

TOWN OF FALMOUTH
BOARD OF SELECTMEN
Meeting Minutes
MONDAY, JANUARY 14, 2019
SELECTMEN'S MEETING ROOM
TOWN HALL

Present: Susan Moran, Chairperson; Megan English Braga; Doug Jones; Sam Patterson; Doug Brown.

Others Present: Julian Suso, Town Manager; Peter Johnson-Staub, Assistant Town Manager.

1. Call to Order at 7 pm by Chair Moran.
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Proclamation-none.
4. Recognition

Ms. English Braga recognized the volunteers on the Charter Review Committee.

Ms. English Braga thanked Bill Peck for his service on the Solid Waste Advisory and noted his resignation.

5. Announcements

Mr. Brown, Mr. Patterson, and Mr. Suso attended the meeting regarding the needle exchange. Mr. Brown thanked all for coming out.

6. Public Comment

Marc Finneran, Precinct 6, was at the listening session Saturday. Anyone who endorses this exchange and pretends to also endorse affordable housing is in direct conflict with one another. If Gosnold continues to search for another location in this Town, it will make the Town a destination for opioid withdrawal and take away housing from the people in this Town who want to live in the area.

Allen Robinson, Falmouth resident, informed the Board of Selectmen and Town administration of a small group of residents who formed the Falmouth Litter Reduction Team and their vision is the elimination of litter and strategy to partner with the community to develop and implement remedies for litter reduction.

Barbara Schneider, Falmouth resident, spent 4 days trying to rescue a seal in Quissett Harbor, the seal was put down possibly due to ingesting plastic. She would like Falmouth to be a leader in doing something about plastic and litter.

Grant Walker, 71 Philadelphia Street, commenting on climate change as an emergency in Falmouth. Reviewed the State of Emergency and a report on climate change. The draft of the Cape Cod Regional Policy Plan available online notes that the Cape is at risk. He provided a document to the Board of Selectmen.

7:15 p.m. SUMMARY OF ACTIONS

1. Licenses
 - a. Approve application for 7 special one-day all alcohol liquor licenses – Falmouth Theatre Guild – Highfield Theater, 58 Highfield Drive – 1/18, 1/19, 1/20, 1/25, 1/26, 1/27 and 2/9/19
Mr. Jones motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.
 - b. Approve application for common victualler license – Dunkin Donuts, 1184 Sandwich Road, East Falmouth MA for 2018

Mr. Jones noted the license is for 2009 and retroactive.

Mr. Jones motion to approve. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

- c. Approve application for change of manager – Paul Harney Golf Club, 74 Club Valley Drive, East Falmouth
This includes a liquor license, too.

The Board of Selectmen noted when alcohol is the issue, they would like to have a conversation with the new manager and noted that they are supposed to be here, it is on the checklist. Mr. Suso let the applicant know the Board of Selectmen would like them to appear for the request and noted for the last two requests, there were representatives present from both agencies tonight.

- d. Vote to approve Eversource petition to install one (1) pole #86/10.5 at 15 Hinckley Road, east of Juniper Point Road
Ms. English Braga motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.
- e. Vote to approve Eversource petition to install two (2) poles #460/14 and 460/15 at Dillingham Avenue, east of Gifford Street

Ms. English Braga motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

2. Administrative Orders

- a. Vote to approve Grant of License to The Woods Hole Research Center to install three (3) groundwater monitoring wells on Town property along the Coonamessett River
Christopher Neal, Woods Hole Research Center and resident of Precinct 1. This has been through the Conservation Commission. The purpose is to monitor the nitrate coming into the Coonamessett water shed, including Great Pond.

Ms. English Braga motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

- b. Vote to accept donation from Colorgraphics in the amount of \$644.00 to the Recreation Department donation account
Mr. Jones motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

- c. Vote to accept donation in the amount of \$2,000.00 from Priscilla Geraghty, President of Rand Atlantic Cape Cod Realty, to the Falmouth Affordable Housing Fund
Mr. Jones motion to accept the donation. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

- d. Vote to approve certification of estimated summer resident population as of July 10, 2019
Mr. Suso explained the Town Clerk annually provides an estimate of summer seasonal population of the year to come; this year's estimate is 83,397.

Mr. Jones motion to accept and affirm 83,397 estimated summer resident population. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

- e. Vote to support Town of Bourne application for bikeway extension funding
Tim Shay, Bikeways Committee, Town of Bourne Bike Committee is seeking funding for the extension of the Shining Sea bikeway from canal three miles south. They can put the bikeway in alongside the rail bed. The Falmouth Bikeways Committee voted unanimously to approve and requests the Board of Selectmen to approve as well.

Mr. Patterson motion to support Town of Bourne's application for funding the design of a shared use path adjacent to the existing rail line (rail to trail) that preserves the operational integrity of the existing rail system. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

- f. Vote to accept donation in the amount of \$3,500.00 from Wal-Mart to the Police Department donation account to be earmarked for the Hidden in Plain Site Trailer
Mr. Suso explained the trailer is an educational forum by the Falmouth Police Department; they will share it with parents of Falmouth students. It shows that drugs and problematic items can be hidden in plain sight in their children's rooms and houses throughout the community.

Ms. English Braga motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

3. Special Events

Recurring - Recommended:

- a. Dive into Recovery Polar Plunge – Gosnold, Inc. – Falmouth Heights Beach, Saturday, 2/9/19

Moved to Saturday 2/23/19. Amanda Marcotte and Liz de la Cour, Event Coordinator, looking to hold the event on 2/23/19, it is the 2nd annual event at the Heights Beach, about 60 attendees are expected. The rain date is 3/9/19.

Ms. English Braga motion approval. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

7:30 p.m.

1. Road Taking and Betterment Hearing – Vote to Adopt an Order to Layout and Betterment and Acceptance of Captain Davis Lane and Winthrop Drive

Chair Moran read the hearing notice.

James McLaughlin, Town Engineer used a power point presentation and reviewed a map and aerial map. Captain Davis Lane has 18 abutters along the roadway and estimated cost to bring it to Town standards is \$265,000 spread over the 18 homeowners, the betterment will be about \$14,731. This is an upper limit and they hope to do it for a less amount. It is unknown how long they have been waiting, but it was on a Town Meeting Warrant about 8 years ago, the homeowners approved the taking plan and legal description.

Public Comment:

In favor:

Wendy Vogel, Precinct 4, Captain Davis Lane, noted it is 80% year round residents, retirees, the condition is getting worse, and they patch potholes with gravel for a couple years to keep it safe. She believes all on the street are in favor.

Frank Lonis, Captain Davis Lane, said they had an association many years ago and were told they would be put on the list for taking and were told it is first in and first out. They did all of this at the cost of \$6-7,000. They were put on the list, however then rules changed and allowed the DPW to pick the worst roads in the Town, not their road.

Mark Maragnea, 17 Captain Davis, the road needs help and this has to be done. He would appreciate the consideration to make this happen.

Against: none.

Mr. Jones motion to close the hearing. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

Mr. Jones motion to approve the taking and read the motion in the packet. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

Mr. McLaughlin addressed Winthrop Drive, the western portion was described and a power point presentation was made. Map was reviewed, over the years the erosion along the roadway goes to catch basin, enters drain line into the estuary, much of the road has ended up in the water. This road has been regarded a number of times and an issue with an exposed gas main which causes a dangerous situation. They would like to improve this road, estimated cost to bring this road to paved road with drainage would be about \$263,000, cost is \$194.10 per linear foot of frontage, estimated most will be \$14,072 betterment cost except one that is a double lot.

The cost involved includes bringing in material to build the road up, it is significantly lower than the driveway entrances and provided adequate cover over the gas line.

In favor:

Cynthia Laroarer is in favor; neighbors have been working on this for over ten years. Summer was dry, the dust kicks up and a river runs through when rains. The runoff into the estuary is disturbing. Asked what the next step would be. Ms. Suso noted it would go to Town Meeting subject to the Board of Selectmen placing it on the warrant, which is anticipated. They got all neighbors to agree and pay for a plan for the road.

Adam Pierce, 26 Winthrop Drive, his family has lived on the street since the 1970s. The road is getting lower; there was a 9 inch difference between the road and his driveway. A neighbor has a big puddle every time it rains in front of her driveway.

Frank Duffy, Town Counsel, corrected that there will be another hearing with the Planning Board, if they have not looked at it. According to Mr. Jones, the Planning Board provided a positive recommendation on October 17, 2018.

Against: none.

Mr. Jones motion to close the hearing. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

Mr. Jones motion to approve the taking and read the motion in the packet. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

Mr. McLaughlin reviewed future Roadway takings; they put in one database and looked at ranking the roads based on a number of factors to address the roads going forward. They have a draft of what they think makes sense, but is subject to the Board of Selectmen approval and possibly the Planning Board. 40 petitions at this time, total length is 71,645 feet. They put together scoring criteria, which was reviewed and included: taking plan, legal description, percent of abutter signatures, bus routes, road classification, safety, environmental impact, and possibly add wait time. Scoring was reviewed. The #1 street now, was Moran Drive because of the bus routes and safety aspect, other issues considered are the date of the petition and percentage of people who signed off on it. Reviewed the Acceptance Wait List Ranking. Depending on the cost involved, if \$300 per foot, looking at \$21,000,000 cost, if down to \$150 per foot, looking at \$10-11,000,000 over a 5 year period. There is money available to do some of them, the estimate for the roads considered this evening is about \$500,000.

Mr. Jones asked that this would be a capital expenditure?

Jennifer Petit addressed Mr. Jones question about whether this would be a capital expenditure. The betterment fund with borrowing authorization of about \$1,000,000 is just about exhausted. They would be expending money up front but not recouping for 20 years. Analysis has to be done on these roads and future roads. After these are taken, need another authorization from Town Meeting. It is a borrowing authorization, usually done in April Town Meeting.

Ms. English Braga noted this is a helpful tool that has been developed and hopes the ranking will be made available to the community.

Ms. Petit responded to Mr. Patterson's question about if the betterment covers the whole cost of borrowing, she noted that when bettering the roads, it covers the actual expenditure, but not the cost of borrowing.

Chair Moran noted this is an initial presentation; they want the community to think about and comment on it. It will include more financial aspects included over the coming months.

Mr. Jones would like to include the waiting time under the criteria.

7:45 p.m. BUSINESS

1. Vote to adopt FY2020 budget and submit it to the Finance Committee

Ms. English Braga motion to approve and submit to the Finance Committee for their review process to begin. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

The budget will be on the website in the next couple days.

2. Discussion and vote on moving, repurposing or dismantling wind turbines. Options to include:
 - a. Wind 2 to alternative Falmouth wastewater site or a site outside of Falmouth
 - b. Wind 1 to a site outside of Falmouth

No person indicated they wanted to record this section of the meeting.

Mr. Suso noted they had a PowerPoint slide with the Wind 2 (W2) matrix reviewed. Ms. Petit, Mr. Duffy, and Steve Wiehe, Consultant from Weston and Sampson who presented the formal report on potential relocation of W2 and repurpose of Wind 1 (W1), which is on the Town website. They are asking for the Board of Selectmen consideration for future direction.

Mr. Suso addressed W2 cost figures. Actions of the Board of Selectmen take us in the direction of providing additional information, but no appropriation or expenditure of funds can occur without going to Town Meeting. These funds are not currently budgeted and depending on what would be contemplated, if a debt exclusion, it would need to go to the voters.

If determination to defer consideration to go to the Planning Board for a permit, there would be relocation cost of about \$3 + million, \$3.5 million to the Clean Water Trust. There is uncertainty about whether it will need to be repaid. If it is in Falmouth and operated in Falmouth, they are relieved of the obligation. They have given credit towards hours operated in Town, based on that they have confidence that continuing to operate it in Town will result in a credit towards the \$3.5 million. Mr. Suso asked the Clean Water Trust for confirmation of relief, but they declined to give definitive confirmation. Once Falmouth reaches an agreement with a third party, the Clean Water Trust would assess and let the Town know. Mr. Suso will continue to address this and be in contact with the Trust.

The cost to remove W2 from the current site is about \$500-1,000,000 and they would need to go through procurement and funds would need to be appropriated by Town Meeting.

Chair Moran noted they could potentially receive revenue if they were to move it elsewhere, the Town could receive credits if it were running, even outside of Falmouth.

The cost to dismantle W1 would be about \$500,000-1,000,000. The estimated remaining bond payments are about \$3,600,000 because at that time Falmouth borrowed to finance acquisition of W1. Total cost for W1 is between \$4.1 and 4.6 million. There is nothing that can be done and it is already in the yearly operating budget to pay that.

Mr. Brown asked if they still want to consider as a cell tower? According to Mr. Suso, it is potentially something that can be determined through the procurement process to see if there is interest in the private market, if there is interest, than the tower would remain for potential use of tower services.

Reviewed the cost estimates to dismantle, move and install W2 on the wastewater site, cost would be about \$3 million. If not located on a Town site, the cost to dismantle, decommission, remove, and estimate the payments due to the Clean Water Trust is about \$4-5,000,000.

Possible locations are a function of procurement process.

Purpose of this is to provide accurate information so the Board of Selectmen can make an informed judgment at the appropriate time.

Mr. Jones has no interest in having them run in Falmouth again, he would like to see them run 24/7 someplace, maybe an RFP for someone to lease the property to run the turbines. If they still need to pursue the option of Planning Board to relocate, that could occur at the same time. The reason we did the turbines was to make clean energy, if they can run someplace, which is the best thing to happen.

Ms. English Braga said that to mitigate the massive burden to those who live here. There is no getting around the fact that there is a tax and resource implication and a very large portion people will pay in taxes will go to address this issue. This hurts a lot of people in this Town and we are trying to draw people to the community and reinvest.

Chair Moran noted there are unlimited choices of improvements we would like, this decision bears on all of these.

Mr. Brown feels it needs to be over 3,000 feet away from any residence, he does not believe it fits or works on that site. He likes Mr. Jones' point to run them 24/7 in the right place and if they cannot find a place, to use as a cell tower.

Mr. Patterson asked if there is a new technology that could operate at lower noise levels?

Steve Wiehe, Weston and Sampson, to his knowledge the turbines could be marginally quieter, retrofitting is likely not realistic. Smaller turbines will not produce as much electricity.

Public Comment:

Dave Moriarty, Falmouth resident, noted poor choice to force this upon the Town, enough time, resources, and money has been wasted. It is time to take the turbines down.

Marc Finneran, Precinct 6, the idea to use them as cell phone towers or repeaters would work. He would not give the Clean Energy Trust any money; they have culpability and have some blame here.

Peter Hargraves, Precinct 9, asked as analyzed the option of moving the one that it is possible to operate there is no assurance the performance will be within acceptable parameters for the people living in that area. Chair Moran noted the discussion was in relation to smaller blades. We have parameters of how far away from residences, but have not done an analysis of a particular site and said lets run tests in the particular location. There is no specific location that has been identified.

Russ Lempki, 20 year resident, since living here he has seen the anger and hurt this experience has caused. He has 30 years experience in business, decisions made that cost a lot of money and errors were made. He urges to take them down and get on with life in Falmouth.

Lynn Moorehead Precinct 1 has gathered info from various sources. The turbines are 16 years old, they have a lifespan. The blades are not the design they should be now, they have new good turbines.

Dan Shearer noted Falmouth will never accept it. We need a cell tower, he suggested taking the blades down, use the masts as cell towers.

Linda Davis, Precinct 8, asked questions about the ambiguity with Trust, possible forgiveness of W2 and money it cost to put in place. What means of communication were used to create dialogue? The Board of Selectmen told her that communication was via emails, letters, face to face, and the legislative team involved. Ms. English Braga noted that it is a question of whether the Town has done the best it can to get the answers: they have imposed upon legislatures, other individuals in our government including the Energy Secretary, the Town has used every avenue and individual to get their ear about what the town has been through and done repeatedly. It includes a \$1.5 million forgiveness negotiated through staff, legislatures, and the Board of Selectmen.

Mr. Suso noted that people need to understand the previous administration signed a binding agreement that continues to be binding upon the Town and what binds us to continued responsibility for repayment.

Attorney Duffy suggested writing the Request for Proposal be a collaborative effort.

Mr. Jones motion to not operate the turbines declining to relocate in the town. Second Mr. Brown. Vote: Yes-4. No-0. Abstain: Mr. Patterson.

Mr. Jones motion to direct the Town Manager and Town Counsel to explore Requests for Proposals for lease operation outside of Town, third party interested in purchasing and taking possession to operate them as an energy project to run somewhere else and potential cell tower use and what other use could a tower be repurposed. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

3. Discussion of options under short term lodging tax

Attorney Duffy issued a memo to the Board of Selectmen and reviewed the highlights of the memo.

The act takes effect 7/1/19 imposing a local excise tax not more than 6% , added short term rental as category subject to the tax, defined short term rental. Not imposed on short term rentals less than 14 days per year, tenants at will, or month to month rental of property. Falmouth has an occupancy tax of 4%, so it

would be set at 4% automatically. That tax is collected from the State and anything Falmouth gets will be from the State at a later time. 2.75% water protection fund, benefits all and local to the Cape. This additional tax is imposed upon occupancy of a room. Third provision of the bill requires action of Town Meeting, there is an option that provides for a community impact fee of not more than 3%, for a professionally managed unit. Must present an article at Town Meeting to see if they will support it. Another provision separate vote would be a vote for transfer of occupancy that includes the operator's residence. The operator of the unit would pay this to the Town monthly and not less than 35% must be dedicated to affordable housing.

Could go up to 6% that the Town Meeting could vote on.

Mr. Jones noted the Cape Cod and Islands water protection fund is new tax for all of these places; it is only on Cape Cod.

Mr. Jones asked how to find out how many professionally managed units there are and how to find out.

Ms. English Braga asked if a sober house may be considered professionally managed, Attorney Duffy will research this issue.

Whoever receives the rent is the person who is responsible to pay the tax.

Chair Moran suggested an analysis of Town Departments where fees are low and collected but positive impact to the Town even adding enforcement and ask the Town Manager to look at this for a future agenda item.

4. Information on State initiatives and possible Town initiatives (nip bottles and plastic straws)

Mr. Suso noted that Attorney Duffy researched and found that Boston and Chelsea are the only towns that have done anything.

Attorney Duffy stated he checked with the Alcohol Beverages Control Commission (ABCC) and was informed 2 cities addressed this issue along with cans of beer. The Boston Licensing Board adopted a policy that conditions transfer of existing license or grant of new license with no nip/no single. Appeal to the ABCC was upheld. It applied only to new licenses or transfers of existing licenses and not to the annual renewal. Chelsea tried to ban the sale of nips by ordinance, the appeal is pending.

The difference between city passing ordinance/bylaw versus a town is that a city may pass an ordinance which is not subject to review/approval by the Attorney General's Office (AGO) whereas a Town as bylaws, when the Town enacts a bylaw it must be submitted to and approved by the AGO. The State reserves to itself how alcohol beverages are sold.

The town could adopt a policy instead of a bylaw. This has not been tested in court.

Ms. English Braga noted the only impact our community is if we were going to have a number of new liquor or wine sales business.

Barbara Schneider said that a third of a store's business is nips. Showed a bag of empty nips collected today at one residential intersection. If get rid of open carry law there would be no problem. Reusable straws can be provided. Bamboo toothbrushes are for sale at local pharmacies.

Peter Hargraves, Precinct 9, has been in touch with Town Attorney in Chelsea regarding their process, the licensing bureau decided to ban nips at 100 ml or less. The counsel passed an ordinance, 100 ml nips are banned there. Problem of public drunkenness and use of nips, in some places you can buy nips and the collection of them are less than the larger bottle size. Nantucket has a broader band on plastics and it is going through the AGO now.

Linda Davis, Chair Solid Waste Advisory Committee, is excited about these grass root endeavors.

5. Discuss proposed new public comment process

This arose from a case impacting public comment process.

Attorney Duffy noted a new public comment process in line with recent case law and AGO updates.

Attorney Duffy sent a memo to the Board of Selectmen with proposed new public policy comment. Public comment provisions at public meetings is common, there is no state law on the subject and no town bylaw. It is governed by the board allowing the public comment during the meeting.

Falmouth has had it since March 2014 and the Board of Selectmen adopted the policy at that time. This past summer a Middlesex Superior Court case involving the Natick School Committee and an individual who made public comments at the meeting who was cut off by the Chair and not allowed to finish. They brought complaint that their rights of free speech were affected by the chair. The public comment period falls within the public forum doctrine provided for in the First Amendment, free speech and no prior restraints on public comment without compelling reasons. People cannot prohibit free speech based on comment, but can be limited to matters restricted to the Board of Selectmen. There are not that many subjects not within the Board of Selectmen jurisdiction. The Board of Selectmen cannot have a rule that any comment had to be objective; whatever said had to be proven by facts that it is true; the Court said have to let people speak subjectively on a matter. Limits on the comment period, no obscenities and cannot tolerate threats or fighting words. Cannot apply a rubbery standard for a particular comment because then you are applying your subjective standard on the speaker. Falmouth public comment policy that exists now has the public comment at regularly scheduled meeting. The Board of Selectmen allows comments the issue being commented on is not on the agenda, in addition the Board of Selectmen has imposed the following: 1. The Board of Selectmen cannot deliberate or engage in conversation with the speaker, if important enough to be discussed, must be put on future agenda. 2. Comments about person noted these comments can be discussed in executive session if appropriate and would go through the Town Manager. Constitutional provisions of free speech apply.

During the regular session, speakers are limited to those recognized by the Chair.

Public comment period is at the pleasure of the Board of Selectmen.

No constraint about the time allotted. It's permissible to have a limit on the time.

Dan Shearer noted they have rule not to talk about something that is not on the agenda. According to Ms. English Braga, that is the rule that surrounds the open meeting law.

The Board of Selectmen has the choice as to whether to allow people to comment on a matter on the agenda, it is not required under State law.

Malcolm Donald, interested in this and appreciates the Board of Selectmen discussing it. Previous boards sometimes had a matter come before them and they would say there is no public comment. Chair Moran noted that in terms of moving ahead with the agenda, it is based on the discretion of the chair in moving the meeting. As long as announced whether comment will be allowed and how much time will be allowed, it meets the requirements. Mr. Donald asked if there is any recourse for citizens if they want to address an issue, Chair Moran suggested the comment be emailed.

Malcolm asked if any discussion about the questions people ask and they do not get an answer. When someone in public comment period, the Board of Selectmen is not allowed to respond or allow for any deliberation. You'll never see it in public it will happen.

The Board of Selectmen responded that they do their best to get the answers.

Dan Shearer, asked if the Board of Selectmen had thought about public comment being at the end of the meeting rather than the beginning. If you listen and have a statement on something you are going to think about, it is a good time to ask the Board of Selectmen if they have thought of something. The Board of Selectmen has it at the beginning so that people wishing to comment do not need to wait until the end of the meeting, which at times can go late into the evening.

6. Approve 2019 annual license renewals:

Common Victualler License

Peel Pizza Co., 31 Teaticket Hwy.
Dunkin Donuts, 1134 Sandwich Rd.

Mr. Suso will confirm Dunkin Donuts address.

Mr. Jones motion approval. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

7. Minutes of Meetings:
Public Session

October 29, 2018

Ms. English Braga motion approval with edits. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

Ms. English Braga motion to rescind the edit regarding Locustfield development. Second Mr. Patterson.
Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

January 7, 2019

Ms. English Braga motion approval with edits. Second Mr. Patterson. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

8. Individual Selectmen's reports

Mr. Brown:

Attended the BOH meeting Saturday, engaged crowd, strong comments.

Wednesday he will speak with the Rod and Gun Club and legislatures regarding releasing money for the Farley Bog.

Mr. Patterson:

Attended the BOH meeting.

Attended the School Committee meeting, the contract for the FHS multi sport field was approved. There is still an issue of running water and power to the stands, concessions, press box, and bathrooms. The new MCAS test is being rolled out by the state with focus on student achievement and growth used to track student progress.

Attended the Conservation Commission and Community Preservation Committee meetings last week.

Attended an Eagle Scout Court of Honor.

9. Town Manager's report

Annual meeting of MA Municipal Association is Friday and Saturday.

Advised the Board of Selectmen that Chief Dunne will be sworn in as the new President of the Chiefs Association.

Town offices will be closed for the holiday on 1/21/19.

10. Review and/or discuss correspondence received-none.

Mr. Jones motion to adjourn at 10:07 pm. Second Ms. English Braga. Vote: Yes-5. No-0.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jennifer Chaves