that rotten wood under the existing gutters then today revealed that we had completely rotten beams under those face boards. I'm looking at a lot more money than I budgeted.

And I am glad that we have a process where we have enough money put aside. We don't spend that extra money and if you're accusing the people that come to do work for the Town of always looking at what the bid was that we approve and - and putting their bid to match what we've talked about at Town Meeting, I don't think that's happening. These are usually good people trying

1	to work for the fown. I trust these people and I
2	trust the process. I would not vote this in.
3	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Jones.
4	CHAIRMAN JONES: Defending the Board of
5	Selectmen tonight, I'd like to defend our
6	department heads, managers who come forward with a
7	budget request, which goes to our Finance Director
8	who reviews it and inspects it, and then it's
9	debated among our Finance Committee. So if we
10	need to say those are three estimates, we have
11	those three estimates from people who work very
12	hard and know their job and deserve a lot of thanks
13	for the time and effort that goes into it.
14	I encourage you all to go to a Finance
15	Committee meeting some time and see how much care
16	and thought they put into reviewing the requests
17	that are put forward before they come to Town
18	Meeting.
19	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Netto. No?
20	Okay. Anything else?
21	The question will come on the main
22	motion. All those in favor, signify - excuse me,
23	the main motion as amended. We added "before
24	submission to Town Meeting" at the end. All those

1	in favor of the main motion as amended, signify by
2	saying aye.
3	[Aye.]
4	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
5	[No.]
6	THE MODERATOR: It's the opinion of the
7	chair that the nos have it by a majority and we'll
8	stand in a 15 minute recess.
9	[Whereupon, recess taken.]
10	THE MODERATOR: Here we go, Article 20.
11	I'm going to re-establish a quorum. All Town
12	Meeting members please rise for the establishment
13	of the quorum and the tellers will return the
14	count.
15	[Pause.]
16	THE MODERATOR: Have you been in your
17	seat for a quorum count, so you can count?
18	In the third division.
19	MS. LONG: 47.
20	THE MODERATOR: 47.
21	In the first division.
22	MR. NETTO: 45.
23	THE MODERATOR: 45.
24	Second division.

1	MR. DUFRESNE: 77.
2	THE MODERATOR: 77.
3	By a counted vote of 169, we have a
4	quorum and the Annual Town Meeting is back in
5	session.
6	Article 20, this is to direct the Board
7	of Selectmen to adopt a fee structure at the
8	compost facility for commercial users. The
9	recommendation of the Board of Selectmen is
10	indefinite postponement. The petitioner would
11	like to place a positive motion on the floor.
12	As printed? As printed. Mr. Finneran.
13	MR. FINNERAN: This is Article 20.
14	THE MODERATOR: Twenty, yes.
15	MR. FINNERAN: Is to - shall Town
16	Meeting vote to direct the Board of Selectmen to
17	adopt a fee structure at the compost facility for
18	commercial users. I'm sure you're all familiar
19	with the compost facility.
20	Next slide, please.
21	These pictures didn't come out as well.
22	The sun was kind of bright that day, but we have an
23	incredible amount of product in that facility.
24	It's getting overfilled.

1	Next slide, please.
2	Again, it's kind of hard to see, but
3	those are all windrows of the processed compost, or
4	in the process of being processed, if that's
5	proper. This facility has been abused and
6	overlooked for many years, as well as it's being
7	taken advantage of by commercial users and users
8	from outside of town.
9	Next slide, please.
10	They pile that stuff as high as they can,
11	actually. It's almost impossible to figure out how
12	much product is there, but it's becoming full.
13	Next slide, please.
13 14	Next slide, please.  Again, there's another example of what
14	Again, there's another example of what
14 15	Again, there's another example of what you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and
14 15 16	Again, there's another example of what you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and it goes at least 500 feet and it's probably it
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Again, there's another example of what you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and it goes at least 500 feet and it's probably it averages about 75 or 100 feet wide. I don't know
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	Again, there's another example of what you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and it goes at least 500 feet and it's probably it averages about 75 or 100 feet wide. I don't know how many yard of product is in there, but it's at
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	Again, there's another example of what you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and it goes at least 500 feet and it's probably it averages about 75 or 100 feet wide. I don't know how many yard of product is in there, but it's at least 10,000.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Again, there's another example of what you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and it goes at least 500 feet and it's probably it averages about 75 or 100 feet wide. I don't know how many yard of product is in there, but it's at least 10,000.  Next slide, please.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Again, there's another example of what  you got. That pile is probably 25 feet high, and  it goes at least 500 feet and it's probably it  averages about 75 or 100 feet wide. I don't know  how many yard of product is in there, but it's at  least 10,000.  Next slide, please.  We went and did a traffic count, myself,

1 home with his face in a bag of ice and eatin' 2 painkillers. 3 But, when we did our traffic count, 4 during the weekdays there were 50 trips an hour, 5 roughly. There was a 50/50 split between residential and commercial. And during the week, 6 that was 400 trips a day; 200 commercial. 7 8 dollars a truck, that'd be \$2,000 a day. We're 9 the only Town around that does not charge to 10 dispose of this stuff. On the weekends it grows even larger. 11 12 The weekend we did go it rained, so the commercial 13 stuff was lower. It should have been higher. But still, it was a substantial amount of 14 15 commercial vehicles. We got 445 residential 16 vehicles on Saturday; 97 commercial, including two 17 large trucks that do trees. You know, the big 18 tree trucks, they dump their wood chips there. Again, at ten dollars a load for commercial users, 19 20 I mean, you're talking about bringing in \$970 a 21 And it's nothing that all the towns around 22 us don't do already. 23 Next slide, please. 24 I mean, you can see there how busy the

facility is and how it gets used. I mean, in that particular picture the majority of the users are residential and I do not propose - or we do not propose to charge residential users anything.

If you look, you can see the road, there, and everything to the right of the road is that product and it goes down about 20 feet, and as I say it goes about 500 feet long. There's an incredible amount of it.

Next slide, please.

How do we do that? Simply with a gate that reads bar codes on your sticker. And solid waste, we've been talking for months on end trying to figure out how we could - or perhaps if we would use a recycling sticker for a lower price so that people who go to the Waste Management Facility strictly to recycle would pay a lesser fee and increase the - and, you know, hopefully increase our recycling volume and lessen the volume on the curb.

The way to get around this, we thought - I mean, we just want to look at the idea.

They're looking at it already. They have the
loader operator who's designated four hours a day

down there counting cars, as well, and everyone's

pretty much aware that we got to do something with

this facility.

Now, the proposal I had, which would be simple, or that we thought of, is you simply buy a recycling sticker for \$20. That would allow - this thing will let you in, read the bar code, it'll let you in and if everybody who bought a sticker -- we wouldn't have to separate commercial from residential -- everyone that bought a sticker would get, say, I don't know, 30 or 40 trips free on their sticker. Then, after that, 40 trips a year, you'd start paying.

There are commercial vehicles that use that facility five, six, seven times a day. When we were counting, we erred on the side of residential vehicles, but towards the end of the afternoon when you saw the same pickup truck with different individuals in it coming back for the fourth or the fifth time, you know, we figured that they perhaps were doing commercial work.

I just think it should be looked at.

I'm not alone in that. And I just think we should pass this article and try to do something about

1	this before we have to close it down.
2	I also know someone who works part-time
3	at a golf course in Sandwich. They have a
4	dedicated driver and a vehicle that brings all
5	their grass from mowing their golf course to
6	Falmouth. The guy makes four or five trips a day.
7	I mean, so it is being abused by out-of-towners,
8	and it's - there was an article in the Enterprise
9	that said, "Don't fix it if it ain't broken."
10	Well, it's breaking. It's close. I mean, it'd be
11	nice to get ahead of this. And we certainly could
12	use the revenue.
13	Thanks.
14	THE MODERATOR: Okay, discussion on
15	Article 20.
16	Mr. Dufresne.
17	MR. DUFRESNE: Adrien Dufresne, precinct
18	2.
19	I cannot agree with Mr. Finneran on this
20	particular issue. I think the compost properly
21	manages a valuable asset for the people of the town
22	of Falmouth. It not only keeps our areas clean,
23	but to all of a sudden start to nickel and dime us

24

at a site that we chose a few years ago to become a

1	valuable change from rotten leaves to loam, I think
2	it's wrong to discourage people from going there.
3	So, I would support indefinite
4	postponement for this article. I just don't think
5	we should change what's been working for us for a
6	number of years.
7	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. McConarty.
8	MR. MCCONARTY: Thank you, Mr.
9	Moderator. Peter McConarty, Deputy Director of
10	Public Works, Town Engineer.
11	I did have a presentation that I'd put
12	some time onto tonight, but I would just like to
13	make some final comments that I was going to say at
14	the end.
15	What I'd like to see is the
16	recommendation from the Article 20 from the
17	explanation. I think it's perfectly written that
18	the Deputy Director of Public Works will work with
19	the Solid Waste Advisory Committee over the
20	upcoming months to present the plan.
21	I think there's more items up there than
22	just a fee structure, and I would like to be able
23	to work with the Solid Waste Committee to come up
24	with a plan, bring it back to the Selectmen and

1	possibly look forward to making - opening up a plan
2	or a possibility of a plan in April when the
3	facility opens up again.
4	This time of year it gets very busy.
5	There is a lot of stake bodies, one ton dump bodies
6	going up into that area. We do know that there's
7	out of town trucks that are using that facility and
8	we have some ideas we'd like to work with the Solid
9	Waste Advisory Committee.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay, further
11	discussion? Hearing none, the question will come
12	on the main motion.
13	All those in favor, signify by saying
14	Aye.
15	[Aye.]
16	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
17	[No.]
18	THE MODERATOR: It is the opinion of the
19	chair that the no's have it by a majority.
20	Article 21 is a non-binding resolution
21	regarding the divestment of public pension funds
22	from fossil fuel companies.
23	The chair of Board of Selectmen for the
24	main motion.

1	CHAIRMAN JUNES: Mr. Moderator, I move
2	Article 21 as printed.
3	THE MODERATOR: Okay, as printed. And
4	we have someone to make a presentation? Okay, Mr.
5	Waasdorp.
6	MR. WAASDORP: Peter Waasdorp, precinct
7	one.
8	Falmouth residents Roman Long and Charles
9	Grant Walker have prepared a presentation. In lieu
10	of the hour and the unanimous support of the Board
11	of Selectmen, and not being sure why the article
12	was held, we'll withhold that presentation unless
13	its necessary.
14	THE MODERATOR: Okay, any discussion on
15	Article 21? Mr. Anderson.
16	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
17	Good evening. My name is Gary Anderson from
18	precinct seven.
19	In the interest of full disclosure, I
20	serve on the Town's Retirement Board. However,
21	I'm not speaking on behalf of or for the board.
22	I'm speaking as a concerned citizen and taxpayer,
23	and also as a fiduciary in my capacity as a
24	Retirement Board member.

My previous work background and my role as a fiduciary lead me to speak against Article 21. I spent 30 years in Minneapolis and Boston in the retirement and pension investment business. I was not a portfolio manager, however I worked closely with pension fund managers and investment research professionals.

One important thing I learned is that successful and prudent investing must be a financial decision based on analysis, not emotion.

As a fiduciary, I have a legal duty to act at all times for the sole benefit and interest of the members of the pension plan. I must act in good faith, avoid conflicts of interest, and I may not act for the benefit of myself or a third party. My work background and my role as a fiduciary lead me to express grave concern over the potential negative effects of Article 21.

First and foremost I commend the people of Falmouth who not only express concern about moral, social and environmental issues but actually attempt to do something to effect change. However honorable and well-intentioned those actions may be, sometimes those efforts miss the mark and have

1 unintended consequences. This Article 21, 2 although only advisory, is an example of good 3 intentions. But if ultimately implemented, it 4 could have an unfavorable impact on each of us as 5 taxpayers and result in a breach of fiduciary duty. 6 Just to be very clear, Let me explain. 7 whether an individual or a pension fund decides to 8 buy the stock of a company or to boycott the stock 9 of a company by not buying it, such actions will 10 have absolutely no affect on the financial well-11 being of that company. 12 What might the affect of a favorable vote 13 on Article 21 be, then? If the state of 14 Massachusetts were to ultimately decide that 15 pension funds within the state could not invest in 16 fossil fuel companies, the only impact will be further limiting the investment options of the 17 18 pension fund portfolio managers. A less 19 diversified portfolio means greater risk of 20 volatility and under-performance of that portfolio. 21 And if there's under-performance in a 22 municipal pension plan, who's affected? Not the 23 fossil fuel companies whose stock was boycotted.

Not the employees owed or drawing a pension.

1	those who fund the pension plan that are affected.
2	In this instance, you and me, the taxpayers. We
3	have to pay to make up for that under-performance.
4	In Massachusetts we can actually look
5	back to see how well divestiture and restriction of
6	investment in a sector industry works. Several
7	years ago, Massachusetts restricted investing in
8	tobacco companies for state and municipal pension
9	plans. How has that affected those tobacco
10	companies? Well, let's take a look at three large
11	companies in that industry over the last five
12	years. Altria, which manufactures Marlboro
13	cigarettes; Philip Morris and Reynolds American.
14	Altria's stock has gone from \$25 per
15	share to nearly \$60 per share today. That's
16	almost a 20 percent average annual increase in the
17	stock price.
18	Philip Morris's stock has gone from \$60 a
19	share in 2010 to nearly \$90 per share today.
20	Reynolds American's stock has gone from \$16 a share
21	and touched near \$50 per share over that same five
22	year period. A 26 percent average annual
23	increase.

24

Earnings in all those companies have

1 increased over those five years. So who got hurt 2 by Massachusetts taking a moral stand against Philip Morris and Reynolds 3 tobacco? Altria? 4 American? It was the pension funds who Nope. 5 were denied the opportunity to participate in the increase of the stock price and collect the 6 7 dividends of these companies.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Can we conclude from this small sample that taxpayers ended up paying more in taxes to fund those plans? Not with any certainty. But had those pension plans had the option to buy those stocks, those taxpayers could have benefitted.

There's also a question of whether restricting investment in an industry is an action used to benefit a third party. That is, those who have a social or moral objection to the products of certain companies. Using a pension plan as leverage or a tool to achieve an objective other than contributing to the security of a pension plan member's retirement income appears to conflict with the duties required of a fiduciary.

In conclusion, the sole and exclusive purpose of a pension plan is to achieve a secure retirement income for its members through

diversification of investments and wise investing
strategies. Deviating from this purpose by using
a pension plan to achieve a social or moral goal is
inappropriate and detrimental to the taxpayers who
fund pension plans.

There are other, more appropriate tactics to achieve social or moral goals. Those of you who are still strongly opposed to fossil fuel companies can individually elect not to buy either the stocks or especially the products of those companies, if you so choose. Investments which reflect your personal views may be both morally satisfying and appropriate for your individual portfolios. But please, don't take an action which may have the unintended consequences of putting your taxpaying neighbors at increased financial risk and the pension plans' fiduciaries at legal risk by restricting investment options in mutual pension plans.

20 Please vote no on Article 21. Thank
21 you.

22 [Applause.]

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23 THE MODERATOR: Let's go, folks. I 24 don't want to be here tomorrow night.

1	Mr. Waasdorp.
2	MR. WAASDORP: Peter Waasdorp, precinct
3	one.
4	Had I known Mr. Anderson had placed to
5	hold the article I would have reacted differently.
6	I would appreciate if Grant and Roman could now
7	give the presentation which will answer some of
8	those objections. There are safety plans built
9	into Article 21 to protect the state's investors.
10	THE MODERATOR: It's not really safety
11	plans. This is just you're going to send me a
12	letter if you pass it. And this is a non-binding
13	resolution. This doesn't change anything.
14	Go ahead.
15	I want to be clear this is a non-binding
16	resolution to send a letter to state officials on
17	this issues, okay? I don't want to do this for an
18	hour. You either want to send us a letter or you
19	don't.
20	Go ahead.
21	MR. WALKER: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
22	I'm Grant Walker, 71 Philadelphia Street, the
23	Maravista peninsula, precinct number three.
24	MR. LONG: Roman Long, precinct nine.

1 MR. WALKER: Could you take us to slide 2 number nine, please? 3 This letter that we'll send -4 Could you go back one slide, I'm sorry. 5 Take me back one more. 6 I'd just like to start here. This letter that we would like to send to the state authorities 7 8 is a message that we don't want public pension 9 funds to support profit at the expense of the 10 climate and our future. So, morality is a part of it. 11 12 We think that one of the reasons that we 13 are facing the problems of climate change that we are facing is because morality has been totally 14 15 divorced from moneymaking. Moneymaking is like a 16 machine; it's going to go on for the purpose of moneymaking regardless of the effects. 17 And so 18 that's something that we are concerned about. 19 We don't want profit at the expense of 20 We've got to live on the planet. the climate. 21 can have a lot of money, but if the whole region in 22 which we live is flooded out, if the whole region 23 in which we live is dried up, that money's not

going to do us that much good.

1	Could we go to the next slide, please.
2	This is an image of the Philippines after
3	Hurricane Hayian. 6,300 people were killed in
4	that hurricane in the Philippines alone.
5	Next slide, please.
6	Roman.
7	MR. LONG: Yeah, so, Peter was correct
8	in saying that there is an insurance policy within
9	this plan. We'll get into it in a moment. But
10	the insurance policy is that - well, first of all
11	we'll go over what the plan set forth by Senator
12	Ben Downing's Senate Bill 1350, if you'd like to
13	take a look at it, and House Bill 2269 set forth by
14	Margery Decker, I believe her last name is - but
15	divestment will occur over a series of five years
16	divesting at least 20 percent in intervals of 20
17	percent per year of the fossil fuel industry, which
18	is approximately three percent of the state pension
19	fund.
20	And, next slide, please.
21	And assuming that the state pension does
22	not drop lower than 99.5 percent of what it would
23	have been, then the - which it likely won't.

Grant, what was the number from Trillium

1 Asset Management that they had conducted a study 2 and found how many millions of dollars was lost by 3 fossil fuel industries? 4 MR. WALKER: Yes, \$521 million were lost 5 from the state's public pension funds in the prior year from the investments in fossil fuel companies 6 7 alone. 8 MR. LONG: So the connection that Mr. 9 Anderson tried to make between tobacco companies 10 and their - it just so happened that they found new markets overseas, in India, for example, and they 11 12 were able to have a resurgence. It's an apples to 13 orange comparison, meaning it's not a fair 14 comparison to say that the resurgence in the stock 15 of tobacco is likely to happen with fossil fuel 16 industries when they've already received this large loss in their individual finances which has come 17 18 back on our state pension. 19 And I think Bill McKibben says it best. 20 He says that it makes no sense to pay for 21 retirement by investing in companies that guarantee 22 you won't have a planet worth retiring on. Just 23 reiterating what Grant said.

Next slide, please.

1	So there's already been 14 towns in the
2	state of Massachusetts that have passed this non-
3	binding resolution to encourage the - our state
4	senators and representatives to pass the bills
5	before them to start this process of divestment.
6	And two on the Cape, those being Truro and
7	Provincetown.
8	Next slide, please.
9	So, there's a slew of both local so,
10	Town organizations that support this measure, as
11	well as state organizations. Grant, can you name
12	a few for us, please?
13	MR. WALKER: The following have endorsed
14	Falmouth's Article 21: the Falmouth Board of
15	Selectmen, the Falmouth Climate Action Team, the
16	Green Center, the Falmouth Democratic Town
16 17	Green Center, the Falmouth Democratic Town Committee.
17	Committee.
17 18	Committee.  Next slide, please.
17 18 19	Committee.  Next slide, please.  The West Falmouth Friends Meeting, the
17 18 19 20	Committee.  Next slide, please.  The West Falmouth Friends Meeting, the  Cinema Politica, Occupy Falmouth, Cape & Island
17 18 19 20 21	Committee.  Next slide, please.  The West Falmouth Friends Meeting, the  Cinema Politica, Occupy Falmouth, Cape & Island  Self Reliance.

1	the Boston Teacher's Union, the Massachusetts Union
2	for Human Service Workers and Educators, Service
3	Employees International Union Local 888, the
4	Massachusetts Nurses Association, the Educators for
5	a Democratic Union caucus of the Massachusetts
6	Teachers Association.
7	Next slide, please.
8	MR. WALKER: Support - I'm sorry, also
9	supporting divestment in Massachusetts is an
10	organization known as Divest Our Pensions Now.
11	That is an organization of current and former
12	public school teachers and state employees.
13	Students for a Just and Stable Future, Trillium
14	Asset Management and the Union of Concern
15	Scientists have all supported divestment in
16	Massachusetts.
17	Next slide, please.
18	MR. LONG: So, also some individuals
19	that have supported it on the state level is former
20	Secretary Steve Grossman and current Secretary Deb
21	Goldberg, as well as eleven Massachusetts state
22	senators and 34 Massachusetts state
23	representatives.
24	N1-11

24

Next slide, please.

1	So, we believe from the information that
2	we've gathered such as the unfortunate occurrence
3	that the state pension has already taken a hit
4	because we have continued investments in fossil
5	fuel industries, we believe that that, coupled with
6	the moral and social as well as environmental
7	reasons, they speak truth to why we should support
8	this non-binding resolution to encourage the state
9	to take up the current bills they have before them
10	and to hopefully right this - this wrong we have
11	and get going on a new foot of supporting clean,
12	green energy.
13	So we encourage you to vote yes on
14	Article 21.
15	MR. WALKER: Next slide, please.
16	MR. LONG: Thank you.
17	THE MODERATOR: Ms. Flynn.
18	MR. WALKER: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
19	THE MODERATOR: Yes. Mrs. Flynn.
20	MS. FLYNN: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
21	I just wanted to speak to give a few
22	comments related to perspective. I have been a
23	member of the Barnstable County Retirement Board
24	for the last seven years. Barnstable County

1 Retirement comprises all the towns on the Cape with 2 the exception of Falmouth, that has its own 3 Retirement board, Martha's Vineyard, Nantucket, all 4 the fire districts on the Cape, all the water 5 districts, all the school districts on the Cape, and I always like to say the Brewster Ladies 6 7 Library is also included. 8 [Laughter.] 9 MS. FLYNN: But it's - the state 10 Retirement Board is a \$50 billion operation, and it 11 is now, in terms of its investment, number one in 12 the country. 13 In the last five years, the Retirement 14 Board hired a man by the name of Michael Trotsky. 15 He's the Executive Director, and he has absolutely 16 turned around the Retirement Board like you cannot believe in terms of investment. 17 And there has 18 been, as I said, a significant return on the 19 investments, to the point where people from around 20 the country, retirement boards from around the 21 country are looking to this state. PRIM, it's 22 called: the Public Retirement Investment Management board, really seeking out Mr. Trotsky in terms of 23 24 how he's been able to turn this around and make it

1	so that the monies have such a significant return.
2	But I think the point I wanted to make
3	was that, investments at that level, when they make
4	them they come in very large blocks. And to
5	separate out a certain fund such as funds related
6	to fossil fuels becomes very expensive. But it
7	doesn't mean that the State Retirement Board is not
8	committed to work on that, and recognizes the fact
9	that there are several towns in the state who have
10	voted for these changes to be made, and they're
11	very much aware of it and they're looking to find a
12	way to do it in a very cost-effective way.
13	Because, as I said, investments are made in very,
14	very large blocks of money and entities and so it's
15	very cumbersome to be able to extract those out and
16	remove them from that type of investment. Thanks.
17	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Cook.
18	MR. COOK: In the interest of getting to
19	other articles, I'd like to call the vote.
20	THE MODERATOR: Okay, we have a motion
21	to close discussion.
22	All those in favor, signify by saying
23	Aye.
24	[Aye.]

1	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
2	[No.]
3	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it by the
4	two-thirds and I so declare.
5	The question will come on Article 21 as
6	printed.
7	All those in favor, signify by saying
8	Aye.
9	[Aye.]
10	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
11	[No.]
12	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor
13	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
14	count.
15	[Pause.]
16	THE MODERATOR: Third division.
17	MS. LONG: 14.
18	THE MODERATOR: 14.
19	First Division.
20	MR. NETTO: 13.
21	
	THE MODERATOR: 13.
22	THE MODERATOR: 13.  Second division.
22 23	

1	All those opposed signify by standing and
2	the tellers will return a count.
3	[Pause.]
4	THE MODERATOR: I got a sense we had
5	some yelling going on, that time. So, let's just
6	in a level tone say "yea" or "nay" so we don't have
7	to keep counting everyone.
8	First division?
9	MR. NETTO: 29.
10	THE MODERATOR: 29.
11	Second division?
12	MR. DUFRESNE: 40.
13	THE MODERATOR: 40.
14	Third division.
15	MS. LONG: 32.
16	THE MODERATOR: 32.
17	By a counted vote of 56 in favor and 101
18	opposed, the article does not pass.
19	Article 22. The original recommendation
20	of the Board of Selectmen is as printed. We
21	understand the petitioner has a different main
22	motion they would like to place forward, so would
23	the Board of Selectmen yield to the petitioner?
24	Okay.

1	Mr. Waasdorp.
2	MR. WAASDORP: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
3	Peter Waasdorp, precinct one.
4	A number of people critically read the
5	article as it was written in the warrant book and
6	offered some great suggestions, so it's been re-
7	written. The new article appears up on the slide.
8	Until such time as electronic voting is
9	instituted in Falmouth Town and Special Meetings,
10	all standing votes should be tallied by two tellers
11	per section who will count each row together and
12	agree on their count before they proceed to the
13	next row.
13 14	next row.  Next slide, please.
14	Next slide, please.
14 15	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting
14 15 16	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting  passed by margins as small as one vote and, in the
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting  passed by margins as small as one vote and, in the  case of a two-thirds vote, four-tenths of the vote.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting  passed by margins as small as one vote and, in the  case of a two-thirds vote, four-tenths of the vote.  Could understandable human error have affected
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting  passed by margins as small as one vote and, in the  case of a two-thirds vote, four-tenths of the vote.  Could understandable human error have affected  these outcomes?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting  passed by margins as small as one vote and, in the  case of a two-thirds vote, four-tenths of the vote.  Could understandable human error have affected  these outcomes?  I need to interject here there is no
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Next slide, please.  Articles at Fall, 2014 Town Meeting  passed by margins as small as one vote and, in the case of a two-thirds vote, four-tenths of the vote.  Could understandable human error have affected these outcomes?  I need to interject here there is no implied or overt criticism of the present or past

1	Tellers have to count the possible 243
2	Town Meeting votes across some long aisles, with
3	not necessarily immobile members, as we've seen at
4	a number of votes already this Town Meeting. Town
5	Meeting precedent is not to allow recounts.
6	Next slide, please.
7	The language in this article is taken
8	directly from page 148 of Town Meeting Time, the
9	bible of New England Town Meetings.
10	Next slide, please.
11	Conferring by rows, which would take just
12	a second or two -
13	Fifteen? Yes, fifteen.
14	- eliminates the possibility of having to
15	recount an entire section which would be more time-
16	consuming and would also allow member changes to
17	change their votes, one of the criticisms leveled
18	against the original writing.
19	Next slide, please.
20	The Code of Falmouth, of course, allows
21	Town Meeting to adopt its own rules.
22	Next slide, please.
23	The citizens of Falmouth should have
24	confidence that in all future votes no matter how

I	close, that they've been counted accurately.
2	Thank you.
3	THE MODERATOR: Okay, discussion on this
4	article?
5	Hearing none, the question will come on
6	the main motion as presented. All those in favor,
7	signify by saying aye.
8	[Aye.]
9	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
10	[No.]
11	THE MODERATOR: It's the opinion of the
12	chair that the nos have it by a majority and the
13	article does not pass.
14	Article 23. Mr. Chairman for the main
15	motion.
16	MR. OPPENHEIM: Mr. Moderator.
17	THE MODERATOR: Yes, whoops, Mr.
18	Oppenheim.
19	MR. OPPENHEIM: I'd like to propose a
20	limitation in debate on Article 23 to 20 minutes,
21	with five minutes for the proponent and opponent
22	and with alternating speakers for the balance of
23	the debate.
24	THE MODERATOR: So five - it's a total

1	of 20; five minutes for the - per - total of 20;
2	five minutes per person, is that what you said?
3	MR. OPPENHEIM: [No mic:] No.
4	THE MODERATOR: What was the five
5	minutes? With a microphone. You said five
6	minute presentation?
7	MR. OPPENHEIM: Five minutes for the
8	initial presentation by the proponent and five
9	minutes by the opponents.
10	THE MODERATOR: And then everybody else
11	can -
12	MR. OPPENHEIM: The balance to be
13	determined by you -
14	THE MODERATOR: Okay, just up for
15	grabs, okay.
16	All right, so that's a two-thirds vote to
17	limit to 20 minutes.
18	All those in favor, signify by saying
19	Aye.
20	[Aye.]
21	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
22	[No.]
23	THE MODERATOR: It's the opinion of the
24	Chair that the ayes have it by the two-thirds. So

1	we've got a 20 minute total, five -
2	FROM THE FLOOR: Count, please.
3	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor
4	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
5	count. This is to limit debate on Article 23.
6	[Pause.]
7	THE MODERATOR: Regarding Article 23,
8	the chair would remind folks that this is a
9	municipal legislature okay? I just want to be
10	very clear on this and this proposal we're going
11	to hear has been voted by many towns. And in 18
12	years the state legislature will not pass this
13	proposal because the Massachusetts Constitution
14	allows the state of Massachusetts to control
15	elections in the Commonwealth.
16	So, keep this debate in perspective. The
17	state legislature will not pass this for 18 years.
18	MR. NETTO: 39.
19	THE MODERATOR: 39.
20	MS. LONG: 36.
21	THE MODERATOR: 36.
22	Second division.
23	MR. DUFRESNE: 66.
24	THE MODERATOR: 66.

1	All those opposed to limiting debate
2	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
3	count.
4	[Pause.]
5	THE MODERATOR: First division.
6	MR. NETTO: 2.
7	THE MODERATOR: 2.
8	Second division.
9	MR. DUFRESNE: 7.
10	THE MODERATOR: 7.
11	Third division.
12	MS. LONG: 10.
13	THE MODERATOR: 10.
14	By a counted vote of 141 in favor and 19
15	opposed, the necessary two-thirds passes. The
16	limit will be - the time will be limited to 20
17	minutes and let's have a main motion from the
18	chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Moderator, I move
20	Article 23 as recommended.
21	THE MODERATOR: As recommended. The
22	petitioner, Ms. Palmer.
23	MS. PALMER: Good evening. I am Leah
24	Palmer, precinct 8.

1	I'm here tonight to be the voice for our
2	Falmouth community members who are green card
3	holders. They're all standing behind me.
4	Behind me stand Falmouth taxpayers,
5	homeowners, local business owners and parents of
6	children in our schools. Together, we are here to
7	support Article 23, local voting rights for lawful
8	permanent residents; green card holders.
9	Next slide, please.
10	What Article 23 is, it's for Town Meeting
11	to authorize the Board of Selectmen to file with
12	the state legislature the request of a Special Act
13	entitled An Act Authorizing Local Voting Rights for
14	Legal Permanent Resident Non-Citizens Residing in
15	Falmouth. This is a home rule petition.
16	Next slide, please.
17	Lawful permanent residents are the same
18	and are referred to as legal permanent residents,
19	resident aliens and green card holders. All of
20	those terms are used to describe a person who is
21	authorized to permanently live and work in the
22	United States of America.
23	Next slide, please.
24	What Article 23 is, it's the right to

1	vote for lawful permanent residents, and it's the
2	right to vote in local elections and on local
3	ballots.
4	What Article 23 is not, is it's not the
5	right to vote in state or federal elections. It is
6	not for U.S. Visa holders, non-permanent residents
7	and it is not the right to vote for undocumented
8	immigrants.
9	Why Article 23. Falmouth lawful
10	permanent residents pay local taxes, just like U.S.
11	citizens. They have children in our Falmouth
12	public schools. They're active members of our
13	community. They have no vote in local tax
14	spending about local matters.
15	Next slide, please.
16	How many lawful permanent residents over
17	18 years of age live in Falmouth? Approximately
18	750, and that is according to Barnstable County
19	data. We have submitted a request for Falmouth
20	green card holders' numbers with Homeland Security
21	but have not yet got those exact numbers. So we're
22	using the 750 as an approximate.
23	Next slide, please.
24	The time line to the immigration process.

1 This is a minimum of seven years for most people. 2 The first step is to file for an immigration visa. Typically this is work or family. 3 To obtain -4 you'll obtain this within a couple of weeks to 5 years. The filing fee for that is \$420. 6 The second step, once you've had your visa, is to file for a green card. You can obtain 7 8 this within one year. It costs \$1,070 to file this 9 fee along with approximately \$12,000 attorney fees. 10 You must have a green card for at least one year if you're active military, at least three years if 11 you're married to a U.S. citizen, and at least five 12 13 years for most green card holders. 14 The third step after you have had your 15 green card for at least the five years, which is 16 for most green card holders, is to file for 17 citizenship. This process takes approximately one 18 year in Boston, right now. This is a \$680 filing 19 fee plus attorney fees, which can be hundreds to 20 thousands of dollars. 21 It takes a minimum of seven years for 22 most people to be able to become a U.S. citizen.

Over the last seven years in Falmouth, Falmouth

residents have voted each year for people to

23

1 represent them on various boards and Committees, 2 and on many local issues that pertain to all 3 community members. These people behind me have 4 not had this opportunity. 5 It may seem like seven years is a short 6 period. But, as a parent, seven years is a very 7 long time in your child's Falmouth educational 8 experience. Within that time, a student can 9 attend two to four of our public schools. 10 Next slide, please. 11 What are the rights of green card 12 holders? To live permanently in the United States, 13 to work in the United States and to be protected by 14 all laws of the United States. 15 Next slide. 16 What are the responsibilities of green 17 card holders? Green card holders are required to 18 have social security numbers like U.S. citizens, 19 required to register with the Selective Service if 20 they're males between the ages of 18 to 26 like 21 U.S. citizens, required to file income tax returns 22 at the federal, state and local level like U.S.

our laws.

citizens and, as residents, required to obey all of

23

24

1	In addition, they're required to register
2	their address to Homeland Security.
3	Next slide, please.
4	The history of non-citizen voting. Non-
5	citizens in the U.S. voted in local, state and
6	federal elections in 40 states until 1926.
7	Massachusetts had immigrant suffrage from 1780 to
8	1821. The U. S. Constitution does not -
9	THE MODERATOR: Ms. Palmer.
10	MS. PALMER: Yes?
11	THE MODERATOR: Ms. Palmer, your five
12	minutes has expired.
13	MR. PALMER: Thank you.
14	THE MODERATOR: Is there an organized No
15	presentation? Because I don't know who to give
16	the other five minutes to.
17	Mr. Dynan.
18	MR. DYNAN: I held this article, so I'd
19	like to speak on it.
20	THE MODERATOR: Okay, and you're opposed
21	to it?
22	MR. DYNAN: I am opposed to it.
23	THE MODERATOR: Okay, well, I'll give
24	you the five minutes -

1	MR. DYNAN: Thank you.
2	THE MODERATOR: - since you held it, as
3	well. Right now, go ahead.
4	MR. DYNAN: I'm going to come down.
5	THE MODERATOR: Oh, you've got a
6	presentation, okay. Mr. Dynan.
7	MR. DYNAN: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
8	My name is Bill Dynan; I'm from precinct five. I'm
9	a new Town Meeting member, a new full-time Falmouth
10	resident. This is my first Town Meeting.
11	I'm also a retired United States Marine
12	Corp officer.
13	Article 23 to me is a very personal and
14	emotional article to me, both as an American
15	citizen and as a veteran.
16	As I was driving to Town Meeting
17	Wednesday night, I received a call from my son Sean
18	who is an active duty Marine Corp officer in North
19	Carolina. Sean has completed four combat tours
20	this year. I told him I was going to speak
21	tonight against non-U.S. citizens having the right
22	to vote in local ejections. Sean's response was,
23	"Dad, where the blank did you move?"
24	[Laughter.]

MR. DYNAN: I said I moved to Falmouth, a great town with a great democracy. Sean said, "Well, I give you support, the support to vote no on this article." Lieutenant Colonel Sean Dynan is the 27<sup>th</sup> member of my family to serve in the military since World War I.

All you out there have earned your right to vote as U.S. citizens. There is a process that needs to be followed to earn U.S. citizenship and to earn the right to vote. No shortcuts, no a la carte citizenships should be allowed to become a U.S. citizen. The right to vote is an earned privilege of U.S. citizens which you have to earn. Please do not forsake those Falmouth veterans and U.S. citizens that have served to protect the rights of U.S. citizens to vote in all elections.

Last Saturday I had the honor to - with many Falmouth residents and volunteers - to place 64,000 American flags on the graves of veterans at our National Cemetery. Which includes my father and many relatives. Only eight miles from the front door of this meeting. Eight miles. Sixtyfour thousand veterans are buried. Please honor those who have served to protect our rights as

1	American citizens only to vote in all elections.
2	Please take a stand, draw the line here
3	and say no. Thank you very much. Semper fi.
4	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Putnam.
5	[Applause.]
6	THE MODERATOR: Let's go, Folks. Mr.
7	Putnam.
8	MR. PUTNAM: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
9	Brent Putnam, precinct nine.
10	I rise to speak in opposition to this
11	article; I have three points to make.
12	This article is the electoral equivalent
13	of spot zoning, extending the right, privilege and
14	responsibility of voting to one special interest
15	group. Falmouth is a School Choice Community. We
16	have students who come here from other towns. If
17	we're going to extend the right to vote to green
18	card holders because their children attend Falmouth
19	schools, what about those parents?
20	If we're going to extend the right to
21	vote to green card holders because they are
22	property owners and pay taxes, then why not give
23	local businesses the right to vote? For that
24	matter, second homeowners in Falmouth also pay

taxes. Indeed, business owners and second

homeowners suffer from taxation without

representation. If we're going to give green card

holders the right and privilege of voting, here,

are we prepared to correct the injustice suffered

by businesses and second homeowners?

While a green card holder can permanently reside in the United States, they are in principle a part-time resident. They are still a citizen of another nation, with all of the associated benefits, including the right to vote in their native country. After five years, or less in some cases as we have seen, a green card holder may choose to become a United States citizen. If after that time they choose not to do so, they're choosing to retain their right to vote in another country. Just as our other part-time residents have chosen to retain their right to vote in another town or state.

My second point is that there is no such thing as a truly local election. Although the charter establishes that the election of town officers may take place in the spring, it does not preclude the placement of local questions such as

1	overrides or referendums on the same ballot as the
2	November state and national elections. It may not
3	have happened before, but without a change to the
4	Charter there's nothing from preventing this.
5	Consider also that the outcome of spring
6	election affects our choices in state and national
7	government. Matt Patrick, Eric Turkington, David
8	Vieira, Tim Madden, Randy Hunt, Thomas George, off
9	the top of my head, folks, without doing any
10	research, these are individuals who started out in
11	their -
12	MR. LATIMER: Mr. Moderator.
13	MR. PUTNAM: - respective communities -
14	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Latimer.
15	MR. LATIMER: [no mic:] Mr. Moderator,
16	the last two speakers are anti - [inaudible]
17	speakers -
18	THE MODERATOR: No, they just mentioned
19	to me to do that and when he's done, I was going to
20	say raise your right hand if you are, because I
21	don't know who's going to say what. Your right
22	hand, yes; left hand no, like last time. So, when
23	I go to the next speaker, it's going to be a yes.
24	But I didn't ask you to raise your

opposite hands. I've got seven people on my list already, so let's go.

MR. PUTNAM: This is but a handful of

individuals who are elected locally in their respective communities but later were elected to state office. Given that most Selectmen's races are decided by less than 500 votes, allowing green card holders to vote in a local election gives citizens of other nations the ability to select candidates who will be better positioned for state and national office.

Think carefully about that one, ladies and gentlemen, because it has far-reaching consequences, and it may be why the General Court has rejected every other petition like this one.

The last point I would like to make is the significance of this as a home rule petition. Home is not necessarily where you were born or grew up. Rather, as the old saying goes, "Home is where the heart is." Presumably the green card holders who are here in Falmouth are here because this is where their heart is.

In April, 1779, the British attempted to invade and burn Falmouth. They did so because

1	Falmouth is part of Massachusetts, one of 13
2	rebellious colonies which eventually won
3	independence. If you choose to call Falmouth your
4	home, you are calling Massachusetts and the United
5	States your home. Geographically, historically,
6	politically, economically, by any measure, they are
7	inseparable. You cannot be a citizen of Falmouth
8	without being a citizen of Massachusetts and the
9	United States.
10	Green card holders have every right and
11	privilege and responsibility that the citizens of
12	the United States have. The one exception is the
13	right, the privilege and responsibility to vote.
14	That is what distinguishes them from citizens.
15	That is reserved for citizens.
16	If a green card holder wishes to vote,
17	they need only take that one last step and become a
18	citizen, unless their heart is somewhere else.
19	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Putnam, we've
20	got -
21	MR. PUTNAM: I -
22	THE MODERATOR: No, we're going to
23	share it, no.
24	Ms. Siegel, are you in favor of this?

1	MR. PUTNAM: Thank you.
2	THE MODERATOR: I need somebody in
3	favor. Are you in favor? Okay, then you're next
4	on the list.
5	MS. SIEGEL: When I first read my
6	warrant, I was opposed to this and but I was a
7	little confused about my thinking. So I did a lot
8	of talking to people and a number of people
9	contacted me to tell me how they thought about it.
10	So there are a couple of points I'd like
11	to make. One is that something very dear to my
12	heart is not only voting but informed voting. And
13	my experience with people who come here from other
14	countries is they tend to be extremely well-
15	informed voters.
16	Another point I'd like to make is that
17	the voter turnout in this town at many elections is
18	appalling. And I know that Mr. Palmer will
19	confirm that. We have had rates of 22 percent
20	voting, 36 voting and we've got people begging
21	us to allow them to vote. So I say let's let them
22	vote. Thank you.
23	THE MODERATOR: Okay, I need somebody in
24	opposition, now. Mr. Smolowitz? You're for it.

1	Ms. Welch. Ms. Lorusso-Peterson?
2	MS. PETERSON: [no mic:] I just have a
3	question.
4	THE MODERATOR: A question?
5	MS. PETERSON: Yes.
6	THE MODERATOR: Okay, a question.
7	MS. PETERSON: Laura Peterson, precinct
8	three.
9	Are there elections that would have local
10	issues on it along with federal and state issues?
11	THE MODERATOR: There could be questions
12	asked to be placed on state or federal ballots, and
13	obviously in your municipal - your local election
14	you also elect a regional school committee which is
15	outside of the jurisdiction of just the Town of
16	Falmouth.
17	MS. PETERSON: Okay, thank you.
18	THE MODERATOR: So, Ms. Smith? Are you
19	- no. Ms. Fenwick? Okay. Anybody want to speak
20	opposed? Okay, yes, right down here. All right.
21	Microphone to the right, here.
22	MR. MACDONALD: Thank you, Dave
23	MacDonald, precinct three.

24

Through you, Mr. Moderator, I'd like to

ask some of the green card holders, here - I should say that I have personally sponsored a green card person pursuing a green card in the past. I am not opposed to foreigners; I am opposed to foreigners who come here and wish to change the rules while they're here and they wish us to change our standard of living to suit them while they are here.

I still have a lot of respect for quite a few foreigners. I'm in the IT industry; I spend a lot of my time working with people from India, Russia, China. I've been doing that for almost 30 years.

I have a question for the green card holders. I think — at first, I would like to say I think this article came up with some great intention, but I want to ask some of you green card holders if you could take just a moment to come to the podium and answer just two questions: how long have you been a green card holder in the United States — perhaps three questions. And do you plan on becoming a citizen? And, if you don't plan on coming a citizen, could you please tell us why? Thank you.

1	I hope you'll vote this article down.
2	THE MODERATOR: Would anyone like to
3	answer the question? It's up to you. If not,
4	I'll go to my next speaker.
5	MR. LATIMER: [No mic: inaudible.]
6	THE MODERATOR: I'm just asking if
7	anybody wants to. Otherwise -
8	MR. Latimer: [No mic: inaudible.]
9	FROM THE FLOOR: Can't hear you.
10	THE MODERATOR: With a microphone.
11	With a microphone, please.
12	MR. LATIMER: [no mic:] It's a delaying
13	tactic -
14	THE MODERATOR: It's not - you're making
15	the delaying tactic, Richard, sit down.
16	Does anyone want to answer the question?
17	If not, I'll go to the next speaker. So I don't
18	get accused by Richard, again. No, okay.
19	So next in favor was Mr. Smolowitz.
20	FROM THE FLOOR: Point of order.
21	There's a hand raised to speak.
22	THE MODERATOR: Okay, then come on up.
23	I'm sorry; I didn't see his hand. I looked over
24	there three or four times.

1	MR. ROGIANI [sp?]: Hi, my name is
2	Gavor Rogiani, I'm a green card holder. I lived
3	in the United States for 20 years, now. I'm very
4	grateful, very grateful to be here. That's what I
5	need to say that this is just but a question, you
6	know, to be able to be part - a better part of this
7	community.
8	FROM THE FLOOR: Can't hear you.
9	MR. ROGIANI: It's just but a question
10	to be a better part of this community. I have
11	lived in Falmouth for 15 years.
12	My country does not allow me to have a
13	double citizenship. I know that a lot of people
14	that vote here and, you know, it's about
15	citizenship and I understand that, but there are a
16	lot of people that have duel citizenship and
17	they're still allowed to vote. Even though they
18	have their heart in two places. And their
19	countries allow them to do that. And you guys
20	allow them to do that.
21	Now, in my case, I didn't come here as a
22	refugee. I came here because I loved an American
23	woman. I married her. We moved here. I've
24	been here for 20 years, now. I have three children

1 in Falmouth; they've all been born in Falmouth. 2 My heart is here, but my whole family is still over 3 And if I take the American citizenship 4 now, my country will deny mine. Therefore this 5 will happen: if anything happens to my mother, my sister or any of my relatives, I will only get a 30 6 7 to 90 day visa to go back to Italy. And then I'll 8 be asked to leave. Now, how can I be - emotionally, it is 9 10 very hard to make that decision. It is not a question of - of just erasing everything that I was 11 12 brought up with. I came here because I loved a 13 woman that was from over here and now I find myself 14 raising three kids. They are asking guestions. 15 They want to make a change and I can't be part of 16 that change. And I lived here in Falmouth for 15 17 18 years, I'm very grateful. I have a - I have a 19 business that you guys allowed me to have. 20 Many of you know me: I'm a plumber in town. 21 just would like to be able to be a better part of 22 this community. And again, I wouldn't have asked for this 23 24 right to vote if I had seen that, you know, 90

- 1 percent or more of the Falmouth citizens voted.
- 2 But I feel like there is space for me, too.
- That's all I want, I want some space for me, too.
- 4 That's all.
- And it's very important for me. And,
- again, if my whole family wasn't there it wouldn't
- be a question. I wouldn't have an issue. I
- 8 would have gone for the citizenship. But
- 9 unfortunately my heart is torn between the two and
- I and I'm stuck in between. So I'm just trying
- 11 to be a good father, a good citizen, and also a
- good son and a good brother and and that's where
- 13 I am. And I'm just trying to just trying to see
- if there is an opportunity for me to have a vote.
- 15 That's all. Thank you.
- 16 THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Smolowitz.
- 17 [Applause.]
- 18 MR. SMOLOWITZ: I'm Ron Smolowitz,
- 19 precinct 8.
- I just want to say that what they're
- asking for as legal residents of Falmouth, to be
- able to vote in Falmouth on local issues: regarding
- 23 the people that are green card holders serve in the
- United States military. There's 32,000 green card

1	holders in the military. They join at a rate of
2	8,000 per year and they're extremely important to
3	our military because they serve as interpreters,
4	which is very important.
5	So, the military aspect of this I do not
6	think should come into play. I'm all for this.
7	THE MODERATOR: Okay, the time has
8	expired. All those in favor of Article 23 as
9	presented, signify by saying aye.
10	[Aye.]
11	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
12	[No.]
13	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,
14	signify by standing and the tellers will return a
15	count.
16	[Pause.]
17	THE MODERATOR: The third division.
18	MS. LONG: 20.
19	THE MODERATOR: 20.
20	The first division.
21	MR. NETTO: 15.
22	THE MODERATOR: 15.
23	The second division.
24	MR. DUFRESNE: 33.

1	THE MODERATOR: 33.
2	All those opposed signify by standing and
3	the tellers will return a count.
4	[Pause.]
5	THE MODERATOR: Third division.
6	MS. LONG: 26.
7	THE MODERATOR: 26.
8	First division.
9	MR. NETTO: 30.
10	THE MODERATOR: 30.
11	Second division.
12	MR. DUFRESNE: 41.
13	THE MODERATOR: 41.
14	By a counted vote of 68 in favor and 97
15	opposed, the article does not pass.
16	Article 24. The recommendation of the
17	Board of Selectmen is indefinite postponement. We
18	need a positive motion on the floor.
19	Mr. Netto.
20	MR. NETTO: Mr. Moderator, I'd like to
21	move Article 24 as printed.
22	THE MODERATOR: As printed. Mr. Netto.
23	MR. NETTO: And we have a Falmouth
24	resident/taxpayer, Howard Grosser, who's one of the

1	co-sponsors of this article along with myself, Mr.
2	Dufresne, and fellow Town Meeting member Mr. Zweig.
3	Mr. Grosser has a brief presentation, and
4	if I imagine many of you, like me, had no idea what
5	a grinder pump was nor what it does.
6	So, if we could have Mr. Grosser give his
7	presentation?
8	THE MODERATOR: Yep.
9	MR. GROSSER: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
10	And good evening. I'm Howard Grosser; I live in
11	precinct two.
12	As you know, the 1400 property owners on
13	the front line of the estuary restorations in the
14	Little Pond Sewer Service Area are already
15	shouldering quite a load: \$16,000 betterment fees,
16	many thousands of dollars more for plumbers and
17	heavy equipment operators.
18	The Town chose a sewer system design for
19	those 1400 properties that allows half of them to
20	use gravity and requires half of them to use
21	grinder pumps to move their wastewater. The Town
22	is choosing the make and model of the grinder
23	pumps. The Town chose the specific 700 properties
24	that will have to use them. Those 700 property

1 owners will have added burdens as a result of 2 having to rely on pumping equipment and electricity 3 to move their wastewater to the main sewer pipes. 4 Article 24 suggests that the Town 5 establish a policy to minimize the added burdens on those 700 property owners. 6 7 The wastewater from those 700 properties 8 right now flows to their septic tanks by gravity. 9 Because of the sewer design, it cannot flow to the 10 sewer mains by gravity. So those 700 properties 11 have no inherent need for pumps. Because of the 12 sewer design, the Town needs them to have pumps. 13 So those pumps are clearly integral components of the Town's sewer infrastructure. 14 15 Next slide. 16 Article 24 asks for the grinder pumps to be confirmed as components of our sewer 17 18 infrastructure and, with the language intentionally 19 allowing for the greatest latitude possible, asks 20 this body to charge the Board of Selectmen with 21 establishing a policy that keeps them operational. 22 There is much that the article 23 intentionally does not say. It does not say 24 anything about or propose the means by which this

policy will be accomplished. It also does not say anything about or propose the length of the term over which it will be accomplished. Policy details are not included in the article and won't be discussed tonight.

Once the article is approved, those means and methods discussions will be hosted by the Board of Selectmen.

Also, to be clear, during the course of my presentation, I will be describing the sewer system design, not criticizing it, not suggesting any changes to it. The article is put forth simply for your consideration so that we can better live with the sewer design.

Next slide, yeah.

You may have read a recent Falmouth

Enterprise op-ed piece urging you to oppose Article

24, and included the line "Several factors led the

Town to decide against municipal ownership and

maintenance of the low pressure pumps". This is

the first time the subject of pump ownership and

maintenance has come before Town Meeting so, until

this body votes tonight, I suggest that any

statements of the Town having made any such

decision are premature.

Okay, next.

This is the lift station in New Silver

Beach. The Falmouth sewer system includes others.

You've seen them near the Chamber of Commerce, one
by the hospital lights, one on Water Street in

Woods Hole. Wastewater flows to them and then the
lift stations lift or pump it to where it's further

treated.

When the Town chose the current sewer system in the Little Pond Sewer Service Area, it was decided to not use lift stations like this one for all that area's sewage pumping needs. Option A was to build two of these lift stations. Option B was to save millions of dollars by installing 700 grinder pumps instead. They were presented as equivalent options with different price tags. So, wisely, the Town chose grinder pumps.

On closer examination, the options are anything but equivalent. Had the Option A lift stations been built in the Little Pond Sewer Service Area like all the others in Falmouth, they would have been Town installed, Town maintained, Town repaired, Town powered by electricity or by a

1 generator when the grid's down, and, when past their useful life, Town replaced. 2 3 Since the Town clearly would have kept 4 the two Option A big pumping stations operational, 5 the Town needs to keep the 700 smaller Option B mini pumping stations operational, as well. 6 would be at best a disservice for the Town to shift 7 8 those responsibilities to 700 property owners. 9 Next slide. 10 Like you, I know what "grind" means, and like you I know what a pump does. 11 So, back when "grinder pump" was just two words included as part 12 13 of that Option A, Option B choice, and for 14 absolutely no good reason I pictured it looking 15 something like this. In actuality, and perhaps 16 shame on me for not knowing earlier in the process, it looks more like this. 17 18 If this is your first time seeing one, 19 this is a grinder pump assembly. This one is five 20 The ones scheduled for the Little Pond feet high. 21 Sewer Service Area will be similar, just 60 percent 22 They'll be eight feet high. larger. 23 If it's not what you might have expected

a grinder pump to look like when you decided to

24

1	require 700 property owners to rely on them, you're
2	not alone. A lot of people are only now becoming
3	aware of the visual that goes along with the words
4	"grinder pump". A lot of people are only now
4	grinder pump . A for or people are only now
5	becoming aware of the features and limitations of a
6	grinder pump. The pump has an average life span
7	of about 15 years, give or take five, depending on
8	whether your source of information is the
9	manufacturer that sells them or the Town which
10	actually has experience using them.
11	The pump can be rendered inoperable by
12	hair, dental floss, grease, items that the rest of
13	us without a second thought spill down our drains
14	and -
15	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Grosser, could you
16	just re-adjust the mic? Some folks are having
17	trouble hearing you.
18	MR. GROSSER: Sure.
19	This picture was available when we voted
20	to use grinder pumps. The items that incapacitate
21	them were known. And I don't remember any of that
22	being presented during the decision-making process.
23	This slide is from a grinder pump
24	company's promotional video that's posted on

1 YouTube. The goal, not surprising, is to sell 2 grinder pumps by showing their ease of 3 installation. 4 [Laugher.] In a proper finished 5 MR. GROSSER: installation, the top is six inches above the 6 7 ground, weighing in at close to 300 pounds. The 8 pump assembly requires equipment to lower it into 9 place. The installer still needs to encase the 10 bottom of the assembly in another 400 pounds or more of concrete, and that's so it doesn't float 11 12 out of the ground because of the high water table 13 in the Little Pond Sewer Service Area. 14 The Town right now is expecting grinder 15 pump installation to be privately contracted by 16 each of 700 property owners. 17 Next slide. 18 At last November's Special Town Meeting 19 this body voted overwhelmingly for Articles 1 and 20 2. Article 1 required reimbursing certain 21 households for the costs of acquiring and 22 installing grinder pumps. So, instead of writing 23 700 checks, the Town chose to reimburse households 24 for the cost of acquiring the pumps by actually

providing the pumps. Many of us are expecting to
be reimbursed for the cost of installing the pumps
the exact same way, by the Town providing the
installation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As you know, a Falmouth sewer contractor has started installing the main sewer lines. Their backhoes will eventually pass by every single property in the Little Pond Sewer Service Area, so Article 1 seemed to me to be tailor-made so that our Sewer contractor could also dig the eight foot deep pits and connect the pump assemblies to the main sewer lines as they passed by each one of those 700 properties. It would be the most efficient, the least disruptive, and the most costeffective way to install 700 of them. This is exactly the way other towns have done it. also a perfect way to comply with last year's Article 2, because if installation costs are part of the betterment, the households required to install the low pressure pumps will not bear a disproportionately higher share of the total project cost.

The Board of Selectmen was the petitioner for those articles, was the board that proposed the

1	words "and installing". The Board voted for "and							
2	installing". This body voted for "and							
3	installing".							
4	Next slide.							
5	The state codified "and installing" and,							
6	a year after Town Meeting voted in favor of							
7	Articles 1 and 2, there are 700 property owners							
8	wondering about the Town's grinder pump "and							
9	installing" plan.							
10	Next slide.							
11	In order to service the pump or simply							
12	clear a grease clog from it, removing the pump							
13	requires someone capable of lifting 75 pounds or so							
14	from about four feet below the ground to waist							
15	height.							
16	And, I'll go through these quickly. You							
17	simply remove some 7/16ths bolts, remove the 32							
18	inch round cover -							
19	Next slide.							
20	- disconnect the 220 volt power cord so							
21	that you don't get shocked and also so that the							
22	pump doesn't actually turn on and grind your hand							
23	when you reach into it.							

Next one.

24

1	You close the back flow preventer with
2	that custom tool so that you avoid being inundated
3	with sewage from the street.
4	And next.
5	Use the other end of the tool to release
6	the pump from the housing.
7	And next.
8	You lift the heavy pump core from below
9	ground to waist height.
10	Next. Next one. And one more.
11	And you clear a spot on the ground.
12	Next.
13	You lay it down and reach your hand into
14	the impeller area and clear the offending material.
15	Successfully perform those steps in
16	reverse and you can once again do laundry, flush
17	the toilet, or more likely take a shower.
18	Again, these stills are from a grinder
19	pump company's promotional and information video.
20	The video demonstrates how simple it is to service
21	the pump. If you can lift it
22	[Laughter.]
23	MR. GROSSER: - in daytime, in good
24	weather.

1	Simple or not, many people in the Little								
2	Pond Sewer Service Area agree that we need a								
3	maintenance policy. I hope that an overwhelming								
4	majority of Town Meeting members here tonight								
5	agree, as well.								
6	Edgartown agrees; they have a maintenance								
7	policy for their 400 pumps.								
8	The Town of Marion agrees. Marion's								
9	policy, with attachments, is 140 pages long. It								
10	includes numerous references to, quote, the Town-								
11	owned low pressure system. The Town of Marion								
12	provides pump maintenance repair, replacement, and								
13	installation. The installation of their 450								
14	grinder pumps included running the inlet pipe to								
15	within ten feet of the property's foundation.								
16	Marion also provides generator service to their								
17	pumps when the power is out.								
18	The Town of Mattapoisett has a								
19	maintenance policy for their grinder pumps.								
20	Oak Bluffs maintains 200 grinder pumps.								
21	Next slide.								
22	Tisbury asks for a letter from the								
23	property owner giving them permission to maintain								
24	their 100 grinder pumps.								

1	Next slide.
2	Their DPW maintains them and all
3	associated equipment. Tisbury's policy provides
4	for up to two free Service calls per year, with a
5	provision to bill for any additional calls.
6	They've yet to send out a single bill.
7	Amesbury maintains 55 pumps; Bridgewater
8	200 pumps; Essex 230 pumps; Gloucester 400 pumps,
9	Hull 80 pumps; Kingston 140; Shirley 200;
10	Sturbridge 750 Town-maintained pumps.
11	All of those towns and others, by policy,
12	declare the pumps to be part of their sewer
13	infrastructure. While the policies themself differ
14	from town to town, what those towns have in common
15	is that they all have policies. Unless you vote to
16	change it, Falmouth will have the dubious
17	distinction of being the only town in Massachusetts
18	with both a mandated sewer tie-in combined with a
19	mandated use of grinder pumps for that tie-in, and
20	without a policy to maintain the pumps.
21	Chelmsford's sewer system includes 527
22	grinder pumps.
23	Next slide.
24	Chelmsford went through a similar process

1	that we're starting right now. It started in								
2	January of '98, when their Board of Selectmen voted								
3	4 to 1 to not maintain their at that time 200								
4	grinder pumps.								
5	Next slide.								
6	In October, '98, the affected citizens								
7	proposed a warrant article which was voluntarily								
8	withdrawn by the petitioners because policy								
9	discussions were now underway with the Selectmen.								
10	And then -								
11	Next slide.								
12	- in November of '98, Chelmsford decided								
13	to provide maintenance, repair and replacement and								
14	installation of the grinder pumps.								
15	Next slide.								
16	In April, 2014, Chelmsford codified their								
17	policy into a bylaw in which the pumps and all								
18	associated controls, quote, shall be maintained,								
19	serviced and replaced by the Town as integral								
20	components of the Town's wastewater collection								
21	system.								
22	Chelmsford has private companies maintain								
23	their 527 grinder pumps. They keep accurate								
24	records. From 2001 to 2013, Chelmsford serviced an								

1	average of 6.55 percent of their pumps per year.							
2	Next slide. One more. Ah.							
3	In the recent op-ed piece in the Falmouth							
4	Enterprise that urged you to vote against Article							
5	24, it was written that most service calls are the							
6	result of ignorance or abuse.							
7	Next slide.							
8	In 2013, the year of the greatest number							
9	of service calls in Chelmsford, notice that six							
10	service calls were the result of abuse. Six out of							
11	58 service calls or about ten percent. Or, looked							
12	at another way, six out of 527 pumps, which is 1.2							
13	percent of their pumps.							
14	Next slide.							
15	And if abuse caused the failure,							
16	Chelmsford, like most every other town, adds the							
17	repair charge onto the water and sewer bill. A							
18	maintenance policy should not be denied to 98.8							
19	percent of our neighbors due to the billable							
20	mistakes of 1.2 percent of them.							
21	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Grosser, the 15							
22	minutes has expired.							
23	MR. GROSSER: I'm sorry?							
24	THE MODERATOR: Your 15 minutes has							

1	0 **** 1 ** 0 0 0	$\top$ $\leftarrow$		+	m 0 10 0	+		harra	+ ~
1	expired.	$\perp \perp$	you	WdIIL	more	tıme,	you	nave	LO

- 2 request an additional specific amount and it takes
- 3 a two-thirds vote.
- 4 MR. GROSSER: I can do it in four
- 5 minutes, if I can have them.
- 6 THE MODERATOR: The request is for an
- 7 additional four minutes of presentation. This
- 8 requires two-thirds.
- 9 All those in favor, signify by saying
- 10 Aye.
- 11 [Aye.]
- 12 THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
- 13 [No.]
- 14 [Pause.]
- THE MODERATOR: Ah-h, go ahead.
- 16 [Laughter.]
- 17 THE MODERATOR: It's two-thirds. Two-
- thirds, go ahead, Mr. Grosser, do it in three and a
- 19 half. Come on. Go ahead.
- MR. GROSSER: I'm sorry.
- 21 THE MODERATOR: Do it in three and a
- half.
- MR. GROSSER: Sorry.
- During the seven years that Marion's

system's been online, you can read it cost them
less than \$18 per year per pump installed. The
high is in Chelmsford, which is an older system of
15 years. So the price goes up; it averages about
\$49 a year.

But again, this is less about cost; much more about acknowledging and accepting responsibility for the pumping equipment and about providing a measure of piece of mind to 700 pump users.

During the short Board of Selectmen discussion that resulted in their recommendation for indefinite postponement, there was a concern expressed about government trespass onto private property. The intrusion concerns of the other 14 towns I mentioned, if they had any, have already been addressed. Some negotiated easements, some required simple letters of authorization. Some, like Bridgewater and Sturbridge, don't do either. They just go out and fix broken pumps as required.

Maintaining pumps raises no issue of government intrusion. The issue Article 24 will help address is mitigating a government's unfunded mandate which is imposing an undue and unfair

1 burden on 700 property owners.

In closing, I understand that a number of our elected, appointed and hired Town officials see this issue differently than I do, differently than I hope you all do. I understand they've hired a consultant to be here tonight to speak to that different view.

Many of you know I'm in the hospitality business. I run a bed and breakfast. I also serve as my neighborhood association president. I only recently know a little bit about grinder pumps; more than I ever thought I would. I read some. I learned much more from wastewater superintendents, administrators and technicians in other towns with grinder pumps.

I'm going to concede in advance a number of people in this room, including the consultant, know more about grinder pumps than I do. But, like you, I know a piece of municipal equipment serving a municipal purpose when I see one. Like you, I know a policy need when I see one. And, like you, I might know a little bit more about Falmouth than the consultant does.

I know that five years ago on November

1 15th, 2010, this body discussed that Town Meeting's 2 Article 25, the article which advised the then 3 Board of Selectmen to appropriate money to replace the failing water mains that delivered unclean 4 5 water to a number of our fellow citizens on Siders The article was also a petitioner's 6 Pond Road. 7 article and as such also advisory. 8 The Board of Selectmen recommended 9 indefinite postponement. The Falmouth Enterprise 10 editorialized against Article 25. The DPW 11 strongly opposed it. This body passed the 12 November 2010 Article 25 and now there is clean 13 water flowing to our neighbors on Siders Pond Road because in Falmouth it's what we do for one 14 15 another. In response to inequity, we correct it. 16 In response to unfair burden, we relieve it. 17 We've got each other's backs. It was, simply put, 18 the right thing to do, and I ask you to vote in favor of Article 24 because it is also, quite 19 20 simply, the right thing to do. 21 We will be better served with a policy

We will be better served with a policy
than without one. I thank you for the time and
the extension.

24 [Applause.]

I	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Jack. Mr.
2	Jack.
3	MR. JACK: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
4	Raymond Jack, Director of Public Works, precinct 9.
5	I have a very short presentation. I want
6	to thank Mr. Grosser for what I consider to be a
7	very thoughtful presentation on behalf of the
8	Falmouth Heights Area. So I wanted to qualify
9	this presentation that I'm about to make is for
10	informational purposes for Town Meeting, but it is
11	not a rebuttal of the presentation you just saw.
12	Number one would be the cost
13	considerations. There has been a number of
14	articles written about Article 24 and the question
15	of whether or not the Town should take over
16	maintenance and/or ownership of the grinder pumps.
17	Throughout the process, especially with the Water
18	Quality Management Committee and the Town, the
19	Selectmen have been very sensitive to the overall
20	project cost because you are going to pay the bill
21	in one way, shape or form.
22	So here, we have 100 percent project
23	costs as broken down into two components: 30
24	percent's on the Town's side and 70 percent on the

abutter's side that gets charged in the form of a

betterment. So I think everyone fully understands

the costs of those betterments were relatively

high. So the Committee was very sensitive to

trying to keep those costs down.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The original plan that the Committee was reviewing over the last couple of years has been always a gravity slash grinder pump type of system. It started out with 538 - or 510 grinder pumps and three possible lift stations. The recommended plan that ultimately was adopted was for 609 pumps and two lift stations. What drove that decisionmaking process there was the lift station that was being proposed in the Falmouth Heights area, which was going to be very difficult to site in the Worcester Court area as well as Maravista. So the cost difference between where they started and where they wound up was \$1 million less, not more. And the additional pumps that the plan would have required was approximately 100 pumps. This was during the preliminary design.

For the final design, it went up to 700 pumps. So, roughly, at this point you have 700 gravity and 700 grinder pump systems.

1	Next, please.
2	Some of the fundamental premises, because
3	this is a departure from the norm for the Town,
4	whichever way it goes. The facilities on Town
5	property would be the Town owning and maintaining
6	it. If it's on private property, private property
7	owners maintain it. The communities with grinder
8	pumps, are there are several throughout the
9	Commonwealth as well as New England in general;
10	some do have ownership and maintenance, some don't.
11	But all of them are different.
12	They go into it for different reasons,
13	and Mr. Grosser correctly identified that
14	Chelmsford was one of those communities that
15	originally did not have maintenance responsibility
16	for those pumps and ultimately did go to taking
17	responsibility.
18	Another community in the Commonwealth
19	started out with that responsibility and is
20	currently divesting themselves of responsibility.
21	So, all towns are different, and whatever
22	the end result is going to be should be based on
23	where Falmouth wants to be.
24	Other considerations septic owners,

1 gravity owners on site, innovative and alternative 2 system owners, that's generally something like the 3 nitrogen removal systems, and all commercial 4 systems or pump owners present, all of them are on 5 private property, all of them are owner-maintained and responsible. 6 The question before you this evening and 7 8 for the future would be what about pump systems? 9 Maintenance has two components: 10 responsibility and liability. And that's true for 11 anything that anybody maintains. If you take your car in for service and the wheel falls off after it 12 13 gets done, it's not only that they have the 14 responsibility for maintaining it, they're going to 15 have the liability that follows. 16 So, from a responsibility perspective, you're going to have annual costs and it will cost 17 18 in one way, shape or form, whether the Town does it 19 directly through additional personnel and a program 20 and/or contract service. The costs generally 21 increase over time. They don't get less, they get 22 And for Falmouth, the preliminary estimate more.

if the Town were to contract this service out for

710 pumps will be about 145 to 155 thousand

23

1 dollars.

The liability. There's always liability whenever you are on private property. So you could have property damage issues, environmental impacts and, for all of these towns that do it with the exception of one, that I'm aware of, that doesn't use anything as far as easements or right of access, and I would never recommend that the Town consider going onto private property without either one of these.

Easement is generally reserved for ownership. Right of access gives the Town employees and/or their contractor the right to go on the property to do certain things. However, that generally excludes any kind of excavation, should that be necessary.

So, the Town would have to look at these types of considerations, here, but I would defer to Town Counsel as to which would be more appropriate.

As far as the pumps, there is not a heck of a lot of mysticism, here. I think that the estimate by Mr. Grosser was correct where he said that the average life expectancy was 15 years, plus or minus five; that's about correct. The 15 to 20

years or more is a direct quote from one of the manufacturers. Annual electricity would be approximately 25 to 50, and I know some say it's less than 25. But this way is for homes that may have more residents within each home, and/or whether or not it's a seasonal versus year round home.

No periodic maintenance is necessary.

That, too, is a statement direct from the manufacturer. We have the RFP out on the street now to bid these pumps, and that is one of the requirements for the pumps, that there is no regular maintenance necessary. They either work, or they don't.

As far as proper use, you can have a whole host of things that you're not supposed to be putting into the sewer. And that's true whether you're on a septic system, whether you're on the Town's gravity system, or whether you're on any kind of pump system, but the bottom line is really simple: if it didn't come out of your body, then you don't put it down the sewer. With the exception of toilet paper. Because ultimately all of the rest are bad: cigarette butts, Q-tips, floss

is especially bad for any kind of pump system,
regardless of what it is, because it'll wrap around
impellers and pumps.

So, where the Town is now, this is basically just a summary of the actions that have been taken thus far. The town is determined to offset the grinder pump cost, the installation cost. The Town is buying the pumps at four to five thousand dollars each. That's going to be a cost of probably about three and a half million dollars. It's going to be the complete pump system.

That cartridge system that Mr. Grosser showed you is correct. That is an entire self-contained unit. It has the pump in the bottom chamber and then the upper chamber is just for the connections and the discharge ports.

It'll have a separate alarm panel that gets mounted on the side of the house. It'll have a visual alarm, audible alarms and multiple alarmtypes of functions and it also comes in with a generator receptacle to hook up the portable generator during a power outage such that the pump will be able to pump.

If there were a power outage, it knows

1	and understands that one occurs and it will come
2	back on and reset automatically once power is
3	restored.

The five year warranty is a transferable warranty so it'll go with the property and not necessarily the owner.

The Town has obtained special legislation to allow a 30 year betterment period as opposed to the traditional 20 year betterment period in order to reduce the annual payments for each individual homeowner and to be able to use bond money to offset grinder installation costs. It's not so much the grinder installation cost as much as it is the ability to use bond money to go onto private property or pay for something on private property.

A couple of the communities that have been mentioned, Marion in particular, was one of the communities that went with an SRF loan or a State Revolving Fund loan to fund their pump system when they installed it. And as a condition of that particular program they had to accept ownership of the pumps in order to be able to use public funds to make that acquisition.

The Town also pursued and obtained a zero

1	interest SRF loan for the project, and so part of
2	this utilization of bond money to offset those
3	costs would be outside of the SRF program, because
4	in this particular case the pumps weren't included
5	in that program. And then -
6	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Jack, I'm just going
7	to need to get a motion to go after 11:00.
8	Ms. Long.
9	MS. LONG: Mr. Moderator, I would like
10	to motion that we take a vote to stay after eleven
11	o'clock.
12	THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,
13	signify by saying aye.
14	[Aye.]
15	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
16	[No.]
17	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it by the
18	two-thirds.
19	Mr. Jack.
20	MR. JACK: Very fortuitous. This is
21	my last - last line on the presentation.
22	Streamlined permitting to reduce cost.
23	That was the permitting process throughout the Town
24	departments in order to connect a sewer system.

1 And that's it. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Netto.

MR. NETTO: Fellow Town Meeting members, before I get into this a little bit, I'd just like you to know that the four of use who've put together this article, three of us are on the sewer system or own property on the sewer system, and one member of the community doesn't even live in the area, but none of us have installations that require grinder pumps on the map.

I am here and the rest of us are here, as Mr. Herbst told us earlier, to help the people of the town of Falmouth. I attended, as owning property in the area, I've attended all the meetings. I think the Selectmen will tell you that I was one of the first to help organize and drive the very successful campaign to lower the installation costs — excuse me, the permit fee cost. It didn't save us a million dollars; it saved us 200, and I was so happy at that meeting that when that got voted in I thought the correct philosophy, the attitude, you know, what can we do for the constituents there was a — in this area.

There is only one number, because this

discussion will be about creating a policy; we

don't know what that policy is. Yes, I have to

agree with Mr. Jack, of course there will be costs.

There are costs with everything in life.

But there's a policy that could address those costs. We know that. There's a policy that could not reward someone for misuse. We can't talk the specifics because we haven't created the policy.

When we went in front of the Board of Selectmen, we were very happy as we sat there.

All the other articles in front of us which you've discussed tonight, there was a great deal of interaction, and they got a positive recommendation.

When it came to our article, Mr. Grosser gave his presentation; we didn't get asked one question. The Board of Selectmen then voted one to four, as you see in front of you, for indefinite postponement. And in their discussions, they asked and answered their own questions. It was very disheartening to sit there and to see how the other petitioners, they had an open dialogue. And I sat there in amazement. This is to help 700

fellow taxpayer citizens who are affected by this.

What can you be afraid of in trying to create a

policy? I'm appalled.

In further discussions with the Town

Administrator and some members of the Board of

Selectmen, I think they would be willing to work,

and may hopefully see what we're trying to do.

And the biggest bugaboo about this, as I like to reiterate, is those 700 people on the grinder pump, when we have a storm or disaster, no longer are connected to the sewer system because they need electricity. True, these pumps have a certain storage capacity. If you have a large family, how long is it going to take for that capacity to be reached? That is what the policy would address.

I was planning on going through - if I read the explanation that's in front of you, because many of you are not affected by this -- "What's Mr. Netto doing up there? I mean, come on, we're not" -- you know. And I said, "No, that's - I'm not going to go through their explanation. It's not valid. There was a lack of discussion."

1 None of us wants to reward misuse. 2 Obviously our policy would treat private Please. 3 citizenship versus commercial, a large rental 4 And as far as the generator and the property. 5 pumping out, that would be a policy. So many days, hopefully. People would sign up. 6 What we found when we investigated, these 7 8 are the towns that did this, most of the people 9 went "yes" after they saw how it worked and got 10 their own generators. That was their choice, their decision. 11 12 But we're going to have a section of this 13 - a lot of the homes on the Jericho Path, most of 14 the grinder pumps of the 700 are located in the 15 Maravista southern end. But, if I'm not mistaken, 16 the Heights should be connected by the spring, So we'd have a large number. 17 correct? Again, I 18 don't know the exact number. But the rest of the 19 hair on my head is over 100. Operational at the 20 beginning of hurricane season next August. 21 Definitely by the winter of 2016-17. 22 Are you going to ask the elderly and the handicap - because that's what's this would deal 23

with - to go out and hook up their generator, take

1	that cap off that Mr. Grosser showed you, start up
2	their generator and hook it up so that they can
3	enjoy the Falmouth public sewer system? Think
4	about that, please. Thank you.
5	I would hope you would support Article
6	24 to just to give us a chance to create a policy.
7	Thank you.
8	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Schneider.
9	Folks, I'm going to limit speakers to
10	five minutes for the rest of this, okay?
11	MS. SCHNEIDER: Barbara Schneider,
12	precinct four.
13	I'm one of the few people in this room
14	that's lived with a grinder pump that was mandated
15	by the Town back in the late '80's. I represent 49
16	of us that live with a gang system. It was put in
17	to protect Green Pond; very much like why we're
18	doing the sewering. It was paid for by the
19	developer and the homeowners that purchased in
20	there. It's been maintained by all of us.
21	Please know that I worked for many years
22	in this town on the FACES board to get everyone in
23	this town to understand that I felt we should all,
24	the entire town, should divide out the cost of

1 these sewers because I thought that what we were 2 doing benefits every one of us. So don't think 3 I'm here saying I don't want to pay for this. 4 What I do want you to know about living 5 with grinder pumps that are mandated by the Town 6 for good reason to have them, is that we've been paying for ours for a long time and, if you want to 7 8 see finger-pointing going on, you suddenly have 9 somebody come in to visit a homeowner and suddenly 10 the grinder pump goes down because somebody was a 11 little more sexually active than maybe everyone else and suddenly there's a pile of condoms in the 12 13 grinder pump, or there might have been somebody who 14 was cleaning their house and they, whoops, they had 15 a little cloth go down into the pump and that was 16 the end of it. 17 And we've paid for several of these and 18 we've had some pretty interesting homeowner board 19 meetings when what was in the grinder pump was 20 actually spewed out on a table to show us all. 21 [Laughter.] 22 So I just want to point MS. SCHNEIDER: 23 out that if we're going to think about this, truly

the best way to control what goes into the grinder

1 pumps is to have the people putting things into the 2 grinder pumps have some ownership of them. 3 Because if it's suddenly this nebulous town money 4 that's taking care of them, I worry about what will 5 go down into those pumps, and how many times they'll need repairs. 6 7 And I'm only bringing that up because 8 I've lived with them for 25 years. So thank you. 9 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Putnam. 10 MR. PUTNAM: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. 11 Brent Putnam, precinct nine. 12 I would like to commend Mr. Grosser. 13 know it is sometimes difficult in the execution of 14 Town government to cover all the bases and learn 15 everything, and it does not surprise me if some of 16 the information here is new to those folks on the 17 stage or within the DPW. It's hard to be all-18 knowing and all-seeing when you have a lot of other 19 things to do. 20 So, thank you, Mr Grosser, I've learned a lot this evening. 21 22 And I'm glad Mr. Jack said that his 23 presentation was not a rebuttal, because quite 24 honestly as I was listening to it, what I heard was 1 "can't, can't, can't".

And I go back and I cannot remember the exact context, but I do believe it had something to do with water or wastewater. I remember Virginia Valiela standing up in this body at one time, saying that we are a can-do town. And I support this article.

I know that the Board does not have to start from scratch. We have in years past — for example, the Board has a Code of Conduct. The Board didn't draft that from scratch, ladies and gentlemen, we borrow a Code of Conduct from another community, modified it to our purposes and adopted it.

Mr. Grosser provided example after example after example of towns that do this. That take ownership of these grinder pumps, that have policies and bylaws in place. We're not reinventing the wheel, here, but we are supporting the community and, I think one of the biggest things that stuck in my mind, dealing with an unfunded mandate.

If we want the sewer system, this is something, quite frankly, the cost of this is

greater than what I anticipated when I - and 1 2 perhaps all of you - voted to pursue this avenue. 3 Again, thank you, Mr. Grosser, I've been 4 educated and I do hope you'll support this article. 5 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Patterson. 6 Yes, I have personal MR. PATTERSON: 7 experience with grinder pumps, also. Back in the 8 '70's I belonged to a sailing club and we were 9 forced to put in a grinder pump to pump our sewage 10 up on top of the hill behind the club house to help get rid of some of the fertilization in the lake. 11 12 It was in the northern part of Illinois. 13 Once a year we had to bring a plumber in 14 to clean it out and thank you, Barbara Schneider, 15 for basically informing everybody of the kinds of 16 things that you would find in it. It was part of our club's activities and we understood how to do 17 18 it. 19 From my perspective, I want the person 20 that's responsible for the misuse of that pump to be the person that controls the use of that pump, 21 22 and if they're not the ones paying for it, then 23 it's going to be something that they won't take as

much responsibility for. So, from my perspective

that policy, if we choose to develop a policy, is
going to have to have some kind of control where
the people using that pump are the ones that are
taking care of the pump. Because that's where the
failures are going to come.

You know, we already know that that part of town, I think 60 percent of the properties are actually rental properties. So, you're not talking about the owner being - and I don't know what Chelmsford is like, but I suspect that they don't rent out their properties the same way as that Little Pond Sewer Service Area is, being a vacation community. So you have people that are secondarily disconnected from whatever usage they might actually perform in that particular unit that they're renting.

So, from my perspective, looking out for my precinct two voters and their taxes, I'm concerned about who's taking responsibility for the usage of those grinder pumps. And the best way to manage cost is to make the person that misuses them be responsible for paying for them. That's what I'm struggling with.

THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Vogel.

1	I've got you on the list.
2	Mr. Crocker, you're on the list, yeah.
3	Mr. Stetcher, I'll add you to the list.
4	The list is over ten, so let's focus our comments,
5	here.
6	Ms. Vogel.
7	MS. VOGEL: Wendy Vogel, precinct 4.
8	Basically no matter where you live, but
9	more so when you live on the coast, it costs money
10	to flush. And no septic system, no disposal system
11	is free. It's part of the cost of owning a home.
12	And there are other instances of mandated septic
13	systems, for instance de-nitrification systems.
14	If you live on the water, which a lot of
15	these folks do, or low-lying areas, you have a
16	responsibility to provide a septic system that does
17	not contaminate the environment around it. And
18	these folks are now facing the time where they have
19	to take that responsibility on.
20	No one is helping the people who have to
21	have de-nitrification systems pay for those.
22	Those homeowners are taking that on, accepting the
23	responsibility. They pay for their flush.
• 4	

THE MODERATOR:

24

Okay, Mr. Crocker.

1 MR. CROCKER: Mr. Moderator, ladies and 2 gentlemen, Harold Crocker, precinct three.

I've been a Town Meeting member for 53

years. And I would like to say, first of all, that

Maravista years ago was nothing but rentals and

small homes. Today, Maravista area is residents, a

lot of people that are residents. They're not from

out of town and just on weekends. They live there

year 'round.

Years ago, when my grand folks - I'm five generations of Falmouth. Years ago, when my grandfather told me that the water came from the Heights Beach all the way up to pretty near Palm Street on Maravista Avenue. My grandfather's house on Palm Street going down on Maravista Avenue was broke by some of the debris from the hurricanes years ago.

Now, I lived in this town and I've seen what's gone on and the people in that — that I represent, precinct three. And, myself included, I'm involved with a grinder pump. I would like to say I worked hard all my life here in Falmouth.

Nothing was given to me. I'm going to have to face this cost. I am retired, but I'm still working.

1 I'm 78 years old. Okay? And my condition, thank 2 God, my health is all right. But I want to say one thing: I'm asking you people tonight as Town 3 4 Meeting members, the people in that area, Falmouth 5 Heights and Maravista, please, please and for me: We need it and we need the 6 help us out. 7 maintenance program. I don't know how long I'm 8 going to be living, but I'll tell you one thing: I 9 want the people down there to know that I got up 10 tonight and I'm helping them and I want everything else. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And also, before I end my conversation, I would like to say I've been, like I mentioned, I was 53 years a Town Meeting rep. This year, I never seen so much bickering and bickering with people here on the stage. Our fellow people up here work hard. Everybody works hard. You people, Town Meeting members, you come over here and you're representing the Town of Falmouth. You work hard. You don't come up here to bicker to these people on the stage.

And a lot of you people haven't been doing your homework or we would have been out of here tonight.

1 [Applause.] 2 MR. CROCKER: Thank you. 3 THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Crotty. Thank you, Mr. Moderator. 4 MR. CROTTY: To be up-front, I live in the sewage 5 And I - but I'm not a grinder pump - I'm 6 area. 7 not in that low area, so I think I'm speaking 8 fairly objectively. And I think, as many of you 9 know, I'm going to compliment the Board and the 10 Town for trying to keep the cost of this as low as That's a compliment to them. 11 possible. 12 However, in addition to the deferred 13 cost, it's going to cost estimated somewhere 14 between six to eight thousand dollars to hook up 15 every house to this sewer system. That's a pretty 16 big bite for most people. So my point to you -17 and, again, as I said, I'm not in the grinder pump 18 area, but put yourself in their position. 19 quessing the large percentage of people here are 20 not affected by this. But ask yourself the 21 question when you vote: if this were me, would I 22 want to have the responsibility of taking care of 23 that piece of equipment when someone else can do it

more efficiently?

1	And I would just add to Mr. Patterson's
2	point to prevent abuse: come up with a policy where
3	if abuse is determined when the contractor comes in
4	to repair it, then that homeowner is penalized, or
5	pays that part of it. So, there is a solution to
6	that.
7	I mean, we've heard presentations, good
8	ones, on both sides of it. But again I ask: put
9	yourself in those people's positions; would you
10	want to have that responsibility in your yard?
11	So, when you cast you vote, I hope you'll
12	do that with that in mind. Thank you.
13	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Williams.
14	FROM THE FLOOR: I'm ready to vote.
15	THE MODERATOR: We're getting close.
16	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.
17	Cheryl Williams, precinct three and I'm also co-
18	president of the Teaticket Civic Association.
19	And I just wanted to let everybody know that
20	the Teaticket Civic Association stands behind and
21	has fully supported the Falmouth Heights, Maravista
22	Improvement Association in the efforts to get
23	policy for the grinder pumps. You all know the
24	issues, but our membership, Teaticket Association,

1 in conjunction with Falmouth Heights, Maravista, 2 and that's the entire area. Thank you. 3 THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Dynan. 4 MR. DYNAN: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. 5 Moderator. 6 I live in New Silver Beach and I've gone 7 through some of this before and I support Little 8 Pond and the people of New Silver Beach support 9 them, also. It's 55,000 apiece is 3.5 million 10 that your children will probably have to pay to fix 11 those pumps. It won't be us. It'll be our 12 children. 13 And I can't that - this is my first Town 14 Meeting that the division among the areas of town 15 and the people not to support all the people of 16 Falmouth. We're in this together. Nobody should be left behind. We should take care of our own. 17 18 So, I support that 100 percent. 19 you. 20 Mr. Stecher. THE MODERATOR: 21 MR. STECHER: Bernie Stecher, precinct 22 3. First of all, I want to agree with I 23

think it's Ms. Schneider, that the whole town

1	should be paying for this, because this is a
2	benefit for the whole town. All the sewering
3	should be done by the whole town. On the tax
4	rate, it would probably amount to a couple of
5	dollars a year per individual.
6	However, getting back to the pumps. The
7	Town should definitely be taking over the pumps.
8	It was already recommended that if they find that
9	there is something wrong with the pump because of
10	the owner, then the owner pays for it.
11	I have Comcast. Comcast comes to my
12	house because I have a problem. If the problem is
13	due to me, I pay for it.
14	I think we should definitely support this
15	article.
16	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Valiela.
17	MS. VALIELA: Virginia Valiela, precinct
18	five.
19	This is a complicated issue, and involves
20	several policy aspects to it. A lot has been said
21	tonight that I think is right on the mark. It is
22	not possible to cover all bases, as Mr. Putnam
23	said, and so a lot of the discussion that is being
24	held tonight really should have been held sooner,

1 but we are where we are.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I thought Mr. Grosser did an excellent presentation in showing the nature of the grinder Most of this is the responsibility of a pumps. plumber, not a particular person. It's been stated, and I just want to reinforce it, because Mr. Crocker commented on there being lots of residents. It is true, but when we looked at the Assessor's records, the areas that happen to have the grinder pumps are - there's a code if it is a second home or a rental. And so two-thirds of these 700 pumps are owned by landlords or second These are people that normally hire a homes. plumber to come and open their house in the spring and close it in the fall. So that, if there are maintenance issues, there's someone that's already in the employ.

The policy part. In the past, both the Planning Board and the Board of Health have required denitrifying systems, recirculating systems, various kinds of treatments, and those are not - they have been paid for by the property owners. Looking ahead, if the Town is not going to do sewering, we will be counting on shellfish to

1 remove nitrogen, but we will also have to count on 2 using innovative alternative septic systems, 3 denitrifying systems. 4 We are running a pilot test in West 5 Falmouth now with five different vendors to look at those different types. All of those will be on 6 7 private property and there will be several thousand 8 that will be needed as we go forward to take care of the nitrogen in all of the other estuaries. 9 10 So, if we say tonight that these 11 particular pumps should become the responsibility 12 of the Town, you're basically changing past 13 practice and you are setting a precedent that is 14 going to go into the thousands as we come forward. 15 Having said all that, the law - there was 16 special legislation that was passed last year - it 17 was 2013, sorry - wasn't presented quite clearly to 18 the Town Meeting. The reimbursement is for the 19 pumps and the installation. So, some of the costs 20 that people are concerned about for the 21 installation, the law provides for reimbursement. 22 The Town decided not to reimburse the pumps, but to 23 provide the pumps directly.

So, you can see that there are lots of

1	aspects to this. The Selectmen definitely need to
2	have a meeting where they look at all these various
3	pieces. But I think we need to be careful if we
4	want to send a signal that the Town should be
5	responsible for maintenance going forward. I don't
6	think that that's going to serve us well. Thank
7	you very much.
8	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Ms. Moran.
9	MS. MORAN: I just want to emphasize one
10	of the [inaudible].
11	FROM THE FLOOR: I can't hear you.
12	THE MODERATOR: You've got to
13	[inaudible.]
14	MS. MORAN: Sorry. I want to emphasize
15	one of the points Virginia just made. As a policy
16	decision, this would be giving a message to the
17	Selectmen to add a tremendous cost going forward,
18	because we're only at the beginning of our work in
19	dealing with one estuary.
20	MR. NETTO: Point of information.
21	MS. MORAN: So, in terms of other sewer
22	projects, we would have to consider this, as well.
23	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Duffany.
24	MR. NETTO: Point of order or

1 information.

THE MODERATOR: No. Mr. Duffany.

3 You're on the list. We're going to go around,

4 here.

5 MR. DUFFANY: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

6 Michael Duffany, precinct six. And I'll try to be

7 brief.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I really think that the Town does need to adopt a policy and to debate some of the negatives, if you will, of them having it. You just have to deal with — with what the problems are more so than — than the good people, if you will. We just need to establish a policy that's going to give people a limited number of services, if you will, and then the burden is going to be on — on them.

But I think most of us in the room agree that we're all part of the sewer because it's going to be the benefit to all of us that our estuaries are protected. This is just the beginning. And, again, I think we're placing too much emphasis on, you know, on what it's going to cost the Town as opposed to putting some of the burden on the people that are going to make this a problem for the Town.

THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Dufresne. And

1 then Mr. Netto.

MR. DUFRESNE: Adrien Dufresne, precinct two and I am one of the recipients of this gift from the Town of Falmouth called a grinder pump.

You know, I take exception to former
Selectman Valiela's decision that second home
owners or lessees are negligent in the operation of
their toilet facilities. I think everyone, once
properly explained what our problems are here in
the Town of Falmouth and our desires to clean up
the estuaries of Little Pond, Great Pond and
Waquoit Bay.

attention - I was one of the sponsors of the article - it brings the attention of this issue of 700 people taking the burden of the savings that the Department of Public Works said they saved something like a million or so dollars. And I'm 85 years old, and I think I can probably bend over and pick up that grinder pump, but I represent an awful lot of grey-haired nice little old ladies and gentlemen that probably don't have that ability.

The policy that we're looking at is for future installations. You know, we're going to be

looking at Great Pond. We're going to be looking at Green Pond. We're going to be looking at Waquoit Bay. So, the policy would establish a If somebody is neglectful and doesn't do fee. what they're supposed to do, well, you may forgive them one time but the second time rap 'em for whatever it's worth. As far as I'm concerned, this article

As far as I'm concerned, this article merely asks this body to ask the Selectmen to develop a policy. And there are some people with a little bit more knowledge than I have on it that would help them establish that policy.

Again, this is only the - well, it's probably the third phase of sewering. I was a Selectman when we drove the sewer from Woods Hole down through Falmouth and then of course we had the North Falmouth problem that was held up in a lawsuit for a number of years.

sewering in the Town of Falmouth is just beginning. Our growth -- the growth of the population has caused serious problems in a lot of our bays and inlets and we want to take care of those. At least, I do. I want my clams back.

But, anyway, please support Article 24,

1	which merely calls for the Selectmen to adopt a
2	policy. Thank you.
3	THE MODERATOR: Okay, we've been on This
4	article for an hour. So, is there any new
5	information that hasn't been presented? I don't
6	want to hear your position anymore. Any new
7	information.
8	New information, Mr. Latimer? It better
9	be new, because I'm going to yell at you if it's
10	not, so
11	[Laughter.]
12	THE MODERATOR: - you're gambling,
13	here.
13 14	here.  FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]
14	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]
14 15	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him
14 15 16	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him sit on the outside, then, because he gets up a lot.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him sit on the outside, then, because he gets up a lot.  MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct
14 15 16 17 18	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him sit on the outside, then, because he gets up a lot.  MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct one.
14 15 16 17 18	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him sit on the outside, then, because he gets up a lot.  MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct one.  We're talking about this as if it's a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him sit on the outside, then, because he gets up a lot.  MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct one.  We're talking about this as if it's a dichotomy. I learned first year law school you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FROM THE FLOOR: [Inaudible.]  THE MODERATOR: Well, you should let him sit on the outside, then, because he gets up a lot.  MR. LATIMER: Richard Latimer, precinct one.  We're talking about this as if it's a dichotomy. I learned first year law school you can do anything you want with a contract. The

system, that's the owner's responsibility as 1 2 lessee. But for the normal maintenance, or for a 3 failure of the system due to other causes, that's 4 the town's responsibility. 5 That's fair. That's fair to everybody. It addresses the concerns about abuse of the system 6 while at the same time not unduly burdening these 7 8 people who -- in fact the Selectmen's explanation 9 for indefinite postponement is the strongest reason These pumps or 10 for the Town's owning them. 11 devices whose operation rely on the operator's 12 appropriate uses, in many cases homes in this area 13 are not occupied and the users may not be invested 14 in property maintenance as an owner of a primary 15 residence may. 16 While this is different from a septic 17 system, which is entirely on your property, this is 18 the interface between your facility and the sewer 19 So, it's in the no man's land. system. 20 So, I think the appropriate way to do 21 this is to have the Town own these, lease them to 22 The owners are responsible for abuse. the owners. 23 Everybody's being treated fairly. Thank you. 24 THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Potamis.

1	FROM THE FLOOR: Vote, vote.
2	THE MODERATOR: Last piece of new info
3	and then we're going to vote.
4	FROM THE FLOOR: No, no.
5	THE MODERATOR: Come on, let's go.
6	MR. POTAMIS: Gerry Potamis, Town
7	Meeting member for precinct two and Wastewater
8	Superintendent.
9	I guess I would assert we already have a
10	policy. We're getting a five year guarantee. You
11	are paying for it; I am paying for it. So, these
12	are going to be no added costs for the first five
13	years. We should wait to see what the experience
14	is and then develop an adequate policy.
15	The vendor would very much like a Town to
16	take over, because he bills us, not the individual.
17	And if you talk to those towns and you talk to the
18	vendors, which I have done, I know exactly why
19	those statistics that came out were presented and
20	they're very confusing to read.
21	As far as emergencies, my staff cannot
22	come out in an emergency to start your generator.
23	I'm sorry, that's not priority one. We have health
24	and safety visits for our first responders and God

1	forbid if you're elderly and you don't have power;
2	you should go to the places we have designated.
3	Thank you.
4	THE MODERATOR: Okay, the question will
5	come on the main motion. All those in favor,
6	signify by saying aye.
7	[Aye.]
8	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
9	[No.]
10	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it by a
11	majority.
12	Article 25, the Chairman of the Community
13	Preservation Committee for the main motion.
14	[Applause.]
14 15	[Applause.]  THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.
15	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.
15 16	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.  Community Preservation Committee chair? Or acting
15 16 17	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.  Community Preservation Committee chair? Or acting chair, is that what?
15 16 17 18	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.  Community Preservation Committee chair? Or acting chair, is that what?  MS. LOWELL: No, I'm not the chair. I
15 16 17 18 19	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.  Community Preservation Committee chair? Or acting chair, is that what?  MS. LOWELL: No, I'm not the chair. I am speaking for them.
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.  Community Preservation Committee chair? Or acting chair, is that what?  MS. LOWELL: No, I'm not the chair. I am speaking for them.  THE MODERATOR: Okay.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE MODERATOR: Article 25, let's go.  Community Preservation Committee chair? Or acting chair, is that what?  MS. LOWELL: No, I'm not the chair. I am speaking for them.  THE MODERATOR: Okay.  MS. LOWELL: They asked me to.

1	motion on the floor, please? Yeah, as
2	recommended. Okay, go ahead, speak.
3	MS. LOWELL: Vicki Lowell from precinct
4	one speaking at the dreaded after 11:00 article.
5	I can't - there's such luck of the draw in this.
6	But I think this is a wonderful article
7	and so I hope you'll approve it very readily.
8	THE MODERATOR: Okay, who held this?
9	Who had a problem with this article?
10	Hearing none, the question will come on
11	approval. All those in favor, signify by saying
12	aye.
13	[Aye.]
13 14	[Aye.]  THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
14	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
14 15	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no. [None opposed.]
14 15 16	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.  [None opposed.]  THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.  [None opposed.]  THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it unanimous.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.  [None opposed.]  THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it unanimous.  Article 30.
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.  [None opposed.]  THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it  unanimous.  Article 30.  MS. LOWELL: Thank you.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.  [None opposed.]  THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it  unanimous.  Article 30.  MS. LOWELL: Thank you.  THE MODERATOR: Article 30. Madame
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.  [None opposed.]  THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it  unanimous.  Article 30.  MS. LOWELL: Thank you.  THE MODERATOR: Article 30. Madame  Chairman for the main motion. Madame chairman for

1	FROM THE FLOOR: He left.
2	THE MODERATOR: He left.
3	All those in favor of approval of Article
4	30 as recommended, signify by saying aye.
5	[Aye.]
6	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
7	[No.]
8	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it by a
9	majority.
10	Article 36, Madame Chairman. Article
11	36. As recommended. This is the - which one is
12	this? This is the Golf Club irrigation system.
13	Who held this one?
14	MR. FINNERAN: I did.
15	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Finneran.
16	FROM THE FLOOR: No.
17	[Laughter.]
18	MR. FINNERAN: Most of the questions
19	that I had were asked when we addressed the earlier
20	thing, so I release the hold.
21	THE MODERATOR: He released the hold.
22	Any further discussion?
23	Hearing none, the question will come on
24	the main motion. All those in favor, signify by

1	saying aye.
2	[Aye.]
3	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
4	[No.]
5	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it by a
6	majority.
7	Article 39, Madame Chairman.
8	As recommended. Who held Article 39?
9	This is the Open Space Reserve Account.
10	Any discussion?
11	Hearing none, the question will come on
12	the main motion as recommended. All those in
13	favor, signify by saying aye.
14	[Aye.]
15	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
16	[No.]
17	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it by a
18	majority.
19	Article 40, Madame Chairman.
20	As recommended. Okay, main motion is as
21	recommended. This is to execute a Declaration of
22	Restrictions on the Lawrence Academy on Academy
23	Lane. Ms. O'Connell held this.
24	Any further discussion on Article 40?

1	Yes, Mr. Rowitz.
2	MR. ROWITZ: Ray Rowitz, precinct five.
3	Is it appropriate to change the Historic
4	District Commission to the Historical Commission?
5	THE MODERATOR: The main motion is under
6	the Board of Selectmen recommendation and that
7	language is not in the motion. So the
8	recommendation is what we're voting on.
9	MR. ROWITZ: Okay.
10	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
11	Any further discussion on Article 40?
12	Hearing none, the question will come on the main
13	motion, as recommended. All those in favor,
14	signify by saying aye.
15	[Aye.]
16	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed no.
17	[None opposed.]
18	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it
19	unanimous.
20	Okay, we have spent eleven million - spin
21	it around. \$11,064,996 at this Town Meeting.
22	The chair would recognize the chair of
23	the Board of Selectmen for notification of the next
24	annual Town Meeting.

1	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Moderator, our
2	Annual Town Meeting for 2016 will be Monday, April
3	4 <sup>th</sup> .
4	THE MODERATOR: Okay, you've all heard
5	that. All those in favor of adjourning, signify
6	by saying aye.
7	[Aye.]
8	THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, no.
9	[None opposed.]
10	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it and I
11	dissolve the meeting.
12	[11:35, whereupon, the meeting adjourned.]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

COUNTY OF BARNSTABLE, SS

I, Carol P. Tinkham, a Professional Court
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth
of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true and accurate record of Falmouth Annual Town
Meeting, taken by me on Thursday, November 12, 2015. To
the best of my ability the within transcript is a
complete, true and accurate record of said Town Meeting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and Notary Seal this 13th day of January, 2016.

Carol P. Tinkham, Notary Public My Commission Expires: April 21, 2017

PLEASE NOTE: THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER.